The Review Of
DIABETIC
STUDIES OPEN ACCESS

The Nurse's Contribution To Effective Burn Care Management

Dughayfilah Mastour Ayidh Alotaib [(1)], Abdullah Marshoud Hashim Alroqi [(2)], Mona Marzouq Alotaibi [(3)], Wadha Mutlaq Nasser Alharthi [(4)], Mohammed Naif Alotaibi [(5)], Nawaf Naif Zaid Alotaib [(6)], Bander Abdullah Sari Aldalbahi [(7)], Nasser Dhaim Misfer Alotaibi [(8)], Njood Muhana Almzaine Alotaibi [(9)], Shahad Mohammed Almumattin [(10)], Jawad Mohammad Alhajji [(11)], Muneer Faiyh Misfer Alotaibi [(12)], Taraf Ahmed Yahya Alhurubi [(13)], Yussra Mohammed Hussain Gharawi [(14)], Afnan Saad Aldawsari [(15)]

[1]Nursing specialist, Artawi Alhamamid phc, Third health cluster, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. A7lla4444@gmail.com
[2]Nursing technician, Rafaya Aljimsh General Hospital, Third health cluster, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. amarshoud12@gmail.com
[3]Nursing Specialist, Dawadmi General Hospital, Third health cluster, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. malotaibi241@moh.gov.sa
[4]Nursing Specialist, Rafaya Aljimsh General Hospital, Third health cluster, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Walhartha@gmail.com
[5]Nursing specialist, Rafaya aljimsh General Hospital, Third health cluster, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. mnaif24@gmail.com
[6]Nursing specialist, Rafaya Aljimsh General Hospital, Third health cluster, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Nawaf.dawerd@gmail.com
[7]Nursing technician, Rafaya Aljimsh General Hospital, Third health, cluster, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. bander-3305@hotmail.com
[8]Nursing technician, Rafaya Aljimsh General Hospital, Third health, cluster, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Nnaa1405@gmail.com
[9]Nursing specialist, Alshamiah phc, Third health cluster, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. rnqat12@gmail.com
[10]Nursing Specialist, Maternity and children hospital Al-Ahsa, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Shalmumattin@outlook.com
[11]O.R Technician, Mch Alhasa, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. jalhajji@moh.gov.sa
[12]Nursing Technician, Rafaya Aljimsh General Hospital, Third Health cluster, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.
[13]Nursing, Jazan Specialized Hospital, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. jalharobi@gmail.com
[14]Nurse, Administration of assistance for commitment to Jazan, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Yghr@Moh.Gov.Sa
[15]Nursing, Prince Sultan Military Medical City, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. A7nan10@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: Burn injuries are a major global health challenge causing significant morbidity, disability, and mortality, particularly in low- and middle-income countries. Nurses play a pivotal role across all stages of burn management, providing wound care, infection control, pain management, nutrition, rehabilitation, and psychosocial support within multidisciplinary teams.

Methods: An integrative literature review was conducted using PubMed, CINAHL, Scopus, and Google Scholar (2010–2025). Studies focusing on nursing interventions in burn care covering acute, rehabilitative, and psychological aspects were analyzed thematically to identify the scope and impact of nursing roles on patient outcomes.

Results: Findings highlight that nurses substantially improve burn outcomes through evidence-based wound management, infection prevention, fluid resuscitation, nutritional and emotional support, and patient education. Advances in simulation-based training, telehealth, and digital wound assessment have enhanced care efficiency. However, challenges such as high workloads, emotional stress, and limited resources particularly in resource-poor settings continue to affect care delivery and nurse well-being.

Conclusions: Nurses are indispensable to effective burn care, providing holistic, patient-centered interventions that improve survival, recovery, and quality of life. Strengthening specialized education, promoting professional development, and addressing systemic challenges are essential

to optimizing nursing performance. Establishing global standards and expanding nursing-led research will further advance burn care practice and ensure equitable outcomes worldwide.

Keywords Burns; Nursing care; Wound management; Fluid resuscitation; Infection control; Rehabilitation; Psychosocial support; Multidisciplinary care

Introduction

Burn injuries represent a significant and persistent global health challenge, estimated to cause approximately 180,000 deaths annually worldwide, predominantly in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). Beyond mortality, burns contribute substantially to morbidity and disability, leading to prolonged hospitalization, disfigurement, chronic disability, and social stigma that affects the quality of life of survivors. The burden of burn injuries is particularly severe in LMICs, where inadequate access to specialized burn care centers and resources exacerbates outcomes. Children and women, especially in impoverished regions such as the WHO African and South-East Asia Regions, bear a disproportionate share of this burden (Stokes & Johnson, 2017).

Epidemiological data underline that burns are among the leading causes of both injury-related morbidity and mortality worldwide. Approximately 11 million new burn cases occur annually, with most patients requiring medical intervention. The prevalence of burn injuries extending across all age groups, with a notable incidence in young children and women in LMICs, underscores the widespread public health impact. In developed countries, improvements in prevention and specialized care have reduced mortality rates, but challenges persist, especially related to effective rehabilitation and psychosocial support (Othman & Kendrick, 2010).

Effective burn care necessitates a multidisciplinary approach involving various healthcare professionals, including surgeons, therapists, social workers, and primarily nurses who occupy a central role in the continuum of care. The complexity of burn injuries from acute trauma, critical systemic involvement, wound management, to long-term rehabilitation requires an integrated effort focused on holistic and evidence-based care strategies. Nurses act as coordinators and primary caregivers, overseeing wound care, infection prevention, pain management, nutritional support, psychosocial assistance, and rehabilitation activities while collaborating closely with other specialists (Greenfield, 2010).

