The Review Of
DIABETIC
STUDIES OPEN ACCESS

Role Of Anesthesia Technicians In Rapid Sequence Induction Preparedness

Faisal Mohammed Alzahrani[1], Moneer Hamza Alobaidi[2], Nawaf Mohammed Ahmed[3], Emad Mohammed Felemban[4]

[1-4] Ministry of Defense, King Fahd Armed Forces Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia*
Corresponding Author Faisal Mohammed Alzahrani
Ministry of Defense, King Fahd Armed Forces Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

Abstract
Rapid Sequence Induction (RSI) is a foundational, high-risk perioperative procedure designed to minimize the catastrophic risks of pulmonary aspiration of gastric contents and rapid arterial desaturation in patients with compromised airway reflexes or full stomachs. While historically conceptualized as a single-operator maneuver, contemporary perioperative medicine recognizes that safe airway management in emergency settings requires a highly coordinated, dual-practitioner team. This academic review examines the comprehensive, evidence-based role of the anesthesia technician—historically designated as the anesthetic assistant—in safeguarding patients during the periinduction period. Synthesizing literature, professional consensus guidelines, and human factors frameworks published prior to 2025, this paper analyzes the pathophysiological principles of airway protection and hypoxemia mitigation. It investigates the technician's technical responsibilities in preparing advanced airway equipment, executing specialized decontamination techniques such as Suction-Assisted Laryngoscopy and Airway Decontamination (SALAD), and managing complex pharmacological logistics. Furthermore, this report delineates the non-technical skills required for high-risk crisis resource management, highlighting the mechanics of cricoid pressure, the implementation of structured cognitive aids like the Vortex Approach, and the clinical utility of the "co-pilot" concept. Ultimately, standardized training paradigms, high-fidelity simulation, and robust institutional policies are recommended to integrate the anesthesia technician as an indispensable safety barrier in the operating theatre and non-operating room anesthesia locations.

Keywords Rapid Sequence Induction; Anesthesia Technician; Airway Decontamination; Crisis Resource Management; Human Factors; Airway Cognitive Aids.

1. Introduction

1.1 Background and Clinical Significance of Rapid Sequence Induction (RSI)

Rapid Sequence Induction and Intubation (RSII), hereinafter referred to as Rapid Sequence Induction (RSI), is a specialized anesthetic technique conceived to secure the trachea as rapidly as possible following the administration of induction and neuromuscular blocking agents (Safar, 1970). This technique is indicated in patients who present with an increased risk of regurgitating gastric contents into the pharynx, followed by pulmonary aspiration. The pathophysiology of pulmonary aspiration—originally described in obstetric patients by Curtis Mendelson in 1946—carries severe morbidity and mortality, often resulting in Mendelson's syndrome (chemical pneumonitis) or severe bacterial pneumonia [1].

The primary clinical objective of RSI is the immediate, uninterrupted transition from consciousness to tracheal intubation while maintaining stable physiological parameters and protecting the airway against passive regurgitation. The classic sequence involves preoxygenation, the administration of a predetermined dose of a rapid-acting induction agent immediately followed by a fast-onset neuromuscular blocking drug, the application of cricoid pressure, the avoidance of positive-pressure mask ventilation prior to intubation,

and the rapid placement of a cuffed endotracheal tube. However, the physiological challenges of this technique are profound. Patients requiring emergency airway management often present with acute cardiorespiratory compromise, morbid obesity, or severe sepsis, all of which narrow the margin of safety by accelerating the rate of arterial oxygen desaturation during the apneic phase. Consequently, any delay in securing the airway can culminate in profound hypoxia, hypercapnia, cardiac dysrhythmias, or cardiovascular collapse [2].

1.2 The Evolution of the Anesthesia Technician's (AT) Role in Crisis Scenarios

The administration of general anesthesia has transitioned from a single-operator specialty to a team-based paradigm that emphasizes the presence of a second, dedicated, and trained clinical practitioner. Historically, the role of the anesthesia assistant or technician was relegated to logistical preparation and the clean-up of anesthetizing locations [3]. However, national and international professional societies—such as the Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland (AAGBI) and the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA)—have progressively redefined the anesthesia technician (AT) as an essential, active clinical partner.

In emergency or high-stakes scenarios, such as an unfolding RSI, the AT acts as a critical technical and cognitive offloader for the primary anesthesia provider [4]. The modern AT possesses deep pharmacological knowledge, advanced technical competency in managing complex airway devices, and expertise in crisis resource management (CRM). The technician is no longer a passive bystander but rather a "co-pilot" who actively participates in pre-induction checklists, monitors vital physiological parameters, implements salvage airway techniques, and maintains situational awareness during stressful clinical crises [5]. This evolution reflects a broader shift within healthcare systems toward recognizing that patient safety is maximized when clinical teams consist of practitioners with complementary skills and a shared mental model of the clinical plan [6].

1.3 Problem Statement: Gaps in RSI Preparedness and Standardizations

Despite the established benefits of having a dedicated anesthetic assistant, significant variability remains in the training, certification, and clinical integration of anesthesia technicians across global healthcare systems. In many institutions, there is a lack of standardization in preoperative checklists and emergency equipment cart layouts, which can introduce latency and cognitive load when clinicians are faced with a rapid airway crisis. Furthermore, professional guidelines often suffer from a "clinical gap" wherein the precise duties of the AT during emergency airway management are poorly defined, leaving room for role ambiguity and breakdown of communication [7].

The UK's Fourth National Audit Project (NAP4) identified that a substantial portion of catastrophic airway events, such as those involving aspiration or "Can't Intubate, Can't Oxygenate" (CICO) scenarios, were compounded not by a lack of physical equipment, but by human factors failures, including role confusion, poor preparation, and inadequate assistance. Many clinical teams do not perform routine, joint interdisciplinary training or low-fidelity simulations, leading to poor coordination during active, high-stress RSI scenarios. Without standardized workflows that explicitly define the AT's role in drug preparation, patient positioning, cricoid pressure execution, and difficult airway troubleshooting, the clinical safety margin of RSI remains compromised [8].

1.4 Research Questions and Investigative Objectives

To address these critical gaps, this paper aims to systematically analyze and define the role of the anesthesia technician in rapid sequence induction preparedness, focusing on pre-2025 clinical evidence and consensus guidelines. The primary clinical and investigative objectives of this study are guided by the following questions:

- How do the specific technical preparations and equipment verification workflows of the anesthesia technician directly influence first-pass intubation success and reduce the time to secure the airway during an RSI?

- What is the physiological and biomechanical evidence supporting the technician's role in patient positioning and the execution of cricoid pressure, and how do these interventions affect patient safety?
- How can human factors, cognitive aids, and crisis resource management principles be integrated into the technician's workflow to reduce cognitive errors and task fixation during unexpected difficult airway management or hemodynamic collapse?

2. Literature Review

2.1 Pathophysiology of Aspiration and Hypoxia during Induction

The physiological consequences of a compromised airway during the induction of anesthesia are divided into two mains, interacting processes: the aspiration of gastric contents and rapid arterial desaturation. Understanding these systems is vital for the anesthesia technician to anticipate the necessity of specific equipment and physical interventions.

2.1.1 Pulmonary Aspiration of Gastric Contents

Pulmonary aspiration occurs when the protective reflexes of the upper airway—including the cough, gag, and laryngeal closure reflexes—are abolished by the administration of induction agents, allowing passive or active gastric regurgitation into the tracheobronchial tree. Passive regurgitation occurs when the lower esophageal sphincter pressure is exceeded by gastric pressure, whereas active vomiting is a coordinated somatic event that can occur during light levels of anesthesia [9]. The risk of aspiration is highest in patients with "full stomachs," such as those with bowel obstruction, trauma, gastroparesis, pregnancy, morbid obesity, or those undergoing emergency surgery without adequate fasting [10].

The severity of pulmonary injury following aspiration is determined by the volume and pH of the aspirate [10]. The classical physiological thresholds defining a high-risk aspirate are:

Gastric Volume > 0.4 mL/kg and Gastric pH < 2.5

When highly acidic gastric juices contact the pulmonary parenchyma, they cause immediate chemical injury to the alveolar-capillary membrane, leading to alveolar collapse, interstitial edema, and acute inflammatory responses. This chemical pneumonitis compromises gas exchange and can progress to Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) [11].

2.1.2 Pathophysiology of Hypoxia and the Safe Apnea Time

During the induction of general anesthesia, apnea is iatrogenically induced by neuromuscular blockade and induction agents. In the absence of ventilation, the body must rely on the physiological oxygen reservoir to maintain cellular respiration. This oxygen reservoir is primarily contained within the lungs, specifically in the Functional Residual Capacity (FRC), which is the volume of gas remaining in the lungs at the end of a normal tidal expiration [12]. The FRC is defined by the following equation:

$FRC = ERV + RV$

Where ERV is the expiratory reserve volume, and RV is the residual volume. The total amount of oxygen available in the FRC is a function of the lung volume and the fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO_2) achieved during preoxygenation.

