The Review Of
DIABETIC
STUDIES OPEN ACCESS

Integrating Clinical Nutrition Into Internal Medicine Practice To Combat Chronic Disease For Comprehensive Patient Care

Abdulmajeed Jaman Alghamdi[1*], Mohammed Yossif Alhazmi[1], Ayidh Awwadh Alharthi[1], Khalid Mohammed Alshareef[1], Hayat Ahmed Bamnsour[1], Khammash Saeed Alzahrani[1], Mohammed Hasan Aljefri[2], Abdulmajeed Ahmad Alalsaed[2], Abdulrahman Abdullah Aldumiji[2], Rayan Ismail Abdullah[2], Bayan Mohammed Aljohani[3], Hanan Burayk Alsuhaymi[4]

[1]King Abdulaziz University Hospital- Jeddah, Jeddah-22252, Saudi Arabia
[2]Security Forces Hospital-Makkah, Makkah-24251, Saudi Arabia
[3]King Salman Bin Abdulaziz Medical City-Madinah, Al-Madinah-42319, Saudi Arabia
[4]Ad Diriyah Hospital Riyadh, Ar Rihab, Diriyah 13717, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
[*]Corresponding author: Abdulmajeed Jaman Alghamdi

Abstract

Background: Non-communicable diseases (NCDs) predominantly cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), and malignance account for over 70% of global mortality. While suboptimal dietary habits represent a primary modifiable risk factor, nutrition remains and under addressed pillar within internal medicine. Despite robust evidence supporting dietary intervention, fewer than 15% of patients receive nutrition counseling during internal medicine consultations.
Objective: To synthesize current evidence regarding the efficacy of nutrition in chronic disease management and to identify actionable strategies for integrating dietary counseling into clinical practice.
Methods: This narrative review evaluated literature published between 2000 and 2025 across Web of Science, PubMed, Google Scholar, and Scopus. Analysis focused on systemic barriers, implementation frameworks, and the clinical impact of nutrition on chronic illness. Preference was given to randomized controlled trials (RCTs), clinical practice guidelines, and meta-analyses.
Results: Evidence suggests that the Mediterranean, DASH, plant-based, and Portfolio diets achieve improvements in blood pressure, glycemic control, and cardiovascular risk reduction comparable to pharmacological interventions. However, integration is hindered by insufficient nutritional education in medical training, time constraints during clinical encounters, fragmented electronic health record (EHR) interoperability, and misaligned reimbursement models. Emerging solutions include multidisciplinary team-based care involving registered dietitians, standardized screening protocols, telehealth innovations, and AI-driven clinical decision support systems.
Conclusion: Nutrition remains a significantly underutilized therapeutic modality in internal medicine. To establish nutrition as a fundamental tool of care, systemic reforms in medical education, reimbursement structures, and digital health integration are essential. Transitioning toward value-based care and personalized nutrition has the potential to revolutionize chronic disease management and improve long-term patient outcomes.

Keywords: Clinical nutrition, Non-communicable diseases, Internal medicine, Lifestyle medicine, Preventive care.

Introduction

Non-communicable diseases (NCDs) account for over 70% of global mortality, with the primary burden attributed to cardiovascular disease (CVD), type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), and various cancers [1]. According to the Global Burden of Disease Study, poor diet is a preeminent modifiable risk factor,

contributing more to premature mortality than either tobacco use or physical inactivity [2]. Suboptimal dietary patterns characterized by excessive sodium, ultra-processed foods, and refined carbohydrates are directly linked to the pathogenesis of hypertension, obesity, insulin resistance, and systemic inflammation [3]. Internists are uniquely positioned to influence dietary habits given their role in managing complex, multi-systemic chronic diseases. However, nutrition remains largely peripheral to standard clinical practice [4]. While pharmacotherapy remains the cornerstone of most clinical encounters, structured dietary counseling is strikingly rare; data suggest that fewer than 15% of patients with diet-related conditions receive nutritional guidance from their physicians [5].

Barriers to Implementation

A primary driver of this discrepancy is the persistent gap in medical education. The National Academy of Sciences recommends a minimum of 25 hours of nutrition education in U.S. medical schools, yet most programs provide fewer than 20 [6]. This deficit worsens during residency, leaving many clinicians ill-equipped to provide actionable dietary advice [7]. Consequently, while internists acknowledge the importance of nutrition, a significant majority report a lack of confidence in conducting nutritional assessments [8]. Systemic and economic hurdles further exacerbate this issue. The constraints of the 15–20-minute outpatient visit force clinicians to prioritize acute concerns over longitudinal preventive counseling [9]. Moreover, traditional fee-for-service reimbursement models provide limited institutional incentives for time-intensive interventions like dietary education, effectively undervaluing prevention relative to procedural or pharmacological care [10].