The rationale for this review is to elucidate the multiple dimensions of nursing contributions that influence burn care outcomes. Despite the critical importance of nurses, their roles and interventions in burn management phases are often underrepresented in literature. The purpose of this review is to delineate the nurse's role across the acute, subacute, and rehabilitative phases of burn care, emphasizing their clinical, educational, and research functions that contribute to improved patient outcomes (Jeschke et al., 2020).

The objectives include exploring how nurses contribute to:

- Early assessment, stabilization, and acute burn management in emergency and intensive care settings;
- Ongoing wound care, infection control, pain management, and psychological support during the subacute phase;
- Facilitating functional recovery, scar management, patient education, and community reintegration during the rehabilitation phase.

By comprehensively reviewing the nurse's evolving role in burn care, this article aims to enhance awareness and advocate for the integration of specialized nursing knowledge and skills in multidisciplinary burn management teams, ultimately improving the quality of life and survival rates of burn patients worldwide.

Background of Burn Injuries

Burn injuries represent a significant public health concern worldwide, arising from various sources of energy and resulting in complex tissue damage and systemic effects. The classification of burns is fundamentally based on the cause, which includes thermal, chemical, electrical, and radiation burns. Thermal burns, the most common, are caused by direct contact with hot objects, flames, scalding liquids, or steam. Chemical burns result from exposure to acids or alkalis which cause tissue necrosis through coagulation or liquefactive processes respectively. Electrical burns involve injury from electric current passing through the body and can cause deep tissue damage beyond apparent skin injury. Radiation burns occur from prolonged exposure to ultraviolet light or ionizing radiation, leading to skin and deeper tissue injury with distinct pathophysiological mechanisms (Żwierełło et al., 2023).

Assessing burn injury severity requires evaluating both burn depth and total body surface area (TBSA) affected. Burn depth ranges from superficial (involving only the epidermis) to full-thickness (involving complete destruction of skin layers and possibly deeper tissues). Clinical assessment of depth utilizes characteristics such as color, presence of blisters, capillary refill, and sensation. TBSA quantifies the extent of skin involved and is critical for treatment decisions; it can be estimated using methods like the Rule of Nines, Lund and Browder chart, or the palmar method (patient's palm representing roughly 1% TBSA). Accuracy in TBSA estimation is essential as it guides fluid resuscitation, nutritional support, and referral decisions (Moore et al., 2025).

The pathophysiology of burn injuries involves both local and systemic responses. Locally, burns disrupt the protective skin barrier, leading to fluid loss, increased permeability, and inflammation. Systemically, particularly in burns exceeding 20-25% TBSA, a profound inflammatory cascade activates involving cytokines and nitric oxide, causing vasodilation, capillary leak, hypovolemia, and a hypermetabolic state. This leads to muscle wasting, insulin resistance, immune suppression, and increased susceptibility to sepsis, a leading cause of mortality in severe burns. Cardiovascular, respiratory, metabolic, and immunological systems are all significantly impacted. Organ dysfunction can ensue if shock is not promptly managed (Dobson et al., 2024).

Burn care management unfolds in phases reflecting the evolving patient needs. The emergency (resuscitative) phase focuses on managing the immediate threats of hypovolemic shock and airway compromise. Critical interventions include securing intravenous access, precise fluid resuscitation guided by TBSA and urine output, pain control, and prevention of hypothermia during the first 48-72 hours. The acute phase involves wound care to prevent infection, monitoring of electrolyte imbalances, nutritional support, and physical therapy to mitigate contractures and preserve function as the wound heals or receives grafts. Finally, the rehabilitative phase addresses long-term recovery needs such as scar management, psychosocial support, and restoration of function over weeks to months post-injury (Nielson et al., 2017).

Understanding the complex interaction of these factors is crucial for nurses who contribute significantly throughout each stage from initial assessment through discharge planning and rehabilitation support, ensuring optimal outcomes for burn patients.

Methods

The methods section of this review employed a narrative and integrative approach to synthesize existing literature on the nurse's contribution to effective burn care management. Key databases including PubMed, CINAHL, Scopus, and Google Scholar were systematically searched using carefully selected keywords such as "nursing role in burn care," "burn wound management," "nursing rehabilitation in burns," and "infection control in burn unit." Studies published between 2010 and 2025 in English-language peer-reviewed journals, nursing textbooks, and clinical guidelines were included to ensure contemporary relevance. The selection was restricted to research focusing explicitly on nursing interventions, patient outcomes, or challenges encountered in burn care units, thereby excluding non-nursing-specific studies and animal experiments. This deliberate inclusion and exclusion criterion enabled the collection of directly pertinent evidence to nursing roles in all phases of burn care, encompassing acute wound management, rehabilitation, and psychological support within the burn unit setting.

Data synthesis in this review followed a thematic organization, classifying findings under clinical, educational, and psychological nursing intervention domains. Such thematic synthesis provided a structured framework for examining how nurses contribute comprehensively to burn care management from executing meticulous wound care protocols and infection control measures to delivering pain management and mobilization support, as well as addressing the emotional and psychological needs of burn patients. This approach facilitated the integration of quantitative findings on nursing practices with qualitative insights into educational and psychosocial challenges highlighted in the literature. By emphasizing evidence-based nursing roles across multiple facets of burn care, this methodology supports a holistic understanding of nursing contributions to enhanced burn patient outcomes and informs best practices for future clinical application and research.

Roles of the Nurse in Burn Care

Nurses are at the heart of effective burn care management, orchestrating a comprehensive spectrum of interventions that impact patient outcomes profoundly. Their roles span across initial emergency actions, meticulous wound care, infection control, physiological monitoring, pain relief, nutritional support, psychological assistance, and long-term rehabilitation coordination (Carey et al., 2021).