During apnea, oxygen continues to be consumed by metabolic processes at a rate defined as:

$VO_2 \approx 3$ to 4 mL/kg/min

In hypermetabolic states, pediatric patients, pregnant patients, or those with sepsis, this rate of oxygen consumption (VO_2) is significantly increased. At the same time, the FRC is markedly reduced in obese patients, pregnant patients, and those in the supine position due to the cephalad displacement of the diaphragm by abdominal contents. When FRC is reduced and metabolic rate is high, the "safe apnea time"—the duration of apnea before the arterial oxygen saturation (SpO_2) drops below 90%—declines precipitously [13].

The Alveolar Gas Equation describes the partial pressure of oxygen in the alveoli (P_AO_2), which directly determines the driving force for oxygen diffusion into the pulmonary capillaries during preoxygenation [14]:

$$P_AO_2 = FiO_2(P_{atm} - P_{H_2O}) \frac{P_aCO_2}{R}$$

Where P_{atm} represents atmospheric pressure, P_{H2O} is the saturated vapor pressure of water at body temperature (47 mmHg), P_aCO_2 is the arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide, and R is the respiratory quotient (typically 0.8). By maximizing FiO_2 to 1.0 through effective preoxygenation, the nitrogen in the alveoli is completely replaced by oxygen (denitrogenation), maximizing P_AO_2 and expanding the FRC oxygen reservoir. The mathematical relationship governing the safe apnea time (t_{apnea}) can be expressed as [13]:

$$t_{apnea} = \frac{FRC \times (FiO_2\ F_{ET}O_{2,crit})}{VO_2}$$

Where $F_{ET}O_{2,\ crit}$ represents the critical fraction of end-tidal oxygen below which rapid arterial desaturation occurs. In a healthy, preoxygenated adult, t_{apnea} can extend up to 8 minutes, whereas in morbidly obese patients, reduced FRC coupled with elevated metabolic demands shortens this period to less than 2.5 minutes, leaving no margin for delayed or failed intubation attempts.

2.2 Cognitive Load and Human Factors in Emergency Airway Management

In emergency airway management, human factors and ergonomics play a vital role in patient safety, often outweighing pure technical skill. The Systems Engineering Initiative for Patient Safety (SEIPS) 3.0 framework provides a structured lens to analyze how the system's components—the people, their tasks, the tools they use, the physical environment, and the organizational policies—interact during an airway crisis.

2.2.1 The SEIPS 3.0 Framework in Emergency Airway Management

The five core domains of the SEIPS 3.0 framework are structured to analyze the specific interactions during emergency airway management [15]:

- **People (The Care Team):** Consists of the primary anesthesia provider, the anesthesia technician, and other assisting staff. Team performance relies on shared mental models, clear leadership, and a flattened hierarchy that allows any member to vocalize safety concerns.
- **Tasks:** Performing rapid sequence induction, applying cricoid pressure, managing pharmacological injections, and executing salvage maneuvers under intense time pressure.
- **Tools & Technology:** The physical interfaces of the anesthesia machine, airway carts, videolaryngoscopes, suction catheters, and cognitive aids.
- **Environment:** The physical layout of the operating room, intensive care unit, or emergency department, including lighting, noise levels, spatial constraints, and accessibility of emergency resources.
- **Organization:** Institutional policies, standard operating procedures, staffing ratios, and culture surrounding safety and continuous training.

2.2.2 Findings from the Fourth National Audit Project (NAP4)

The UK's NAP4 remains a landmark clinical audit that analyzed major complications of airway management. The report revealed that a significant majority of catastrophic outcomes were directly linked to human factors failures, including [16]:

- **Role Ambiguity:** Lack of clear definition of who was performing specific tasks, leading to delayed interventions or critical omissions.
- **Task Fixation:** Anesthesiologists repeatedly attempting tracheal intubation using the same failing technique, without moving to alternative lifelines or calling for assistance.
- **Poor Equipment Layout:** Inaccessibility of emergency front-of-neck access (eFONA) or backup devices due to non-standardized airway carts.

- **Communication Breakdowns:** Lack of clear, structured language between the primary provider and the assistant, resulting in mismanaged crises.

2.2.3 Cognitive Errors and Debiasing Strategies

During high-stress situations, the human brain suffers from cognitive overload, which impairs decision-making and manual dexterity. The presence of a single cognitive error is independently linked to increased odds of both overall and severe clinical complications [17]. The most common cognitive errors identified in emergency airway management are:

- **Fixation Bias:** The cognitive tendency to focus on a single, unsuccessful task (e.g., repeating direct laryngoscopy) while ignoring indicators of critical desaturation or elapsed time.
- **The Dunning-Kruger Effect & Overconfidence:** Clinicians overestimating their procedural ability in complex anatomy, which delays the escalation of care or the request for auxiliary help.
- **Availability Bias:** Relying on the most easily recalled clinical experience rather than adhering to established guidelines.

To mitigate these cognitive pitfalls, debiasing strategies must be systematically implemented. This includes the introduction of the "co-pilot" concept, where the anesthesia technician serves as a monitor of elapsed time and a checklist reader, actively countering the primary provider's task fixation. Additionally, flattening the hierarchy through structured communication techniques—such as Advocacy-Inquiry and the PACE scale (Probe, Alert, Challenge, Emergency)—allows the technician to intervene effectively when a senior clinician exhibits behavior arising from cognitive errors.

2.3 National and International Guidelines on RSI Roles (ASA, DAS, and Association of Anaesthetists)

Major national and international professional organizations have established guidelines that outline the clinical workflows and team structures required for safe emergency airway management. These guidelines consistently highlight the anesthesia assistant or technician as a vital component of the patient care system.

2.3.1 American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) 2022 Guidelines

The Association of Anaesthetists has established some of the most stringent and clear standards regarding the deployment and responsibilities of the anesthetic assistant (whether an Operating Department Practitioner or a specially trained anesthetic nurse) [18].

- **Mandatory Staffing and the "1:1" Principle:** The guidelines state that a dedicated, trained assistant is an essential member of the staff establishment in all locations where anesthesia is administered. Hospital management is administratively required to ensure staffing rosters allow for the allocation of a dedicated assistant for every cases. If an insufficient number of trained assistants is available, the guidelines explicitly mandate that clinical practice must be restricted to protect patient safety.
- **Exclusivity of Care:** During the critical phases of anesthesia, the assistant must have no other duties that would divert their attention from providing exclusive assistance to the anesthesiologist. The assistant must be present during the preparation and induction phases, remaining under the immediate and exclusive direction of the anesthesiologist until formally released. They must remain immediately available during maintenance and return to provide exclusive assistance at emergence and the conclusion of anesthesia.
- **Emergency Monitoring Delegation:** Under the Association’s Standards of Monitoring (2021), in exceptional emergency circumstances where a solo anesthesiologist is called to assist with a nearby life-saving procedure, a dedicated, trained anesthetic assistant must remain present to monitor the primary patient and must know exactly how to recall the anesthesiologist immediately if clinical deterioration occurs.
- **Standards of Objective Monitoring:** The Association mandates that the assistant verify that minimal monitoring (ECG, pulse oximetry, non-invasive blood pressure, and waveform capnography) is fully operational and attached before the induction of anesthesia begins. Furthermore, whenever neuromuscular blocking drugs (NMBDs) are administered—a core

component of RSI—the use of quantitative neuromuscular monitoring (nerve stimulation) is mandated to objectively titrate and confirm the adequacy of block and subsequent recovery.

2.3.2 Difficult Airway Society (DAS) 2015 Guidelines

The Difficult Airway Society explicitly recognizes that the outcome of a sudden, life-threatening airway crisis is heavily influenced by the performance and integration of the anesthetic assistant [19].