Clinical Efficacy of Dietary Interventions

These implementation gaps have profound clinical ramifications. Landmark research demonstrates that dietary interventions often match or exceed the efficacy of pharmacotherapy in managing cardiometabolic risk.

- Cardiovascular Health: The PREDIMED trial found that a Mediterranean diet supplemented with extra-virgin olive oil or nuts reduced major cardiovascular events by 30% in high-risk individuals [11].
- Hypertension: The DASH trial demonstrated that dietary patterns could reduce systolic blood pressure by up to 14 mmHg—an effect size comparable to first-line antihypertensive medications [12].
- Diabetes Prevention: The Diabetes Prevention Program revealed that intensive lifestyle modification reduced T2DM incidence by 58%, significantly outperforming metformin (31%) [13].
- Oncology and Longevity: Plant-based diets have been associated with improved survival rates in chronic illness and a reduced risk of various cancers [14].

Despite endorsements from the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the American College of Physicians (ACP), a disconnect remains between evidence and clinical execution [15]. This review addresses three primary objectives:

1. Summarize the clinical data linking nutrition to chronic disease outcomes.
2. Identify the systemic and cultural barriers to implementation.
3. Provide practical, technology- and policy-driven solutions to integrate nutrition into existing workflows.

Reframing nutrition as a core pillar of internal medicine is both a clinical necessity and an ethical imperative in an era dominated by lifestyle-related chronic disease.

Methodology

This review employed a narrative synthesis approach to evaluate the integration of nutrition within internal medicine. A comprehensive literature search was conducted across PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science for articles published between January 2000 and June 2025. Search strings included combinations of "dietary interventions," "internal medicine," "chronic disease management," "nutrition counseling," "barriers," and "health policy."

Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria:

- Included: Authoritative clinical guidelines, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and peer-reviewed clinical trials. Priority was given to studies involving cardiometabolic disease, obesity, oncology, and healthcare implementation frameworks.
- Excluded: Non-English publications, unsupported opinion pieces, and conference abstracts lacking complete datasets.

A final selection of 29 references was curated to ensure a comprehensive overview. As this is a narrative review, no quantitative meta-analysis was performed. Would you like me to help you format the final list of 29 references to match a specific journal style, such as AMA or APA.

Evidence Linking Nutrition and Chronic Diseases

Nutrition as a Therapeutic Foundation

Managing conditions that have a strong diet component is closely related to internal medicine. The pathophysiology of hypertension, type 2 diabetes, dyslipidaemia, and atherosclerosis all of which account for the majority of internal medicine caseloads is greatly influenced by poor nutrition [16]. Meta-analyses and randomized controlled trials (RCTs) provide evidence that dietary interventions can be just as effective as or more effective than pharmaceutical strategies in lowering the risk and progression of disease.

Dietary Patterns and Their Clinical Outcomes

Mediterranean Diet

The Mediterranean diet is based on eating a lot of fruits, vegetables, legumes, whole grains, olive oil, and fish in moderation. Following this diet pattern in the PREDIMED trial led to a 30% lower risk of major cardiovascular events, such as heart attacks and strokes, compared to a low-fat control diet [11]. Its benefits include lowering HbA1c in people with T2DM, controlling blood sugar levels, and improving lipid profiles by lowering LDL cholesterol and triglycerides [17].

DASH Diet

The Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) diet, which is based on eating more fruits and vegetables, low-fat dairy, and less salt, is still a great way to lower blood pressure. Clinical trials have shown that systolic blood pressure can drop by 8–14 mmHg, which is similar to the effects of first-line antihypertensive therapy [12]. Long-term observational studies have shown that following the DASH diet may lower the risk of heart failure and stroke [18].

Plant-Based Diets

Plant-based diets that incorporate whole grains, legumes, nuts, and seeds have positive effects on cardiovascular risk factors, glycaemic control, and weight management. According to a meta-analysis, T2DM patients following plant-based diets saw a 0.4% decrease in HbA1c and a significant decrease in LDL cholesterol [19].

Portfolio Diet

Clinical trials have confirmed the lipid-lowering potential of the Portfolio diet, which is high in soy protein, plant sterols, nuts, and viscous fibres. In some populations, studies show LDL reductions of 17–30%, which roughly corresponds to the effectiveness of statin therapy [20].