At the outset, nurses perform rapid triage and stabilization, focusing on the ABCs: airway, breathing, and circulation to prioritize life-saving interventions. Accurate estimation of burn size and depth is critical for guiding treatment decisions, often using tools like the "Rule of Nines." Nurses closely monitor fluid resuscitation, applying formulas such as the Parkland formula to calculate the volume necessary based on burn extent and patient weight. This phase also involves aggressive pain control and providing psychological reassurance, essential to mitigate trauma and maintain patient cooperation during critical care (Vercruysse et al., 2019).

Nurses apply principles of aseptic technique rigorously to prevent contamination. They assist in debridement and conduct timely dressing changes while selecting and applying appropriate topical antimicrobial agents to reduce microbial colonization and promote healing. Their role extends to advanced therapies such as negative pressure wound therapy and the use of biosynthetic dressings, which enhance tissue regeneration. Preventing wound desiccation and contracture formation through careful management is a continual nursing responsibility (Coban, 2012).

Given burn patients' vulnerability to infection, nurses enforce sterile procedures and isolation protocols diligently. Early detection of infection signs through surveillance and culture monitoring informs timely antibiotic stewardship. Nurses contribute significantly by implementing and adhering to infection prevention guidelines within burn units, reducing the incidence of nosocomial infections and improving survival rates (Coban, 2012).

Maintaining fluid and electrolyte homeostasis requires vigilant monitoring of vital signs, fluid intake and output, and biochemical parameters. Nurses adjust fluid replacement therapy dynamically as patients progress, anticipating and managing complications such as fluid overload or deficit, crucial for organ perfusion and functional stability (Kraft et al., 2013).

Pain assessment utilizes scales tailored for burn patients, enabling nurses to administer both pharmacologic analgesics (opioids, sedatives) and non-pharmacologic techniques(relaxation, distraction). Emotional support complements physical relief, especially during painful procedures, enhancing patient comfort and adherence to treatment (Griggs et al., 2017).

Nurses work collaboratively with dietitians to deliver high-protein, high-calorie diets, addressing the hypermetabolic state of burn patients. They facilitate enteral and parenteral feeding protocols, monitoring nutritional status and adjusting support based on metabolic demands to promote healing and reduce complications (Shields & Nakakura, 2023).

Burn injuries often precipitate profound psychological distress; nurses play a vital role in managing trauma-related anxiety and supporting patients' emotional needs. They provide family education and counseling, assist with body image adjustments, and support coping mechanisms essential for holistic recovery (Kornhaber & Wilson, 2011).

Nurses actively work to prevent contractures, support mobility maintenance, and assist in physical and occupational therapies. Preparing patients for discharge includes education about home care and facilitating follow-up to ensure successful community reintegration. Their role in continuous care significantly influences long-term quality of life and functional outcomes (Cartotto et al., 2023).

Interdisciplinary Collaboration in Burn Management

The nurse’s role in interdisciplinary collaboration in burn management is central and multifaceted, encompassing vital coordination with physicians, surgeons, physiotherapists, nutritionists, and other specialists to optimize patient outcomes. Nurses act as the linchpin in the multidisciplinary burn care team, ensuring continuity and coherence of care through their leadership in communication and patient advocacy. Effective burn care requires seamless integration of diverse expertise. Nurses facilitate this by coordinating treatment plans, communicating patient status changes promptly, and managing the complexities of each patient's unique needs, including acute medical issues, wound care, rehabilitation, nutritional requirements, and psychological support. Their role transcends mere task execution to embrace the holistic oversight of the patient’s journey from acute injury through recovery and rehabilitation (Ma et al., 2025).

Coordination with physicians and surgeons is critical in determining immediate and long-term treatment strategies such as surgical interventions, debridement, grafting, and critical care management. Nurses contribute to the assessment and monitoring of patient responses to these interventions, alerting the team to complications or changes in condition that require prompt action. Physiotherapists rely on nurses to help implement rehabilitation protocols aimed at

restoring mobility and function, emphasizing pain management, prevention of contractures, and promotion of early mobilization. Nutritionists depend on nurses to assess and support nutritional interventions that are essential to burn healing and metabolic demands, including enteral and parenteral feeding when necessary (Palacio & Iacobelli, 2025).

Nurse-led communication and ensuring continuity of care are indispensable elements of burn management. Nurses serve as the primary communicators between patients, families, and the broader healthcare team, bridging gaps that can arise due to shift changes and fragmented care. Their ongoing presence at the bedside allows them to provide emotional support and education to patients and families, which enhances adherence to care plans and improves psychological resilience. They document and convey critical information during multidisciplinary rounds and team conferences, ensuring that all team members are informed and aligned on care objectives. This continuous communication helps in adapting care plans dynamically to patient progress and emerging complications (Tetteh et al., 2021).

Participation of nurses in multidisciplinary rounds and burn team conferences reflects their integral role in collaborative decision-making. These forums enable comprehensive review of patient progress, facilitate troubleshooting of complex clinical problems, and promote shared responsibility among team members. Nurses contribute valuable observations gleaned from their close patient interactions, which might be overlooked in purely physician-led discussions. Furthermore, their insights into patient preferences and psychosocial status inform more patient-centered care plans. Such interdisciplinary collaboration has been linked to improved clinical outcomes, enhanced patient safety, reduced medical errors, and greater overall satisfaction for patients and healthcare providers alike (Shivanpour et al., 2020).