- **The Shared Mental Model & Pre-Induction Briefing:** The DAS 2015 Guidelines require that decisions regarding alternative rescue plans (Plans A, B, C, and D) must not be made in isolation. Instead, the primary anesthesiologist must explicitly discuss and agree upon these rescue pathways with the anesthetic assistant prior to the induction of anesthesia. Planning for a failed intubation must form a standard part of the pre-induction team briefing, ensuring that the assistant knows exactly which rescue devices (such as second-generation supraglottic airways or cricothyroidotomy kits) must be immediately prepared in the event of failure.
- **The "Co-PILOT" Crisis Protocol:** To bridge the gap in national guidelines regarding the assistant's explicit duties during a crisis, clinical teams utilize the **Co-PILOT** protocol. Designed to work in perfect synergy with the DAS guidelines, Co-PILOT empowers the assistant to flatten the hierarchical "cockpit gradient" and prevent operator task fixation through structured, trigger-defined actions.
    - **Co (Confirm Failure):** Verbally confirm and declare failed intubation or ventilation attempts (e.g., after 3 unsuccessful attempts).
    - **P (Propose Equipment):** Propose alternative airway devices, optimize patient positioning (e.g., proposing a shoulder roll/extension), or suggest the release of cricoid pressure if it is obstructing the view.
    - **I (Immediate Assistance):** Immediately call for senior anesthetic assistance and specify "FAILED INTUBATION" to the theater coordinator or switchboard.
    - **L (Laryngeal Mask Airway):** Immediately prepare and hand over a second-generation supraglottic airway device (SAD) with a gastric drainage channel.
    - **O (Oxygenate):** Closely observe the patient monitor, start a stopwatch to measure the duration of apnea, and verbally update the operator of any critical desaturations.
    - **T (Tracheal / eFONA Rescue):** Prepare and open the emergency front-of-neck access (eFONA) scalpel-cricothyroidotomy kit.
- **Ergonomics of Awake Tracheal Intubation (ATI):** In the DAS 2019 Awake Tracheal Intubation guidelines, the physical ergonomics of the team are strictly defined. While the primary operator faces the sitting or semi-recumbent patient, the anesthetic assistant’s primary physical position is mandated to be in close proximity to the operator with direct, uninterrupted access to the emergency airway trolley and infusion pumps.

2.3.3 Association of Anaesthetists Guidelines

The 2022 ASA Practice Guidelines for Management of the Difficult Airway focus heavily on team-based cognitive offloading, situational awareness, and strict attempt limitation to minimize laryngeal trauma [18].

- **The Pre-Airway "Time-Out":** The ASA guidelines mandate that a formal team briefing, or "time-out" must occur immediately before starting airway management [5]. During this briefing, the team must explicitly identify:
    1. The primary airway manager.
    2. The designated backup airway manager.
    3. The specific technical equipment to be utilized.
    4. The specific individual designated to immediately call for auxiliary help.
- **Strict Attempt Limitations:** To avoid the catastrophic consequences of mucosal trauma, edema, and bleeding caused by repeated intubation attempts, the ASA guidelines recommend limiting non-invasive intubation attempts to a maximum of **three**, with one additional attempt permitted only by

a clinician with higher airway skills (the "3+1" rule). The anesthesia technician is tasked with tracking these attempts and maintaining time awareness.

- **Monitoring of Elapsed Time and Oxygen Saturation:** A major focus of the ASA guidelines is mitigating cognitive fixation errors. The guidelines state that the care team must maintain continuous, active awareness of elapsed time and oxygen saturation to enable early, structured decision-making and avoid delayed escalation to rescue oxygenation pathways.
- **The Suspected Difficult Airway Strategy:** The guidelines strongly recommend an awake intubation approach when a preoperative assessment suggests a difficult airway and the patient presents with one or more of the following physiological criteria:
    1. Difficult face mask or supraglottic airway ventilation.
    2. Significantly increased risk of gastric aspiration.
    3. Inability to tolerate even a brief period of apnea (e.g., severe hypoxemic respiratory failure).
    4. Expected difficulty with emergency invasive front-of-neck rescue.

2.3.4 The Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists (ANZCA) PS08

ANZCA's professional document PS08: Statement on the Assistant for the Anaesthetist provides a highly structured framework that aligns Australasian practice with other major international bodies [20].

- **The "Exclusive and Dedicated" Rule:** Similar to the Association of Anaesthetists, ANZCA PS08 dictates that the presence of a trained assistant is an absolute safety requirement during the induction and emergence phases of anesthesia. During these high-risk periods, the assistant must remain exclusively dedicated to supporting the anesthesiologist and cannot be distracted by other theater duties.
- **Core Competency Validation:** ANZCA PS08 outlines that a competent assistant must demonstrate verified technical skills in:
    - The systematic pre-use checking and calibration of anesthesia delivery systems (in accordance with ANZCA PG31).
    - The preparation and management of advanced invasive monitoring equipment (e.g., arterial lines, central lines, and rapid infusers).
    - The correct biomechanical application and rapid titration of cricoid pressure (10 N when awake, increasing to 30 N upon loss of consciousness) during rapid sequence induction.
    - Active participation in patient identification, site verification, and the "Stop Before You Block" local anesthetic safety checklist.

Guideline Body	Mandated Staffing Ratio	Key Pre-induction Requirement	Critical Crisis Intervention
Association of Anaesthetists (UK)	1:1 dedicated, trained assistant for every case; limit clinical practice if unavailable.	Confirm standard ASA monitors and quantitative neuromuscular monitoring are active.	Must remain present to monitor the patient if the solo anesthesiologist is called away.
Difficult Airway Society (DAS)	Integrated team assistant (ODP or anesthetic nurse).	Pre-induction discussion of alternative plans (Plans A-D) and failed intubation drill.	Implement "Co-PILOT" triggers: call for help, track apnea time, and prepare eFONA cricothyroidotomy.
American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA)	Multi-practitioner care team (anesthesiologist, assistant, drug administrator).	Formal pre-induction "time-out" to assign specific roles and identify backup plan.	Monitor elapsed time and oximetry; enforce the "3+1" limit on non-invasive attempts.

ANZCA (Australasia)	Trained assistant (technician or nurse) holding formal competency credentials.	Verification of anesthesia machine checks (PG31) and "Stop Before You Block" verification.	Provide exclusive, dedicated support during induction and emergence; apply cricoid pressure.

2.4 Comparative Analysis: Assisted vs. Unassisted RSI Workflows

To illustrate the clinical impact of a dedicated, trained anesthesia technician, the following comparison highlights the operational differences and safety parameters between assisted and unassisted RSI workflows [3].

Operational Parameter	Assisted RSI (Anesthesiologist + Trained AT)	Unassisted RSI (Anesthesiologist Alone or Untrained Staff)
Pre-Induction Verification	Structured pre-induction briefing utilizing formal checklists (e.g., SOAPME); verified equipment fail-safes.	Incomplete or rushed checklists; lack of systematic verification of backup airway tools.
Cognitive Load of Operator	Low to moderate; operator focuses entirely on the technical execution of laryngoscopy and intubation.	Extremely high; operator must prepare drugs, monitor vitals, track time, and execute technical steps simultaneously.
First-Pass Success Rate	High; optimized patient positioning, immediate availability of the correct tube/stylet, and real-time visualization on VL.	Lower; delays in equipment adjustments, unoptimized positioning, and lack of visual support during laryngoscopy.
Time to Secure Airway	Rapid; immediate transit of equipment and seamless task execution within safe apnea parameters.	Delayed; time lost during drug administration, equipment retrieval, or manual optimization of the view.
Cricoid Pressure Application	Precise; applied by a trained AT using monitored or practiced force limits (20–30 N) with instant adjustments.	Absent, poorly executed by untrained staff, or excessively applied, causing airway occlusion.
Crisis Escalation (CICO)	Instantaneous; AT initiates emergency protocol, times apnea, calls for help, and hands the scalpel to the operator.	Delayed; late recognition of failure, task fixation, and time lost searching for the surgical airway equipment.

3. Advanced Equipment Preparedness & Technical Responsibilities

3.1 The RSI Cart: Standardization, Ergonomics, and Checklists

The design, contents, and ergonomic layout of the emergency airway and RSI carts are fundamental to mitigating risk during rapid sequence induction. The anesthesia technician holds direct professional responsibility for the operational readiness and systematic verification of these resources.

3.1.1 The SOAPME Mnemonic as a Checklist

To ensure no critical element is omitted during the high-stress preparatory phase, the AT utilizes the SOAPME mnemonic. This structured checklist should be executed in a "call and response" format to establish a shared mental model between the technician and the anesthesia provider [21].

- **S – Suction:** The AT must verify the presence of at least one functional, high-vacuum suction source. The suction catheter must be placed immediately available, ideally between the mattress and the bed frame near the patient's head, to ensure instant access if regurgitation occurs.
- **O – Oxygen:** The AT confirms the presence of functional oxygen delivery systems, including a non-rebreather mask (NRBM) and a bag-valve-mask (BVM) attached to a flow meter capable of

delivering a minimum of 15 L/min. High-flow nasal prongs must also be prepared to facilitate apneic oxygenation during the intubation attempt.

- **A – Airway Assessment & Devices:** The primary endotracheal tube (ETT) of appropriate size must be selected, along with a tube one half-size smaller. The AT must test the integrity of the ETT cuff using a syringe to inject 10 mL of air, verifying that no leaks exist, and insert a malleable stylet, bending it to a "straight-to-cuff, then 30-degree" configuration. Backup supraglottic airways (SADs) of appropriate sizes must be kept at the bedside.
- **P – Pharmacy & Plan:** All induction agents, neuromuscular blockers, and rescue medications must be drawn up, clearly labeled, and arranged in order of administration. The primary and secondary airway plans (Plans A, B, C, and D) must be explicitly discussed with the team.
- **M – Monitor:** The AT attaches standard ASA monitoring equipment, including a non-invasive blood pressure cuff, a continuous electrocardiogram (ECG), and a pulse oximeter. The blood pressure cuff should be placed on the arm contralateral to the primary intravenous (IV) infusion site.
- **E – Equipment & End-Tidal CO_2:** The AT ensures the functional readiness of the laryngoscope handles and blades (e.g., Macintosh or Miller blades), verifying that the light sources are bright and stable. A colorimetric or quantitative capnography monitor must be connected to the breathing circuit to provide immediate confirmation of successful tracheal placement.