Nutrition and Cardiovascular Disease

The world's leading cause of death is cardiovascular disease. Diet affects almost every modifiable risk factor, including inflammation, lipid profiles, blood pressure, and endothelial function [21]. While diets high in monounsaturated fats and polyphenols have anti-inflammatory and vaso protective effects, diets high in saturated fats and refined sugars encourage atherogenesis [22]. According to the Lyon Diet Heart Study, which outperformed the pharmacologic treatments available at the time, following a Mediterranean-style diet after myocardial infarction decreased recurrent cardiac events by more than 70% [23].

Nutrition and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus

Dietary intervention has a profound effect on type 2 diabetes. In contrast to 31% with metformin therapy, the Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP) showed that significant lifestyle modifications decreased the incidence of diabetes by 58% [13]. In early-stage diabetes, remission has been attained through calorie restriction, low-glycaemic diets, and structured weight-loss programs, highlighting nutrition as a first-line treatment [24].

Nutrition and Cancer Risk

Cancer risk is also influenced by dietary quality. Dietary factors account for about 30–35% of cancers, with alcohol consumption linked to liver and breast cancers and processed meats and excessive red meat to colorectal cancer [25]. On the other hand, plant-forward diets that are high in fibre, antioxidants, and phytochemicals are protective [26].

Clinical Relevance for Internal Medicine

Nutrition counselling is rarely given priority by internists, despite the overwhelming evidence to the contrary. Research shows that during internal medicine visits, less than 15% of patients receive customized dietary advice [5]. This disparity represents a lost chance for primary and secondary prevention, considering the effectiveness of nutrition-based interventions across cardiometabolic and oncologic conditions.

Table 1: Evidence-Based Dietary Patterns and Outcomes.

Dietary Pattern	Core Components	Clinical Outcomes
Mediterranean	Olive oil, nuts, fruits, vegetables, whole grains	↓ CVD events by 30%; improved glycaemic control [11]
DASH	Low sodium, high fruits/vegetables, low-fat dairy	↓ SBP by 8–14 mmHg [12]
Plant-Based	Whole grains, legumes, nuts; minimal animal foods	↓ HbA1c by 0.4%; ↓ LDL cholesterol [19]
Portfolio	Soy protein, sterols, nuts, viscous fibres	↓ LDL by 17–30% [20]

Barriers to Integrating Nutrition into Internal Medicine Practice

Even though there is strong evidence, nutrition is still not used enough in internal medicine. There are many reasons, including medical education, clinical practice, system-level incentives, and problems that patients face [27].

Educational Deficits

In U.S. medical schools, medical students typically receive less than 20 hours of exposure to nutrition science during their training [6]. Internal medicine residency programs prioritize pharmacology and diagnostics over applied nutrition skills [7]. Thus, citing a lack of practical frameworks and knowledge gaps, the majority of internists express low confidence in providing evidence-based dietary counselling [8].

Time and Workflow Constraints

Internal medicine practice is structured to prioritize complex case management and a large patient volume, which leaves little opportunity for preventive counselling. Physicians discuss several priorities, including medication management and diagnostic review, during visits, which typically last 15 to 20 minutes [9]. Nutrition counselling frequently consists of a few generic statements with no systematic evaluation or follow-up. This problem is made worse by the absence of integrated nutrition screening tools in electronic health record (EHR) systems. This lack hinders the documentation of dietary recommendations for continuity of care and restricts the systematic identification of patients who are at risk [28].

Financial and Policy Barriers
In contrast to lifestyle-based care, current reimbursement models reward procedures and prescription drugs [10]. Certain policies provide reimbursement for Medical Nutrition Therapy (MNT) for diabetes and chronic kidney disease, but coverage for other conditions is still restricted [26]. This financial deterrent prevents health systems from funding nutrition-focused interventions or dietitian services.

Clinician Perceptions and Cultural Norms
A pharmacocentric approach is reinforced by clinical culture, which views drugs as the main therapeutic instrument. Since dietitians are rarely integrated into internal medicine clinics, many internists consider nutrition counselling to be a secondary function of their job [27]. The desire to provide comprehensive dietary advice is further weakened by perceptions of low patient adherence.