Research underscores that multidisciplinary burn care teams, with nurses at their communication and coordination core, are essential for delivering standardized, evidence-based care and for overcoming barriers to optimal treatment. Burn nurses possess specialized training that equips them to manage critical wound care, infection prevention, pain control, nutritional support, and psychosocial aspects of burn recovery. Their autonomous roles extend into nurse-led clinics, case management, research, and education, further enhancing interdisciplinary practice and patient care quality. Innovative technology-based tools to support nurse communication and knowledge sharing within the interdisciplinary team have shown promise in improving workflow, adherence to clinical guidelines, and team collaboration. Ultimately, nurses' leadership and continuous involvement in interdisciplinary collaboration are pivotal to effective burn care management, contributing significantly to positive patient outcomes and quality of life after burn injury (Applebaum et al., 2025).

Education, Training, and Skill Development

Specialized burn nursing education and certification have gained formal recognition recently, exemplified by the introduction of the Certified Burn Registered Nurse (CBRN) credential, which marks a milestone in defining burn nursing as a specialty. This certification process reflects expertise in clinical knowledge, mastery of burn care competencies, and adherence to professional standards that guide the complex care requirements for burn patients. Certified burn nurses demonstrate enhanced clinical judgment and technical skills essential for managing acute and chronic burn injuries, which translates directly into improved patient outcomes and increased nurse satisfaction. The development and standardization of educational curricula guided by the American

Burn Association domains foster professional growth and facilitate the preparation of nurses for certification exams and clinical practice in specialized burn units (Coles et al., 2023).

Continuous professional development in wound care and critical care nursing ensures that nurses remain updated with evolving evidence-based practices, safety protocols, and innovative treatment modalities. Nurses engaged in lifelong learning through formal education, workshops, and competency-based orientations sharpen their assessment skills, therapeutic interventions, and holistic patient management capabilities. This continuous learning is crucial given the rapid expansion of knowledge and technologies in wound healing and critical care, which require the clinician to integrate new scientific data with clinical expertise and patient preferences. Structured education programs contribute to better documentation, decision-making, and risk management in burn care delivery (Orsted et al., 2011).

Simulation-based training has emerged as a powerful tool for burn nursing education, offering experiential learning in a safe, controlled environment that replicates real-life clinical scenarios. Hybrid simulation programs employing standardized patients, wearable burn models, and full-scale scenario rehearsals enable nursing students and practicing nurses to develop technical skills, empathy, physical assessment proficiency, and emergency response capabilities. This hands-on training improves readiness for acute burn management, including assessment, resuscitation, wound care, and rehabilitation stages. Studies reveal significant gains in knowledge, clinical skills, and emotional empathy following simulation interventions, underscoring the value of simulation for competency development and confidence building in burn nursing practice (Orsted et al., 2011).

Mentorship and leadership roles in burn units are critical for sustaining and advancing nursing competencies and fostering a supportive culture that enhances team performance and patient care outcomes. Structured mentorship programs involving senior nurses and nurse specialists provide individualized support, skills development, and career guidance for novice and mid-level burn nurses. Such mentorship focuses on nurturing leadership abilities, effective communication, clinical decision-making, and compassion in care delivery. Supervisory mentorship evaluates nursing performance across organizational, technical, educational, communicative, and teamwork competencies, thereby promoting a culture of continuous improvement and professional growth. Additionally, empowering nurse leaders through mentorship positively influences employee satisfaction, retention, and quality of care, ultimately benefiting patients within burn centers (Orsted et al., 2011).

Effective burn care management relies heavily on the nurse's specialized education and certification, commitment to ongoing professional development, engagement in simulation-based experiential learning, and active participation in mentorship and leadership within burn care teams. These integrated educational and professional strategies empower nurses to meet the complex and evolving needs of burn patients, enhancing clinical outcomes and advancing the specialty of burn nursing (Gleason et al., 2024).

Innovations and Evidence-Based Nursing Practices

Innovations and Evidence-Based Nursing Practices in burn care management have been markedly transformed by the integration of advanced digital technologies, telehealth models, artificial intelligence (AI), and rigorous nursing research that collectively elevate patient outcomes and overall care quality. Digital wound assessment tools have revolutionized the precision and

efficiency of burn wound monitoring. These tools offer objective, quantitative measurements of wound dimensions and tissue characteristics, which are critical in burn care where accurate assessment directly influences treatment strategies. Evidence shows that digital wound tracking significantly shortens healing durations and reduces the frequency of clinic visits, easing the burden on both patients and healthcare facilities. Such technology-enhanced assessments enable nurses to make timely clinical decisions, adjusting interventions based on ongoing wound evolution, which is particularly vital given the dynamic healing process of burns (Farhan et al., 2025).

Telehealth follow-up programs have emerged as a critical innovation in post-discharge care for burn survivors, addressing both physical and psychosocial recovery needs. Nurse-led telehealth interventions, utilizing platforms like social media and mobile apps, provide continuous, accessible support that mitigates common sequelae such as anxiety, depression, and sleep disturbances that frequently accompany burn recovery. These programs extend nursing influence beyond traditional hospital settings into survivors' homes, promoting holistic management and improving psychological outcomes. Importantly, telehealth facilitates early detection of complications and ensures sustained engagement in rehabilitation protocols, which are essential for optimizing functional recovery and quality of life (Kivity et al., 2024).

The integration of artificial intelligence into burn care has introduced powerful capabilities in wound healing monitoring and classification. AI models combining digital photography and ultrasound imaging have been developed to automatically classify burn depths with high accuracy, which aids in refining therapeutic plans without solely relying on subjective clinician assessment. Furthermore, continuous AI-driven analysis of wound healing progression provides detailed information on changes in tissue health from necrosis to granulation and re-epithelialization enabling predictive insights into wound prognosis. AI-enhanced wearable sensors and imaging apps further empower nurses and patients by enabling real-time, remote wound evaluations, reducing the need for frequent in-person consultations and supporting personalized care decisions (E Moura et al., 2021).