3.1.2 The O2 MARBLES Checklist

An alternative, comprehensive checklist utilized by clinical teams to prepare the anesthesia workstation and environment is the O2 MARBLES system [22]:

- **O**xygen (Verification of high-flow oxygen supply and reserve cylinders).
- **M**asks & Monitoring (Oronasal masks, nasal cannula, BVM, and physiological monitors).
- **A**irway Adjuncts (Oral and nasopharyngeal airways, and immediate access to the difficult airway trolley).
- **R**SI & Rescue Drugs (Induction agents, paralytics, and emergency vasopressors).
- **B**ag-Valve-Mask & Bougies (Ensuring a flexible tracheal guide/bougie is at hand).
- **L**aryngoscopes & Supraglottic Devices (Direct and video laryngoscopes, and LMAs).
- **E**nd-Tidal CO_2 & Endotracheal Tubes (Verification of ETT integrity and capnography).
- **S**uction & Strategy (Functional suction and verbalization of the clinical strategy).

3.1.3 Ergonomic Design and Cognitive Load Reduction in Airway Bags

From an ergonomic standpoint, the layout of equipment inside emergency airway bags significantly influences the time to prepare devices and the cognitive load experienced by the clinical team. Traditional, non-standardized bags require clinicians to search through multiple compartments, which can increase the risk of delayed equipment preparation [23].

A human factors-inspired airway bag design, such as the StarRoll™ (which features a roll-out, highly visual, and sequenced layout), compared against an industry-standard structured bag like the SCRAM™ (Structured Clinical Rescue Airway Management), illustrates this trade-off. In simulation studies, the time to achieve full readiness for intubation was:

SCRAM™ Bag Preparation Time ≈ 99s vs. StarRoll™ Bag Preparation Time ≈ 115s $p < 0.036$

Although the SCRAM™ bag demonstrated a slightly faster time to technical readiness, participants reported significantly reduced stress, mental effort, and overall cognitive load when utilizing the StarRoll™ design. Both systems yielded a median Rapid Entire Body Assessment (REBA) score of 5, indicating a medium postural risk for the operator, but the visual mapping of the StarRoll™ mitigated task complexity. This suggests that integrating human factors principles into the visual and spatial organization of equipment—such as color-coding compartments to match the specific "lifelines" or phases of an airway algorithm—can improve team coordination and performance during high-stress airway events.

3.2 Airway Equipment Fail-Safes: Videolaryngoscopy, Suctioning optimization, and Backup plans (SGA, Cricothyroidotomy)

To ensure patient safety when the primary airway plan fails, the anesthesia technician must be proficient in managing videolaryngoscopy, optimizing suctioning under catastrophic conditions, and setting up emergency rescue devices.

3.2.1 Videolaryngoscopy (VL) Optimization

The clinical transition from direct laryngoscopy (DL) to videolaryngoscopy (VL) represents a major advancement in emergency airway management. Videolaryngoscopes utilize a high-resolution camera mounted on the distal tip of the blade, projecting the glottic view onto an external screen. This technology improves the Cormack-Lehane laryngeal view and increases first-pass success rates, particularly in patients with restricted neck mobility or limited thyromental distance [24].

The AT is responsible for ensuring that the VL unit is fully charged, the screen is clean and positioned in the direct line of sight of both the operator and the assistant, and that appropriate blade sizes (including hyperangulated blades) are immediately available. One major clinical failure mode of videolaryngoscopy is the contamination of the camera lens by blood, secretions, or vomitus, which can instantly render a high-technology visualization tool useless. The AT must anticipate this failure mode and implement active decontamination strategies.

3.2.2 Suction-Assisted Laryngoscopy and Airway Decontamination (SALAD)

The SALAD technique, developed by Dr. James DuCanto, is a structured, incremental approach to managing a massively contaminated airway where blood, gastric contents, or secretions threaten to overwhelm the visual field and contaminate the lungs. The core clinical concept of SALAD is that suction must not be used as a passive clean-up tool, but rather as an active airway management instrument that is integrated into the laryngoscopy workflow [25].

The AT must prepare a large-bore, rigid suction catheter (such as the SSCOR DuCanto Catheter™) which features a wide internal diameter and a specific curvature that mirrors the upper airway structures to prevent clogging by particulate matter. The technician assists during this procedure by managing the suction tubing, ensuring the suction canister maintains peak negative pressure, and preparing to receive the contaminated suction device or immediately hand over the endotracheal tube once the glottis is visualized. In simulation-based studies, training clinical teams in the SALAD technique significantly improved first-pass intubation success rates from 53.7% to 90.2% and reduced the total time to intubation by up to 30 seconds under conditions of massive contamination.

The clinical steps of the SALAD technique are highly structured, requiring precise coordination between the laryngoscopist and the anesthesia technician. These steps are detailed in the table below:

Step	Technique Name	Biomechanical/Physiological Rationale	Anesthesia Technician Role
1	**Airway Clearing**	Clears the oral cavity of gross contamination before introducing the laryngoscope blade, protecting the optical lens of videolaryngoscopes.	Hands the rigid suction catheter (RSC) to the operator; verifies suction vacuum is active (≥ 300 mmHg).
2	**Laryngoscope Lead**	The operator leads with the laryngoscope blade immediately behind the suction catheter, preserving visual tracking of anatomical landmarks.	Monitors physiological parameters (SpO_2, heart rate) and announces elapsed time.
3	**Esophageal Parking**	The RSC is shifted to the left corner of the mouth and advanced into the proximal esophagus, continuously diverting active regurgitation away from the laryngeal inlet.	Prepares the endotracheal tube (ETT) with stylet and lubricates the distal cuff.

4	**Tracheal Delivery**	The operator performs the "SALAD poke" with the right index finger to create a channel in the right oropharynx, facilitating tube advancement.	Hands the prepared ETT directly into the operator's right hand in the correct orientation.
5	**Cuff Inflation**	Immediate sealing of the trachea with the ETT cuff prevents any passive aspiration during the initiation of positive-pressure ventilation.	Inflates the ETT cuff with 10 mL of air using a syringe; immediately connects the breathing circuit and verifies capnography.

3.2.3 Airway Rescue Backup Plans: SGA and eFONA

When attempts at tracheal intubation fail (Plan A), the anesthetic team must transition to Plan B, which involves maintaining oxygenation using a second-generation Supraglottic Airway Device (SAD). Second-generation SADs (such as the ProSeal™ or Supreme™ LMA) are preferred because they feature a gastric drain tube that allows the decompression of the stomach and the evacuation of gastric fluids, which reduces the ongoing risk of aspiration during rescue ventilation. The AT must ensure these devices are pre-inflated, lubricated, and ready for immediate insertion [26].

If face mask ventilation, SAD placement, and intubation all fail, the team enters a "Can't Intubate, Can't Oxygenate" (CICO) scenario, which requires emergency Front-of-Neck Access (eFONA) (Plan D). The Difficult Airway Society recommends a scalpel cricothyroidotomy as the primary eFONA technique. The AT's technical responsibility during this crisis is to immediately locate and open the standardized cricothyroidotomy kit, which must contain:

- A size 10 scalpel (to perform a transverse incision through the cricothyroid membrane).
- A cuffed size 6.0 mm endotracheal tube.
- A flexible, coudé-tip tracheal bougie.

The AT must assist by maintaining stable, manual counter-pressure on the patient's trachea, holding the patient’s neck in an extended position (using a shoulder roll if necessary), and handing the sterile instruments to the operator in the correct sequence.

3.3 Pharmacological Logistics: Rapid-acting NMBDs (Succinylcholine, Rocuronium), Induction agents, and emergency vasopressors

The pharmacology of rapid sequence induction requires precise, weight-based calculations and rapid drug administration. The anesthesia technician plays a crucial role in pharmacological tracking, verifying drug concentrations, and preparing emergency vasoactive agents.