Patient-Level Challenges
Patients' ability to follow recommended diets is limited by their socioeconomic status, cultural dietary habits, and lack of access to food [16]. These problems get worse in today's food environment, which is full of processed, high-calorie foods. Internists often don't talk about nutrition with their patients because they think they won't be able to change, which keeps patients from getting involved.

Strategies to Embed Nutrition into Internal Medicine
Even though there are big problems, a lot of evidence-based methods show that nutrition can be successfully added to internal medicine workflows with the help of structured interventions.

Team-Based Care
Internal medicine clinics can provide specialized counselling without adding to the workload of physicians by integrating registered dietitians (RDs). When dietitians work closely with internists, team-based programs have demonstrated better cardiovascular outcomes and glycaemic control [27].

Structured Clinical Protocols
The Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool (MUST) is one nutrition screening tool that can be used at patient intake to identify patients who are most at risk. Methodical, patient-centred interventions are ensured when screening is combined with the 5A counselling framework (Assess, Advise, Agree, Assist, Arrange) [28].

Technology-Enabled Support
Remote nutrition counselling has been successful with telehealth platforms and mobile health applications, particularly during and after the COVID-19 pandemic [28]. Clinicians can make sure to discuss nutrition with patients during visits by integrating EHR-based prompts and alerts. Decision support tools powered by AI could further tailor suggestions and track compliance [29].

Payment Reform
Increasing insurance coverage for Medical Nutrition Therapy (MNT) and encouraging lifestyle-based care through value-based payment models will give institutions a reason to work together. It is very important for sustainability to make sure that financial structures match the priorities of preventive care [26].

Table 2: Practical Strategies for Integration.

Domain	Action Steps
Education	Mandatory nutrition modules in residency; CME on clinical nutrition
Workflow	Implement screening tools; integrate 5A counselling model
Team Care	Embed dietitians within internal medicine clinics
Technology	EHR prompts; telehealth and mobile app-based counselling
Policy	Broaden reimbursement for nutrition therapy; incentivize prevention

Future Directions

Through systemic innovation and data-driven personalization, the integration of nutrition into internal medicine will be redefined over the next ten years. New research shows that personalized dietary advice based on genomic, metabolomic, and microbiome profiles can improve metabolic outcomes more than following standard diet patterns. For instance, personalized nutrition interventions based on glycaemic responses have been shown to better control postprandial glucose than standard guidelines [29]. Adding precision nutrition to internal medicine could change how diabetes and dyslipidaemia are treated, since people with these conditions respond very differently to different diets.

AI-based tools are getting better at using real-time data from electronic health records, wearables, and diet-tracking apps to predict risk and automate personalized interventions. These tools can find patients who are at high risk for cardiometabolic disease, recommend diets based on evidence, and keep track of how well they are following them through ongoing feedback loops. Using AI-driven platforms early on can make dietary counselling easier for doctors and make it easier to scale. The rise in telemedicine during COVID-19 showed that it could work for nutrition counselling. Virtual nutrition visits, app-based self-monitoring, and automated reminders will all be part of future models for managing chronic diseases. This will keep people involved even in places with few resources.

Value-based payment models are a big change because they pay for results instead of services. Nutrition-focused quality measures, like diet screening rates and weight management outcomes, can encourage internists to include nutrition in their regular care. For Medical Nutrition Therapy to be widely used, it is important to expand insurance coverage to include conditions like hypertension, obesity, and cardiovascular disease, in addition to diabetes and CKD. Sustained competency can be ensured by requiring internists to complete continuing medical education (CME) in lifestyle medicine and nutrition. Physicians who treat patients with chronic illnesses should be required to complete certification programs in clinical nutrition and integrated care.

Conclusion

Nutrition is not an add-on; it is a key part of treating and preventing chronic disease. There is no doubt about its effect based on landmark clinical trials, but it is still not being used enough in internal medicine. Overcoming systemic inertia requires a multi-tiered approach, including reforming medical education, redesigning clinical workflows, integrating digital tools, and restructuring reimbursement systems to prioritize prevention. Integrating nutrition into internal medicine is both clinically necessary and morally required, as chronic diseases are the primary cause of rising healthcare costs and morbidity. In order to provide patient-centred, long-lasting results, future integration will depend on utilizing value-based care models, digital health advancements, and precision nutrition. We can move from a reactive paradigm of disease treatment to a proactive paradigm of health promotion and long-term well-being by putting nutrition at the forefront of internal medicine.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare they don’t have any conflict of interest.