Nurses have also played a vital role in advancing burn care research, contributing new knowledge and improving clinical practices. Their frontline engagement with patients informs studies on optimizing nursing practices, care coordination, and psychosocial support tailored for burn survivors. Research underscores that nursing factors such as staffing levels and work environment quality substantially influence mortality and recovery outcomes in burn patients, emphasizing the critical nature of nursing expertise in comprehensive burn care teams. Additionally, nurses lead innovations in treatment protocols, communication strategies, and aftercare programs, fostering interdisciplinary collaboration and patient-centered care models (Bayuo et al., 2024).

The convergence of digital wound assessment tools, telehealth follow-up, AI integration, and nurse-driven research embodies a transformative shift in burn care management. These advancements not only enhance the accuracy and efficiency of wound monitoring but also extend supportive care beyond the clinical setting, ultimately empowering nurses to deliver evidence-based, holistic, and innovative care to burn survivors throughout their recovery journey (Bettencourt et al., 2020).

Challenges Faced by Nurses in Burn Care Units

The nursing role in burn care units is indispensable and complex, yet profoundly challenging due to multiple interrelated factors that impact their effectiveness and well-being. One of the foremost challenges faced by nurses in burn care units is the high workload and risk of burnout. Burn units are recognized as high-stress environments where nurses provide intensive, round-the-clock care that includes routine wound management, specialized procedures like scar physiotherapy, and vigilant monitoring of fluid and nutritional status. The workload is amplified by the necessity of prolonged patient engagement, addressing both physical care and psychosocial support, as nurses often spend more time with patients than any other healthcare team member. This extensive demand can lead to significant physical, emotional, and mental fatigue, heightening the risk of burnout, a syndrome characterized by emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and reduced personal accomplishment. Burnout among burn care nurses results from continuous exposure to severe and often distressing injuries, demanding work hours, and the pressure to meet high care expectations, contributing to decreased job satisfaction and potential attrition in burn care settings (Wang et al., 2023).

The emotional toll of working in burn care units is profound and multifaceted. Nurses frequently witness patients enduring extreme pain, disfigurement, and life-threatening complications, which evokes strong emotional responses including compassion fatigue, stress, and grief. The severity of burn injuries and the high mortality risk place a psychological burden on nurses who not only manage clinical tasks but also provide emotional support to patients and their families. Exposure to suffering, patient delirium, compliance difficulties with painful therapies, and frequent encounters with death create an emotionally fraught working environment. This emotional strain is compounded by the lack of adequate support systems in many burn care units, leading to burnout as well as symptoms of anxiety and depression. Emotional resilience and psychological support mechanisms are crucial but often insufficient, leaving nurses vulnerable to long-term mental health challenges (Shivanpour et al., 2020).

Resource limitations in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) present further formidable obstacles to effective burn care nursing. Burn care demands significant infrastructure, including access to sterile supplies, specialized dressings, wound care materials, antibiotics, and advanced surgical interventions such as skin grafting. However, many LMICs lack sufficient funding, trained personnel, and burn care facilities, resulting in inadequate and delayed treatment. Nurses in these settings often work in environments with scarce material resources, limited surgical capacity, and insufficient access to infection control measures. These shortages hinder the ability of nurses to deliver standard care and increase patient morbidity and mortality. Moreover, financial barriers at the patient and system levels undermine timely access and adherence to treatment, exacerbating outcomes. Nurses face ethical dilemmas balancing ideal care standards against practical limitations, which adds to moral distress and professional frustration (Botman et al., 2021).

Infection control is a critical and persistent challenge in burn units, directly influencing patient survival and recovery. Burn patients are highly susceptible to infections due to large open wounds and compromised immune function. Effective infection control requires strict adherence to protocols including hand hygiene, use of personal protective equipment, cohort nursing, aseptic dressing changes, and environmental sterilization. However, nurses often contend with environmental constraints such as crowded and overheated burn units, unpleasant odors from necrotic tissue and antiseptics, and limited availability of isolation facilities or laminar airflow systems. These factors complicate the maintenance of asepsis and increase the risk of nosocomial infections with multidrug-resistant organisms like Pseudomonas aeruginosa and MRSA.

Hydrotherapy practices, once common for wound cleansing, carry cross-contamination risks and have been largely replaced by safer methods, but residual environmental contamination challenges remain. Nurses also face barriers in consistently implementing infection control measures due to workload pressures and resource scarcity, underscoring the necessity for ongoing training, adequate staffing, and infrastructure improvements to support infection prevention (Rafla & Tredget, 2011).

Nurses working in burn care units confront significant challenges that encompass high physical and psychological workloads leading to burnout, intense emotional impacts from severe patient cases, critical resource limitations particularly in LMICs that constrain care delivery, and formidable infection control difficulties exacerbated by environmental and systemic barriers. Addressing these challenges requires multifaceted strategies including enhanced staffing and support, mental health resources, investment in infrastructure and supplies, and rigorous adherence to infection control protocols to optimize patient outcomes and sustain the nursing workforce in burn care (Wang et al., 2023).

Quality Improvement and Outcome Measurement

Quality improvement and outcome measurement in burn care management represent critical elements that directly influence the efficacy of nursing interventions and overall patient recovery. Nursing audits are an essential strategy to systematically assess nursing interventions and their impact on patient outcomes. These audits serve as structured evaluations that help identify adherence to best practices, detect gaps in care, and provide data for enhancing clinical protocols. By continuously monitoring interventions such as wound care, pain management, infection control, and rehabilitation activities, nursing audits foster accountability and facilitate evidence-based adjustments that improve patient safety and accelerate recovery trajectories. Such audits also provide vital feedback loops essential for nursing staff education and professional development, which sustain high standards of care for burn patients (Holden et al., 2022).