3.3.1 Pharmacological Profiles of Primary RSI Drugs

To support the anesthesia team, the AT must understand the pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic differences between key induction agents and neuromuscular blocking drugs (NMBDs) [27]. These differences are summarized in the table below:

Drug Class	Pharmacological Agent	Standard RSI Dose	Onset of Action	Duration of Action	Primary Clinical Indications & Drawbacks
Induction Agent	**Propofol**	1.5 to 2.5 mg/kg TBW	30 to 45s	5 to 10 min	Indicated for hemodynamically stable cohorts; causes profound vasodilation and myocardial depression.
Induction Agent	**Ketamine**	1.5 to 2.0 mg/kg IBW	45 to 60s	10 to 20 min	Preferred in shock, sepsis, and

					bronchospastic diseases; maintains sympathetic tone but can cause hypertension.
Induction Agent	**Etomidate**	0.3 mg/kg TBW	10 to 15s	5 to 15 min	Ideal for cardiovascular instability and severe hypovolemia; causes myoclonus and transient adrenal suppression.
Induction Agent	**Thiopental**	3.0 to 5.0 mg/kg TBW	30 to 45s	5 to 10 min	Highly effective anticonvulsant; causes severe dose-dependent hypotension and histamine release.
Induction Agent	**Midazolam**	0.1 to 0.3 mg/kg TBW	60 to 90 s	15 to 30 min	Rarely recommended for primary RSI due to slow onset; used in low, titrated doses for severely shocked patients.
Neuromuscular Blocker	**Succinylcholine**	1.0 to 1.5 mg/kg TBW	30 to 60 s	5 to 10 min	Fastest onset and shortest duration; contraindicated in hyperkalemia, burns, denervation injuries, and malignant hyperthermia.
Neuromuscular Blocker	**Rocuronium**	0.9 to 1.2 mg/kg LBW/IBW	45 to 60s	45 to 90 min	Clinically equivalent onset to succinylcholine at high doses; has a safer side-effect profile; reversed by sugammadex.

3.3.2 Dosing Nuances in the Morbidly Obese Patient

Calculating drug dosages in morbidly obese patients presents a significant pharmacological challenge. Applying dosages strictly to total body weight (TBW) can result in drug toxicity, whereas using ideal body weight (IBW) may lead to under-dosing and inadequate clinical effect [28].

- **Succinylcholine Dosing:** In morbidly obese patients, plasma pseudocholinesterase activity is elevated, which accelerates the clearance of the drug. To ensure complete neuromuscular blockade and optimal intubating conditions, succinylcholine must be dosed according to Total Body Weight (TBW) (1.5 mg/kg), up to a maximum absolute dose of 200 mg. Dosing based on IBW often results in under-dosing and poor laryngeal visualization.
- **Rocuronium Dosing:** Because rocuronium is a highly hydrophilic molecule, its volume of distribution does not scale with adipose tissue mass. Dosing rocuronium based on TBW leads to overdose and prolonged neuromuscular blockade. Therefore, rocuronium must be dosed according to Lean Body Weight (LBW) or Ideal Body Weight (IBW) at 1.2 mg/kg. This approach achieves rapid-onset muscle relaxation within 60 seconds while keeping the duration of action to a manageable 35 minutes.
- **Sugammadex Reversal:** Sugammadex is a modified gamma-cyclodextrin designed to encapsulate and reverse aminosteroid-induced neuromuscular blockade. In morbidly obese patients, dosing

based on IBW may be insufficient to fully reverse moderate or deep blocks. To optimize safety and ensure rapid reversal (within a median of 2 minutes), sugammadex must be dosed based on Ideal Body Weight plus 40% of the corrected body weight (IBW + 40% CBW). For immediate emergency rescue from a 1.2 mg/kg rocuronium block, a dose of 16 mg/kg TBW is indicated.

3.3.3 Emergency Push-Dose Vasopressor Preparation

In patients undergoing emergency RSI, iatrogenic hypotension is common due to the vasodilatory effects of induction agents combined with a reduction in venous return following positive-pressure ventilation. The anesthesia technician must be prepared to rapidly mix and deliver push-dose vasopressors, which serve as a critical bridge to continuous infusions [29].

Phenylephrine (Pure Alpha-1 Agonist)

- **Indications:** Indicated in hypotensive patients who exhibit concurrent tachycardia, as it increases systemic vascular resistance (SVR) and can induce a reflex bradycardia.
- **Concentration & Dose:** Target syringe concentration of 100 mcg/mL, administered in boluses of 50 to 200 mcg (0.5 to 2.0 mL) every 2–5 minutes.
- **Preparation Protocol:** Take a 3 mL syringe and draw up 1 mL of phenylephrine from a standard vial (concentration 10 mg/mL). Inject this 1 mL into a 100 mL bag of normal saline, resulting in a concentration of 100 mcg/mL. Label the bag and draw up the solution into a sterile 10 mL syringe for administration.

Epinephrine (Inopressor: Alpha-1, Beta-1, and Beta-2 Agonist)

- **Indications:** Indicated in patients with severe hypotension, cardiogenic shock, or profound bradycardia, as it increases SVR, heart rate, and myocardial contractility.
- **Concentration & Dose:** Target syringe concentration of 10 mcg/mL (dilution 1:100,000), administered in boluses of 5 to 20 mcg (0.5 to 2.0 mL) every 1–5 minutes.
- **Preparation Protocol:** Draw up 1 mL of "cardiac" epinephrine (1 mg in 10 mL, or 1:10,000 solution, equivalent to 100 mcg/mL) into a 10 mL syringe. Draw up an additional 9 mL of normal saline into the same syringe to achieve a final volume of 10 mL with a concentration of 10 mcg/mL. Label the syringe clearly with the drug name and concentration.

4. Crisis Resource Management (CRM) & Interdisciplinary Collaboration

Safe clinical practice in anesthesia is built on the Dyad Principle—the dynamic, collaborative relationship between the primary anesthesia provider and the anesthesia technician. High-stress medical environments operate under the principles of High Responsibility Teams (HRTs), where success relies on a combination of technical proficiency and non-technical skills.

Within this dyad, the anesthesiologist and the AT act with complementary cognitive roles. While the anesthesiologist focuses on the manual execution of airway techniques and clinical decision-making, the AT supports situational awareness by monitoring physiological trends on the patient monitor, tracking elapsed time, and preparing backup devices. This co-pilot relationship helps distribute the cognitive workload, preventing task fixation and ensuring that clinical progression through difficult airway algorithms is executed without hesitation.

4.1 Communication Protocols: Closed-loop communication, cricoid pressure nuances (BURP maneuver execution)

Effective communication is the foundation of team performance during emergency airway management. The anesthesia technician must be skilled in using structured communication frameworks to ensure safe drug administration and technical coordination.

4.1.1 Closed-Loop Communication

Closed-loop communication (CLC) is a highly structured communication model originally derived from military radio transmissions and subsequently integrated into aviation's Crew Resource Management

(CRM) programs. In high-acuity medical environments, such as the operating theatre and emergency resuscitation bays, communication failure is a leading cause of preventable patient harm. Retrospective analyses indicate that communication breakdowns contribute to up to 70% of sentinel events in healthcare and account for approximately 43% of adverse events within perioperative care [30].

During a Rapid Sequence Induction (RSI), the team operates under severe time constraints and physiological pressure, where a single misunderstood instruction can result in catastrophic outcomes, such as lethal drug dosing errors or unrecognized airway loss. CLC addresses this vulnerability by replacing assumptions with explicit, verified verbal transmissions, ensuring that critical messages are not only sent but successfully received and accurately interpreted.

The Three-Step Closed-Loop Communication Protocol

The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), through the TeamSTEPPS framework, codifies CLC as a rigid, three-step process designed to eliminate ambiguity [31].

Step 1: The Call-Out

The sender (typically the team leader or primary airway manager) issues a clear, concise, and targeted instruction. To establish clear accountability and prevent the "diffusion of responsibility" (where a general instruction to the room is acted on by no one), the sender must address a specific team member by name and maintain eye contact if possible.

- Clinical Example: "Sarah, prepare a syringe of 100 milligrams of succinylcholine."

Step 2: The Check-Back (or Read-Back)

The designated receiver repeats the instruction back to the sender verbatim. This step is not merely an acknowledgment of hearing a voice (e.g., saying "Okay" or nodding), but a precise confirmation of the clinical parameters, including drug name, dose, and route. The check-back acts as a critical safety buffer, allowing the sender to catch errors before they reach the patient ``.

- Clinical Example: "I am preparing a syringe of 100 milligrams of succinylcholine now."

Step 3: Explicit Confirmation

The original sender verbally verifies that the receiver's repeated message is completely accurate, thereby formally "closing the loop". If the receiver misheard the dose or drug, the sender immediately intervenes and corrects the error before the loop is finalized.

- Clinical Example: "That is correct." (or in the case of an error: "Incorrect, I said one hundred milligrams, not ten.").

Note: In fast-paced resuscitation and anesthesia environments, a **fourth element** is often appended to the cycle: the receiver verbally notifies the team leader once the task has been physically executed (e.g., "Sarah: 100 milligrams of succinylcholine administered."), allowing the leader to update their situational awareness in real time.