Burn-specific nursing quality indicators are specialized metrics developed to evaluate the unique facets of burn care nursing. These indicators include measures related to pain control efficacy, infection rates, wound healing progression, nutritional support, and psychological care. One notable framework comprises structure, process, and outcome indicators designed to capture the multidimensional nature of burn care quality comprehensively. Structure indicators assess resources and staffing aptitude, process indicators focus on adherence to clinical guidelines and timely interventions, and outcome indicators reflect patient-centered results such as complication rates and functional recovery. The use of these indicators enables burn care providers to benchmark performance internally and against wider standards, enhancing the consistency and quality of nursing care delivery (Suzuki et al., 2024).

Patient satisfaction and recovery outcomes are integral endpoints in burn care quality assessment. Studies have demonstrated that quality nursing care significantly reduces wound pain, anxiety, and depression levels among burn patients, which directly correlates with improved recovery experiences and satisfaction. Patient satisfaction surveys often reveal that interactions with nursing staff are among the most positively rated aspects of care, underscoring the critical role nurses play in holistic burn management. Equally, comprehensive recovery outcomes extend beyond physical healing to include psychosocial adjustment and quality of life enhancements. Nursing interventions that integrate pain management, psychological support, patient education, and

rehabilitation contribute to a more favorable recovery trajectory and greater patient contentment (Hutter et al., 2024).

Strategies for safety and continuous quality enhancement in burn care nursing emphasize the implementation of multidisciplinary care standards, ongoing staff training, and robust quality improvement programs. Ensuring patient safety involves protocols for infection prevention, optimal positioning and mobilization to reduce disability, meticulous monitoring of vital signs, and pain management from the onset of care. Continuous quality improvement is further promoted through frameworks that define operational standards appropriate to facility resources and patient needs, especially in resource-limited settings. Leadership roles in burn care units, documentation practices including the maintenance of burn registries, and engagement with policy-makers also contribute to sustained quality advances. These strategies collectively create a dynamic environment where learning, adaptation, and innovation drive improved patient outcomes and care satisfaction (Potokar et al., 2020).

Recommendations for Future Research

First, there is a pressing need for more nursing-led interventional studies that rigorously evaluate burn wound outcomes. High-quality nursing interventions have been shown in meta-analyses to significantly reduce wound healing times and lower the incidences of infections and complications among burn patients. For instance, quality nursing care that includes specialized wound management, pain control, infection prevention, and nutritional support markedly improves recovery trajectories. However, large-scale randomized controlled trials and longitudinal studies are required to identify the most effective components and protocols of nursing-led burn care interventions across different clinical settings. Such studies should focus on translating evidence-based nursing protocols into diversified clinical practice to optimize wound healing and minimize long-term morbidity in burn survivors. Nurse-led transitional care programs that include predischarge planning and continuous follow-up have shown promising results in improving comprehensive health outcomes and reducing relapse rates post-hospitalization, pointing to the importance of care continuity (Bayuo et al., 2021).

Second, future research must expand frameworks for psychological care and psychosocial support targeted at burn survivors. Burn injuries impose substantial psychological burdens including anxiety, depression, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and social reintegration challenges. Nurse-led psychosocial empowerment interventions focusing on techniques such as stress management, coping skills, emotional regulation, and social adjustment have demonstrated effectiveness in improving mental health outcomes and early adjustment after discharge. Yet, the development of standardized, accessible, and sustainable psychological care models remains limited, particularly for diverse populations and resource-limited settings. Research should focus on validating comprehensive psychological care frameworks integrated into burn rehabilitation that capture long-term psychological sequelae, enhance resilience, and facilitate family and community support. Such frameworks can help bridge the gap between acute burn management and holistic recovery encompassing mental health, promoting quality of life for survivors (Shokre et al., 2024).

Lastly, benchmarking global nursing standards in burn management is essential for harmonizing care quality and elevating patient outcomes worldwide. Variations exist in nursing competencies, training, practices, and resource availability in burn care across regions and countries. Establishing

global benchmarks for burn nursing standards including wound care techniques, pain management protocols, infection control measures, psychological support practices, and rehabilitation procedures can guide the standardization and improvement of nursing education, certification, and clinical practice standards. Comparative research and international collaborations are necessary to define best practice standards, identify gaps, and tailor guidelines to local contexts while maintaining core quality principles. This effort not only supports the dissemination of evidence-based nursing care innovations but also promotes equity in burn care access and outcomes globally (Kearney et al., 2018).

Advancing burn care through nursing contributions requires targeted research efforts in interventional studies on wound outcomes, robust psychosocial care frameworks, and establishment of global nursing benchmarks. These directions will enhance the evidence base, support holistic burn survivor recovery, and foster consistent high standards of nursing care internationally. Future research should also embrace multidisciplinary partnerships and integrate technological innovations to maximize the impact of nursing roles in burn care management.

Conclusion

Effective burn care management is profoundly influenced by the expertise, dedication, and leadership of nurses. As central figures in multidisciplinary teams, nurses provide holistic care that encompasses physiological stabilization, wound healing, infection control, nutrition, psychological support, and rehabilitation. Their roles extend beyond clinical interventions to include education, advocacy, and research that collectively enhance patient safety and outcomes.

Advances in education, certification, and simulation-based training have strengthened the competencies of burn nurses, while innovations in digital and telehealth technologies have expanded their reach and efficiency in post-discharge care. However, persistent challenges, such as staff shortages, emotional burnout, and inadequate resources in low- and middle-income countries continue to limit optimal care delivery.

To ensure sustained progress, health systems must invest in specialized burn nursing education, support continuous professional development, and promote mental health resilience among nurses. Establishing global standards for burn nursing practice and encouraging research-led innovation will further unify and elevate the field. Ultimately, nurses remain the cornerstone of effective burn care management, translating compassion, knowledge, and technical skill into measurable improvements in recovery, rehabilitation, and quality of life for burn patients.

References

1. Applebaum, N., Teo, E., & Regel, E. (2025). 971 Enhancing Burn Care: An Examination of Nursing Roles in Goals-of-Care Discussions and Treatment Plan Development. Journal of Burn Care & Research: Official Publication of the American Burn Association, 46(Suppl 1), S378. https://doi.org/10.1093/jbcr/iraf019.502
2. Bayuo, J., Wong, F. K. Y., & Chung, L. Y. F. (2021). Effects of a nurse-led transitional burns rehabilitation programme (4Cs-TBuRP) for adult burn survivors: Protocol for a randomised controlled trial. Trials, 22, 698. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-021-05679-7
3. Bayuo, J., Wong, F. K. Y., & Chung, L. Y. F. (2024). Effects of a nurse-led aftercare telehealth programme on sleep and psychological outcomes of adult burn survivors: A

randomized controlled trial. Applied Nursing Research, 79, 151840. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnr.2024.151840

4. Bettencourt, A. P., McHugh, M. D., Sloane, D. M., & Aiken, L. H. (2020). Nurse Staffing, the Clinical Work Environment, and Burn Patient Mortality. Journal of Burn Care & Research: Official Publication of the American Burn Association, 41(4), 796–802. https://doi.org/10.1093/jbcr/iraa061
5. Botman, M., Hendriks, T. C. C., de Haas, L. E. M., Mtui, G. S., Binnerts, J., Nuwass, E. Q., Niemeijer, A. S., Jaspers, M. E. H., Winters, H. A. H., Nieuwenhuis, M. K., & van Zuijlen, P. P. M. (2021). Access to Burn Care in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: An Assessment of Timeliness, Surgical Capacity, and Affordability in a Regional Referral Hospital in Tanzania. Journal of Burn Care & Research: Official Publication of the American Burn Association, 43(3), 657–664. https://doi.org/10.1093/jbcr/irab191
6. Carey, M. G., Valcin, E. K., Lent, D., & White, M. (2021). Nursing Care for the Initial Resuscitation of Burn Patients. Critical Care Nursing Clinics of North America, 33(3), 275–285. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnc.2021.05.004
7. Cartotto, R., Johnson, L., Rood, J. M., Lorello, D., Matherly, A., Parry, I., Romanowski, K., Wiechman, S., Bettencourt, A., Carson, J. S., Lam, H. T., & Nedelec, B. (2023). Clinical Practice Guideline: Early Mobilization and Rehabilitation of Critically Ill Burn Patients. Journal of Burn Care & Research: Official Publication of the American Burn Association, 44(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1093/jbcr/irac008
8. Coban, Y. K. (2012). Infection control in severely burned patients. World Journal of Critical Care Medicine, 1(4), 94–101. https://doi.org/10.5492/wjccm.v1.i4.94
9. Coles, K. M., Burton-Williams, K., O'Connor, A., Patel, P., VanStanton, R., & Carrougher, G. J. (2023). Specialty Certification for Burn Nursing: Value, Beliefs, and Benefits. Journal of Burn Care & Research: Official Publication of the American Burn Association, 44(5), 1169–1175. https://doi.org/10.1093/jbcr/irad014
10. Dobson, G. P., Morris, J. L., & Letson, H. L. (2024). Pathophysiology of Severe Burn Injuries: New Therapeutic Opportunities From a Systems Perspective. Journal of Burn Care & Research: Official Publication of the American Burn Association, 45(4), 1041–1050. https://doi.org/10.1093/jbcr/irae049
11. E Moura, F. S., Amin, K., & Ekwobi, C. (2021). Artificial intelligence in the management and treatment of burns: A systematic review. Burns & Trauma, 9, tkab022. https://doi.org/10.1093/burnst/tkab022
12. Farhan, N., Hassan, Z., Ali, M. A. M., Alqalaf, Z., Rasul, R. E., & Jeffery, S. (2025). How Can Technology Improve Burn Wound Care: A Review of Wound Imaging Technologies and Their Application in Burns—UK Experience. Diagnostics, 15(17), 2277. https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics15172277
13. Gleason, M. G., Shingleton, S., Serio-Melvin, M. L., Gonzalez, M., Boyd, C., Colston, P., & Chafin, K. N. (2024). 22 A Burn Specialty Learning Experience: The Burn Center Symposium. Journal of Burn Care & Research: Official Publication of the American Burn Association, 45(Suppl 1), 19. https://doi.org/10.1093/jbcr/irae036.022
14. Greenfield, E. (2010). The pivotal role of nursing personnel in burn care. Indian Journal of Plastic Surgery : Official Publication of the Association of Plastic Surgeons of India, 43(Suppl), S94–S100. https://doi.org/10.4103/0970-0358.70728