Cognitive Offloading and Shared Mental Models

From a human factor's perspective, the clinical utility of CLC extends beyond simple error-trapping. During an airway crisis, the primary anesthesiologist faces an immense cognitive workload, simultaneously juggling manual laryngoscopy, patient monitors, and clinical decision-making. Human working memory is limited; under acute stress, this capacity degrades, predisposing the clinician to cognitive tunnel vision and task fixation [32].

CLC serves as a cognitive offloading tool in several ways:

- **Fostering a Shared Mental Model:** By utilizing explicit, loud verbalizations (callouts and check-backs), all team members—including the anesthesia technician, circulating nurses, and surgical colleagues—maintain an identical understanding of the patient's physiological status and the current airway plan (e.g., transition from Plan A to Plan B).

- **Standardizing Terminology:** CLC utilizes "critical language"—highly specific, mutually understood, and standardized terminology. This prevents the use of vague or abbreviated language, which is common during high-stress resuscitations.
- **Vocalizing Parameter Shifts:** Structured callouts are used to alert the entire team to meaningful changes in patient status (e.g., "Oxygen saturation is dropping below ninety percent," or "Waveform capnography is not detected"), preventing the team from ignoring critical monitor trends.

Quantitative Evidence of Clinical Efficacy

The clinical benefits of CLC in high-pressure resuscitation and emergency settings are supported by robust simulation and observational data:

- **Error Reduction:** A high-fidelity simulation study conducted in a pediatric emergency department demonstrated that systematically training staff in CLC led to a 70% reduction in serious medical errors (with the absolute number of serious errors dropping from 19 to 5) [33].
- **Acceleration of Task Completion:** In pediatric trauma resuscitations, the use of CLC accelerated overall task completion rates by more than three times compared to open, unstructured communication. This rapid execution is critical during the narrow "safe apnea window" of an RSI [34].
- **Execution Fidelity:** In high-stress operating room and trauma bay scenarios, instructions communicated and verified via CLC achieved near-perfect task completion rates of 97% to 100%, compared to only ~80% in teams using open, unverified communication [35].

Systemic Barriers to Implementation

Despite its proven safety benefits, the consistent implementation of CLC is frequently impeded by clinical and behavioral barriers [36]:

1. **The Authority Gradient:** A steep, hierarchical cockpit gradient within the operating room can prevent junior staff, such as anesthesia technicians, from speaking up or demanding a read-back from a senior consultant. Egalitarian team leaders who actively invite feedback to encourage CLC, whereas authoritarian leadership styles drastically suppress its use.
2. **Cognitive and Sensory Overload:** High ambient noise, excessive alarms, and clinical chaos degrade the physical transmission of verbal messages. Furthermore, when a clinician is suffering from extreme task fixation, they may suffer from "inattentional deafness," completely failing to register a verbal check-back.
3. **Fatigue and Sleep Deprivation:** Sleep-deprived clinicians (e.g., following a 24-hour shift) are statistically more likely to omit communication steps, miss critical verbal cues, or fail to close the loop on medication orders.

4.1.2 Biomechanics and Execution of Cricoid Pressure (Sellick Maneuver)

Cricoid pressure—first described by Brian Arthur Sellick in 1961—consists of applying backward pressure over the cricoid cartilage to compress the upper esophagus against the bodies of the cervical vertebrae, thereby preventing the passive regurgitation of gastric contents during the induction of anesthesia [37].

To apply cricoid pressure effectively and safely, the anesthesia technician must adhere to precise biomechanical force parameters. The recommended force levels are:

- **In the Awake Patient:** Apply a force of 10 Newtons (N), which is equivalent to approximately 1 kg of mass. This initial pressure is tolerated by the patient without causing pain, coughing, or airway obstruction.
- **Upon Loss of Consciousness:** Increase the force to 30 Newtons (N), equivalent to approximately 3 kg of mass. This level of pressure is required to compress and occlude the esophagus.

Applying cricoid pressure incorrectly can introduce clinical risks. If the force applied is insufficient (20 N), the esophagus will not be completely occluded, increasing the risk of aspiration. Conversely, if the force is excessive (>40 N), it can compress the glottis, displace the vocal cords, deform the cricoid cartilage, and make direct or video laryngoscopy extremely difficult or impossible.

The physics of cricoid pressure can be analyzed through the relationship between force and the anatomical contact area of the cricoid plate:

$$P_{cricoid} = \frac{F}{A}$$

Where $P_{cricoid}$ represents the pressure exerted on the esophageal tissue, F is the applied force in Newtons, and A is the surface area of the cricoid cartilage plate (typically ranging between 4 to 9 cm^2). When applying a standard force of 30 N over a surface area of 6.25 cm^2, the pressure generated is:

$$P_{cricoid} = \frac{30\ N}{6.25 \times 10^{-4}\ m^2} = 48\ kPa$$

However, if the same force is applied to a smaller anatomical area of 4 cm^2, the pressure increases to 75 kPa. This elevated pressure can distort laryngeal structures or cause tissue injury. Conversely, if the force is applied to a larger area, the pressure may drop below the threshold required to occlude the esophagus.

Furthermore, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography (CT) studies have demonstrated that cricoid pressure frequently displaces the esophagus laterally in up to 90% of patients rather than compressing it directly, which can compromise its clinical effectiveness. Therefore, the AT must remain prepared to immediately reduce or release cricoid pressure if the primary provider reports difficulty with laryngoscopy, tube passage, or face mask ventilation.

4.2 The BURP Maneuver vs. Cricoid Pressure

The BURP maneuver (Backward, Upward, Rightward Pressure) must not be confused with cricoid pressure.

- **Cricoid Pressure (Sellick Maneuver):** Applied exclusively to the cricoid cartilage with the primary objective of compressing the esophagus to prevent gastric regurgitation.
- **BURP Maneuver:** Applied to the thyroid cartilage cephalad and laterally to the patient's right. Its primary objective is to displace the larynx into the operator's line of sight during laryngoscopy, thereby improving visualization of the glottic opening [38].

The physical and clinical distinctions between cricoid pressure and the BURP maneuver are summarized in the table below:

Parameter	Cricoid Pressure (Sellick Maneuver)	BURP Maneuver
Anatomical Target	Cricoid cartilage (complete anatomical ring inferior to the thyroid cartilage).	Thyroid cartilage (superior to the cricoid cartilage).
Primary Clinical Goal	Esophageal occlusion to prevent passive gastric regurgitation and pulmonary aspiration.	Improvement of the glottic view by displacing the larynx into the operator's line of sight.
Direction of Applied Force	Direct posterior pressure (perpendicular to the cervical spine).	Backward (posterior), Upward (superior/cephalad), and Rightward (lateral).
Applied Force Parameters	10 N awake, increasing to 30 N upon loss of consciousness.	Variable; applied until laryngeal visualization is optimized by the operator.
Key Clinical Hazards	Airway obstruction, laryngeal distortion, lateral esophageal displacement, or esophageal rupture if vomiting occurs.	Airway distortion or physical impedance of direct laryngoscope blade advancement.
Release Criteria	Active vomiting, severe laryngospasm, or if it interferes with ventilation/intubation.	Released immediately once the endotracheal tube has successfully crossed the vocal cords.

4.3 Managing Troubleshooting: Unexpected difficult airway, hemodynamic collapse, and equipment failure

During an RSI, sudden clinical deterioration can occur, requiring immediate technical troubleshooting. The anesthesia technician must be prepared to respond to three primary emergency scenarios:

4.3.1 Sudden Unexpected Difficult Airway

If the primary provider cannot intubate the trachea, the AT must immediately transition to the difficult airway protocol [19]. The technician's actions include:

- Calling for senior assistance and bringing the difficult airway cart directly to the bedside.
- Setting up and handing over a supraglottic airway device (SAD) to re-establish oxygenation.
- Initiating apnea timing using a stopwatch, verbally notifying the operator at critical intervals (e.g., 2 minutes, 3 minutes) to maintain situational awareness.

4.3.2 Acute Hemodynamic Collapse

If the patient's blood pressure drops to critical levels following induction—approaching a mean arterial pressure (MAP) of 45 mmHg, which is a threshold for cardiovascular collapse—the AT must immediately assist with hemodynamic resuscitation [29]. The technician's actions include:

- Verifying the patency of the intravenous line and initiating rapid fluid boluses using a pressure infuser bag.
- Handing the pre-mixed push-dose epinephrine or phenylephrine to the provider.
- Preparing to assist with chest compressions if cardiac arrest occurs.

4.3.3 Equipment Failure

If critical equipment fails during an active induction, the AT must execute immediate technical salvage procedures [39]. The technician's actions include:

- **Laryngoscope Bulb or Battery Failure:** Immediately handing over a replacement handle or a new blade.
- **Suction Loss:** Instantly checking for tubing kinks, ensuring the canister lid is sealed, or preparing a backup portable suction unit.
- **Anesthesia Machine Ventilator Failure:** Disconnecting the breathing circuit and initiating manual ventilation using a self-inflating resuscitation bag connected to an independent oxygen cylinder.