15. Griggs, C., Goverman, J., Bittner, E., & Levi, B. (2017). Sedation and Pain Management in Burn Patients. Clinics in Plastic Surgery, 44(3), 535–540. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cps.2017.02.026
16. Holden, M., Ogada, E., Hebron, C., Price, P., & Potokar, T. (2022). Quality improvement training for burn care in low-and middle-income countries: A pilot course for nurses. Burns, 48(1), 201–214. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.burns.2021.04.002
17. Hutter, M. F., Smolle, C., Kleinhapl, J., & Kamolz, L.-P. (2024). Life Satisfaction After Burn Injury—A Comprehensive Review. European Burn Journal, 5(4), 418–428. https://doi.org/10.3390/ebj5040037
18. Jeschke, M. G., van Baar, M. E., Choudhry, M. A., Chung, K. K., Gibran, N. S., & Logsetty, S. (2020). Burn injury. Nature Reviews. Disease Primers, 6(1), 11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-020-0145-5
19. Kearney, L., Francis, E. C., & Clover, A. J. (2018). New technologies in global burn care—A review of recent advances. International Journal of Burns and Trauma, 8(4), 77–87.
20. Kivity, S., Rajuan, E., Arbeli, S., Alcalay, T., Shiri, L., Orvieto, N., Alon, Y., & Saban, M. (2024). Optimising wound monitoring: Can digital tools improve healing outcomes and clinic efficiency. Journal of Clinical Nursing, 33(10), 4014–4023. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.17084
21. Kornhaber, R. A., & Wilson, A. (2011). Psychosocial needs of burns nurses: A descriptive phenomenological inquiry. Journal of Burn Care & Research: Official Publication of the American Burn Association, 32(2), 286–293. https://doi.org/10.1097/BCR.0b013e31820aaf37
22. Kraft, R., Herndon, D. N., Branski, L. K., Finnerty, C. C., Leonard, K. R., & Jeschke, M. G. (2013). OPTIMIZED FLUID MANAGEMENT IMPROVES OUTCOMES OF PEDIATRIC BURN PATIENTS. The Journal of Surgical Research, 181(1), 121–128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2012.05.058
23. Ma, Y., Stewart, G., Chipp, E., & Bache, S. (2025). World burn care and the multidisciplinary team. Burns: Journal of the International Society for Burn Injuries, 51(4), 107453. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.burns.2025.107453
24. Moore, R. A., Popowicz, P., & Burns, B. (2025). Rule of Nines. In StatPearls. StatPearls Publishing. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK513287/
25. Nielson, C. B., Duethman, N. C., Howard, J. M., Moncure, M., & Wood, J. G. (2017). Burns: Pathophysiology of Systemic Complications and Current Management. Journal of Burn Care & Research, 38(1), e469–e481. https://doi.org/10.1097/BCR.0000000000000355
26. Orsted, H. L., Woodbury, M. G., & Stevenson, K. (2011). The Wound CARE Instrument: The process for developing standards for wound management education and programming. International Wound Journal, 9(3), 264–270. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-481X.2011.00880.x
27. Othman, N., & Kendrick, D. (2010). Epidemiology of burn injuries in the East Mediterranean Region: A systematic review. BMC Public Health, 10, 83. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-10-83
28. Palacio, R., & Iacobelli, O. (2025). 625 Implementing Burn Padlet to Improve Interprofessional Collaboration Within the BTSD Interdisciplinary Team. Journal of Burn Care & Research: Official Publication of the American Burn Association, 46(Suppl 1), S196. https://doi.org/10.1093/jbcr/iraf019.254
29. Potokar, T., Bendell, R., Chamania, S., Falder, S., Nnabuko, R., & Price, P. E. (2020). A comprehensive, integrated approach to quality improvement and capacity building in burn care

and prevention in low and middle-income countries: An overview. Burns, 46(8), 1756–1767. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.burns.2020.05.029
30. Rafla, K., & Tredget, E. E. (2011). Infection control in the burn unit. Burns, 37(1), 5–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.burns.2009.06.198
31. Shields, B. A., & Nakakura, A. M. (2023). Nutrition Considerations for Burn Patients: Optimizing Recovery and Healing. European Burn Journal, 4(4), 537–547. https://doi.org/10.3390/ebj4040035
32. Shivanpour, M., Firouzkouhi, M., Abdollahi mohammad, A., Naderifar, M., & Mohammadi, M. (2020). Nurses Experiences in the Burn Unit: A Qualitative Research. Iranian Journal of Nursing and Midwifery Research, 25(3), 232–236. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijnmr.IJNMR_162_19
33. Shokre, E. S., Mohammed, S. E. M., Elhapashy, H. M. M., Elsharkawy, N. B., Ramadan, O. M. E., & Abdelaziz, E. M. (2024). The effectiveness of the psychosocial empowerment program in early adjustment among adult burn survivors. BMC Nursing, 23, 45. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-024-01700-x
34. Stokes, M. A. R., & Johnson, W. D. (2017). Burns in the Third World: An unmet need. Annals of Burns and Fire Disasters, 30(4), 243–246.
35. Suzuki, D. R. R., Santana, L. A., Ávila, J. E. H. G., Amorim, F. F., Modesto, G. P., Gottems, L. B. D., & Maldaner, V. (2024). Quality indicators for hospital burn care: A scoping review. BMC Health Services Research, 24, 486. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-024-10980-7
36. Tetteh, L., Aziato, L., Mensah, G. P., Vehviläinen-Julkunen, K., & Kwegyir-Afful, E. (2021). Burns pain management: The role of nurse-patient communication. Burns: Journal of the International Society for Burn Injuries, 47(6), 1416–1423. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.burns.2020.11.011
37. Vercruysse, G. A., Alam, H. B., Martin, M. J., Brasel, K., Moore, E. E., Brown, C. V., Bettencourt, A., Schulz, J., Palmieri, T., Haith, L., & Inaba, K. (2019). Western Trauma Association critical decisions in trauma: Preferred triage and initial management of the burned patient. The Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery, 87(5), 1239–1243. https://doi.org/10.1097/TA.0000000000002348
38. Wang, Y., Wong, F. K. Y., Bayuo, J., Chung, L. Y. F., Zhang, L., & Wang, T. (2023). Challenges of nurses and family members of burn patients: Integrative review. Nursing Open, 10(6), 3547–3560. https://doi.org/10.1002/nop2.1651
39. Żwierełło, W., Piorun, K., Skórka-Majewicz, M., Maruszewska, A., Antoniewski, J., & Gutowska, I. (2023). Burns: Classification, Pathophysiology, and Treatment: A Review. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 24(4), 3749. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24043749