5. Training, Simulation, and Institutional Frameworks

5.1 Simulation-Based Training (SBT) for ATs in RSI scenarios

To ensure that anesthesia technicians can perform effectively during high-stress airway crises, institutions must transition from didactic instruction to Simulation-Based Training (SBT). Simulation provides a safe, controlled environment where clinicians can develop both technical and non-technical skills without putting patients at risk.

The Anesthesia Crisis Resource Management (ACRM) curriculum, adapted from aviation's Crew Resource Management (CRM), is a model for interdisciplinary training. In ACRM-based simulations, anesthesiologists, anesthesia technicians, and theatre nurses train together in highly realistic clinical scenarios [40]. These scenarios incorporate physiological stressors, equipment failures, and unexpected anatomical challenges to help teams practice:

- **Situational Awareness:** Recognizing early signs of clinical deterioration and monitoring environmental hazards.
- **Leadership & Followership:** Clearly defining roles and distributing tasks during a crisis.
- **Structured Communication:** Utilizing closed-loop communication and SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) tools under pressure.

Each simulation session must be followed by a detailed, video-assisted debriefing led by trained instructors. This process encourages reflective learning, helping participants identify latent system hazards, cognitive errors, and areas for teamwork optimization.

5.2. Designing Cognitive Aids and Checklists

Cognitive aids—including emergency checklists, visual algorithms, and flowcharts—are essential for reducing cognitive errors and supporting decision-making during high-stakes airway emergencies.

The Vortex Approach, developed by Dr. Nicholas Chrimes, is a high-acuity cognitive aid designed for real-time use during unanticipated difficult airway management. Unlike traditional linear algorithms, which can be difficult to recall under stress, the Vortex is a simple, circular model based on three non-surgical lifelines: Face Mask, Supraglottic Airway, and Tracheal Intubation [41].

- **The Green Zone:** The center of the Vortex represents the Green Zone, where alveolar oxygen delivery is successfully occurring via any of the three lifelines. Once the team enters the Green Zone, they can pause, stabilize the patient, and plan their next clinical step.
- **The Funnel:** If alveolar oxygenation is not occurring, the team is inside the funnel, spiraling toward a surgical airway. The Vortex prompts the team to perform a "best effort" at each of the three lifelines, utilizing a standardized set of optimizations.
- **The Rule of Best Effort:** A best effort at any lifeline must be completed within a maximum of three attempts. A fourth attempt is permitted only if a "gamechanger"—such as a different class of device (e.g., hyperangulated videolaryngoscope) or a clinician with higher skills—becomes available. If oxygenation cannot be established after completing a best effort at each lifeline, the team must immediately transition to emergency neck rescue (eFONA).

By using consistent language and simple visual cues, the Vortex Approach reduces cognitive load, improves team communication, and prevents task fixation, facilitating a timely transition to front-of-neck access when required.

The table below contrasts the main characteristics of standard difficult airway cognitive aids with the Vortex Approach:

Cognitive Aid Type	Design Philosophy	Primary Target	Attempt Escalation Trigger	Team Communication Utility
ASA Difficult Airway Algorithm	Linear decision-tree with distinct paths for anticipated vs. unanticipated difficult airway.	Individual anesthesia provider; structured for preparation phase.	Explicit pathways based on whether ventilation is adequate or inadequate.	Low; highly complex diagram is difficult for non-anesthesia staff to interpret in a crisis.
DAS Guidelines Guidelines	Sequential flowcharts prioritizing oxygenation and limiting trauma.	Anesthetic team; provides a clear Plan A through Plan D structure.	Number of attempts limited to 3 for intubation, 3 for SAD.	Moderate; provides clear plans but is linear and can lead to transition delays.
The Vortex Approach	Circular, funnel-based visual model centered on alveolar oxygen delivery.	Multi-disciplinary team (surgeons, nurses, and technicians).	"Best effort" completed across three non-surgical lifelines.	High; simple terminology ("Green Zone", "Lifelines") establishes an immediate shared mental model.

5.3 Institutional Policy Adaptations and Administrative Requirements

To integrate anesthesia technicians into the perioperative team, hospital administrations must establish clear institutional policies and professional frameworks.

5.3.1 Formalized Job Descriptions and Standardized Roles

The duties of the anesthesia technician in every anesthetizing location must be clearly defined in formal job descriptions [3]. These documents must outline the AT's role in:

- Performing daily anesthesia machine checks and equipment calibration.
- Preparing standard and emergency medications.
- Assisting with patient positioning, monitoring, and difficult airway management.

5.3.2 Structured Training Pathways and Competency Assessments

Institutions should implement structured training programs, such as those leading to a Postgraduate Certificate (PgCert) or equivalent professional credentials, ensuring technicians meet the standard competencies defined by professional societies [6]. Technicians must undergo regular competency assessments in core skills, including:

- High-level disinfection (HLD) and infection control processes.
- Setting up advanced monitoring and arterial lines.
- Participating in emergency difficult airway and cricothyroidotomy simulation training.

5.3.3 Administrative Support and Staffing Ratios

Hospital administrators must allocate sufficient resources to ensure that an assistant is available for every case where anesthesia is administered. Standard operating guidelines should mandate a 1:1 ratio of dedicated, trained technicians to active anesthetizing locations (including the main operating theatre, obstetric suites, and out-of-the-way locations like interventional radiology or MRI). If staffing levels are insufficient to meet these safety standards, clinical practice must be restricted to prevent patient risk [3].

6. Conclusion & Future Directions

This research paper demonstrates that the anesthesia technician is an essential component of the patient safety system during Rapid Sequence Induction. The evidence shows that:

1. **Pathophysiological Protection:** Managing the risks of pulmonary aspiration and rapid arterial desaturation requires a coordinated, dual-practitioner team capable of executing technical and manual maneuvers within tight physiological windows.
2. **Technical and Equipment Optimization:** The systematic preparation of the anesthetic workstation using checklists like SOAPME, combined with proficiency in advanced techniques like the SALAD decontamination protocol, directly improves first-pass intubation success and reduces the time required to secure the airway.
3. **Cognitive Support:** In high-stress airway crises, the AT serves as a critical cognitive offloader, helping monitor elapsed time and attempts, preventing task fixation, and facilitating structured communication during difficult airway escalation.

To translate this evidence into clinical practice, the following institutional policies are recommended:

- **Mandatory Team Briefings:** Require a formal, pre-induction briefing prior to every general anesthetic, explicitly defining the primary and backup roles and verifying the difficult airway plan with the anesthesia technician.
- **Standardized Cart Ergonomics:** Standardize the layout and contents of emergency airway carts across all anesthetizing locations, using color-coded compartments and visual aids to minimize cognitive load.
- **Joint Simulation-Based Training:** Implement regular, mandatory interdisciplinary simulation training focusing on crisis resource management, high-acuity technical skills (e.g., SALAD, eFONA), and team communication.
- **Dedicated Staffing Ratios:** Establish institutional policies that mandate the presence of a dedicated, trained assistant for every general anesthetic administered, ensuring that staffing levels are maintained to protect patient safety.

References

1. Gebremedhn EG, Gebeyehu KD, Ayana HA, Oumer KE, Ayalew HN. Techniques of rapid sequence induction and intubation at a university teaching hospital. World Journal of Emergency Medicine [Internet]. 2014 Jan 1;5(2):107. Available from: https://doi.org/10.5847/wjem.j.issn.1920-8642.2014.02.005
2. Ramaiah R, Stewart J, Bhananker S. Rapid-sequence intubation and cricoid pressure. International Journal of Critical Illness and Injury Science [Internet]. 2014 Jan 1;4(1):42. Available from: https://doi.org/10.4103/2229-5151.128012
3. The Hong Kong College of Anaesthesiologists. Guidelines on minimum assistance required for the safe conduct of anaesthesia. 2024 Nov.
4. Howarth D. Team working in airway crisis: role of operating department practitioner in management of failed intubations. British Journal of Anaesthesia [Internet]. 2016 Aug 26;117(5):553–7. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aew252
5. Almotairi AA 1, Alanazi AR 2, Zakimohseenqassemalnakli, Alhazuber MFM 4, Alyami ASM 5, Al Dighrir ASO 6, et al. Collaboration in Crisis: How Anesthesia Technicians, Technical operations & Operating Theater Technician Work Together to Manage Surgical Emergencies During Healthcare Disasters. JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL CRISIS AND RISK COMMUNICATION RESEARCH. 2024 season-03;(S3):150–1.
6. Anaesthetics – Fundamentals of Anaesthetic Care - de Montfort University [Internet]. Available from: https://www.dmu.ac.uk/study/courses/learning-beyond-registration/postgraduate/fundamentals-of-anaesthetic-care.aspx
7. Harfaoui W, Alilou M, Adib ARE, Zidouh S, Zentar A, Lekehal B, et al. Patient safety in Anesthesiology: progress, challenges, and prospects. Cureus [Internet]. 2024 Sep 16;16(9):e69540. Available from: https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.69540
8. Saul SA, Ward PA, McNarry AF. Airway Management: The current role of videolaryngoscopy. Journal of Personalized Medicine [Internet]. 2023 Aug 29;13(9):1327. Available from: https://doi.org/10.3390/jpm13091327
9. Van Wicklin SA. Cricoid pressure. Plastic and Aesthetic Nursing [Internet]. 2022 Oct 1;42(4):181–3. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1097/psn.0000000000000467
10. Coté CJ, Wilson S, AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS, AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRIC DENTISTRY. Guidelines for monitoring and management of pediatric patients before, during, and after sedation for diagnostic and therapeutic procedures [Internet]. Pediatrics. 2019 Jun p. e20191000. Available from: http://publications.aap.org/pediatrics/article-pdf/143/6/e20191000/1099098/peds_20191000.pdf
11. Root CW, Mitchell OJL, Brown R, Evers CB, Boyle J, Griffin C, et al. Suction Assisted Laryngoscopy and Airway Decontamination (SALAD): A technique for improved emergency airway management. Resuscitation Plus [Internet]. 2020 Mar 1;1–2:100005. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resplu.2020.100005
12. Lv R, Liu X, Zhang Y, Dong N, Wang X, He Y, et al. Pathophysiological mechanisms and therapeutic approaches in obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy [Internet]. 2023 May 25;8(1):218. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-023-01496-3
13. Sakızcı-Uyar B, Çelik Ş, Postacı A, Bayraktar Y, Dikmen B, Özkoçak-Turan I, et al. Comparison of the effect of rocuronium dosing based on corrected or lean body weight on rapid sequence induction and neuromuscular blockade duration in obese female patients. Saudi Medical Journal [Internet]. 2016 Jan 1;37(1):60–5. Available from: https://doi.org/10.15537/smj.2016.1.14099
14. Hendrix JM, Burns B. Alveolar gas equation [Internet]. StatPearls - NCBI Bookshelf. 2024. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK482268/
15. Kebapci A, Ozkaynak M. Endotracheal tube cuff pressure Management. Dimensions of Critical Care Nursing [Internet]. 2022 Jan 28;41(2):64–75. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1097/dcc.0000000000000512

16. Thomas G, Cook T. The United Kingdom National Audit Projects: a narrative review. Southern African Journal of Anaesthesia and Analgesia [Internet]. 2016 Mar 7;22(2):38–45. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1080/22201181.2016.1154290
17. Stiegler MP, Neelankavil JP, Canales C, Dhillon A. Cognitive errors detected in anaesthesiology: a literature review and pilot study. British Journal of Anaesthesia [Internet]. 2011 Dec 9;108(2):229–35. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aer387
18. Apfelbaum JL, Hagberg CA, Connis RT, Abdelmalak BB, Agarkar M, Dutton RP, et al. 2022 American Society of Anesthesiologists Practice Guidelines for Management of the Difficult Airway *. Anesthesiology [Internet]. 2021 Nov 11;136(1):31–81. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1097/aln.0000000000004002
19. Hagberg CA, Gabel JC, Connis RT. Difficult Airway Society 2015 guidelines for the management of unanticipated difficult intubation in adults: not just another algorithm. British Journal of Anaesthesia [Internet]. 2015 Nov 10;115(6):812–4. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aev404
20. Tran R, Pedersen K, Kolawole H, Roessler P, Scolaro R. Australian and New Zealand Anaesthetic Allergy Group/Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists perioperative anaphylaxis management guideline 2022. Anaesthesia and Intensive Care [Internet]. 2024 Apr 8;52(3):147–58. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1177/0310057x231215823
21. UMEM Educational Pearls - University of Maryland School of Medicine, Department of Emergency Medicine [Internet]. Available from: https://em.umaryland.edu/educational_pearls/2577/
22. Higgs A, McGrath BA, Goddard C, Rangasami J, Suntharalingam G, Gale R, et al. Guidelines for the management of tracheal intubation in critically ill adults. British Journal of Anaesthesia [Internet]. 2017 Dec 19;120(2):323–52. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2017.10.021
23. Chen Y, Wang J, Yang Z. The human factors/ergonomics studies for respirators: a review and future work. International Journal of Clothing Science and Technology [Internet]. 2015 Sep 4;27(5):652–76. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1108/ijcst-06-2014-0077
24. Chemsian R, Bhananker S, Ramaiah R. Videolaryngoscopy. International Journal of Critical Illness and Injury Science [Internet]. 2014 Jan 1;4(1):35. Available from: https://doi.org/10.4103/2229-5151.128011
25. Jensen M, Louka A, Barmaan B. Effect of Suction Assisted Laryngoscopy Airway Decontamination (SALAD) training on intubation quality metrics. Air Medical Journal [Internet]. 2019 Sep 1;38(5):325. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amj.2019.07.002
26. Ubaradka RS, Gupta N, Bidkar PU, Tripathy DK, Gupta A. The airway manual: Practical Approach to Airway Management. Springer Nature; 2023.
27. Hampton JP, Hommer K, Musselman M, Bilhimer M. Rapid sequence intubation and the role of the emergency medicine pharmacist: 2022 update. American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy [Internet]. 2022 Oct 28;80(4):182–95. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/ajhp/zxac326
28. Radkowski P, Derkaczew M, Jacewicz M, Onichimowski D. The dosage of muscle relaxants in morbidly obese patients in daily practice – A narrative review. International Journal of General Medicine [Internet]. 2024 Sep 1;Volume 17:4055–60. Available from: https://doi.org/10.2147/ijgm.s474221
29. Weingart S. Push-dose pressors for immediate blood pressure control. Clinical and Experimental Emergency Medicine [Internet]. 2015 Jun 30;2(2):131–2. Available from: https://doi.org/10.15441/ceem.15.010
30. Salik I, Ashurst JV. Closed Loop Communication Training in Medical Simulation. In: StatPearls. StatPearls Publishing, Treasure Island (FL); 2023. PMID: 31751089.
31. Li D, Zeng F, Jin Q, Tan T. A three-step identification method based on step response and open loop - closed loop transformation. IEEE.org [Internet]. 2010 Jul 1;461–5. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1109/wcica.2010.5553802
32. Weis PP, Wiese E. Know your cognitive environment! Mental models as crucial determinant of offloading preferences. Human Factors the Journal of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society [Internet]. 2020 Sep 21;64(3):499–513. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1177/0018720820956861

33. Diaz MCG, Dawson K. Impact of Simulation-Based Closed-Loop Communication training on medical errors in a pediatric emergency Department. American Journal of Medical Quality [Internet]. 2020 Mar 24;35(6):474–8. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1177/1062860620912480
34. El-Shafy IA, Delgado J, Akerman M, Bullaro F, Christopherson NAM, Prince JM. Closed-Loop communication improves task completion in pediatric trauma resuscitation. Journal of Surgical Education [Internet]. 2017 Aug 2;75(1):58–64. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsurg.2017.06.025
35. Schwindenhammer V, Rimmelé T, Duclos A, Haesebaert J, Lilot M, Abraham P. A new standardized tool for quantification of closed-loop communication in trauma care: CAST Grid reliability study. Injury [Internet]. 2023 Jun 5;54(9):110851. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.injury.2023.110851
36. Lemoine D. CLOSED-LOOP COMMUNICATION AND EFFECTIVE LEADERSHIP IN a MILITARY HEALTH SYSTEM: QUALITATIVE PHENOMENOLOGICAL STUDY. University of Phoenix; 2023 Feb.
37. Prasarn ML, Horodyski M, Schneider P, Wendling A, Hagberg CA, Rechtine GR. The effect of cricoid pressure on the unstable cervical spine. Journal of Emergency Medicine [Internet]. 2015 Oct 24;50(3):427–32. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jemermed.2015.09.009
38. Yu T, Wu RR, Longhini F, Wang B, Wang MF, Yang FF, et al. The "BURP" maneuver improves the glottic view during laryngoscopy but remains a difficult procedure. Journal of International Medical Research [Internet]. 2020 May 1;48(5):300060520925325. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1177/0300060520925325
39. Careers at Beth Israel Lahey Health. Experienced Anesthesia Technician- full-time - Careers at Beth Israel Lahey Health [Internet]. Careers at Beth Israel Lahey Health -. 2026. Available from: https://jobs.bilh.org/jobs/experienced-anesthesia-technician-full-time-burlington-ma-jr91215/
40. Salas E, Edens E, Wilson KA. Crew Resource management: Critical Essays. Routledge; 2017.
41. Chrimes N, Fritz P. The vortex approach: management of the unanticipated difficult airway [Internet]. Available from: https://anaesthetics.ukzn.ac.za/Libraries/ab2/Vortex-approach-management-of-the-unanticipated-difficult-airway.pdf