Author contributions

Both the original author and the supervisor of the cross-ponding author write the initial versions of the work. After writing a section of the paper, gathering information, revising it, and creating tables, each author received approval to submit it to a journal for publication.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank DOAJ, Google Scholar, PubMed, Cochrane Library, BMJ Clinical Evidence, Embase, Medline, and national and international societies for providing the open access publications.

Ethical Approval

Not Applicable

References

1. World Health Organization. Noncommunicable diseases. WHO website. Updated 2023. Accessed July 10, 2025. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/noncommunicable-diseases

2. GBD 2017 Diet Collaborators. Health effects of dietary risks in 195 countries, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017 [published correction appears in Lancet. 2021 Jun 26;397(10293):2466. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01342-8.]. Lancet. 2019;393(10184):1958-1972. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(19)30041-8
3. Mozaffarian D, Rosenberg I, Uauy R. History of modern nutrition science-implications for current research, dietary guidelines, and food policy. s. BMJ. 2018;361:k2392. Published 2018 Jun 13. doi:10.1136/bmj.k2392
4. Kolasa KM, Rickett K. Barriers to providing nutrition counseling cited by physicians: a survey of primary care practitioners. Nutr Clin Pract. 2010;25(5):502-509. doi:10.1177/0884533610380057
5. Hiddink GJ, Hautvast JG, van Woerkum CM, Fieren CJ, van 't Hof MA. Consumers' expectations about nutrition guidance: the importance of primary care physicians. Am J Clin Nutr. 1997;65(6 Suppl):1974S-1979S. doi:10.1093/ajcn/65.6.1974S
6. Adams KM, Kohlmeier M, Zeisel SH. Nutrition education in U.S. medical schools: latest update of a national survey. Acad Med. 2010;85(9):1537-1542. doi:10.1097/ACM.0b013e3181eab71b
7. Devries S, Dalen JE, Eisenberg DM, et al. A deficiency of nutrition education in medical training. Am J Med. 2014;127(9):804-806. doi:10.1016/j.amjmed.2014.04.003
8. Crowley J, Ball L, Hiddink GJ. Nutrition care by primary-care physicians: advancing our understanding using the COM-B framework. Public Health Nutr. 2020;23(1):41-52. doi:10.1017/S1368980019003148
9. Tai-Seale M, McGuire TG, Zhang W. Time allocation in primary care office visits. Health Serv Res. 2007;42(5):1871-1894. doi:10.1111/j.1475-6773.2006.00689.x
10. Kushner RF, Sorensen KW. Lifestyle medicine: the future of chronic disease management. Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes. 2013;20(5):389-395. doi:10.1097/01.med.0000433056.76699.5d
11. Estruch R, Ros E, Salas-Salvadó J, et al. Retraction and Republication: Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease with a Mediterranean Diet. N Engl J Med 2013;368:1279-90 [retraction of: N Engl J Med. 2013 Apr 4;368(14):1279-90. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1200303.]. N Engl J Med. 2018;378(25):2441-2442. doi:10.1056/NEJMc1806491
12. Sacks FM, Svetkey LP, Vollmer WM, et al. Effects on blood pressure of reduced dietary sodium and the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) diet. DASH-Sodium Collaborative Research Group. N Engl J Med. 2001;344(1):3-10. doi:10.1056/NEJM200101043440101
13. Knowler WC, Barrett-Connor E, Fowler SE, et al. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. N Engl J Med. 2002;346(6):393-403. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa012512
14. Schwingshackl L, Schwedhelm C, Hoffmann G, et al. Food Groups and Risk of Hypertension: A Systematic Review and Dose-Response Meta-Analysis of Prospective Studies [published correction appears in Adv Nutr. 2018 Mar 1;9(2):163-164. doi: 10.1093/advances/nmx015.]. Adv Nutr. 2017;8(6):793-803. Published 2017 Nov 15. doi:10.3945/an.117.017178
15. Qaseem A, Wilt TJ, Rich R, et al. Pharmacologic Treatment of Hypertension in Adults Aged 60 Years or Older to Higher Versus Lower Blood Pressure Targets: A Clinical Practice Guideline From the American College of Physicians and the American Academy of Family Physicians [published correction appears in Ann Intern Med. 2018 Apr 3;168(7):530-532. doi: 10.7326/L18-0076.]. Ann Intern Med. 2017;166(6):430-437. doi:10.7326/M16-1785
16. Micha R, Peñalvo JL, Cudhea F, Imamura F, Rehm CD, Mozaffarian D. Association Between Dietary Factors and Mortality From Heart Disease, Stroke, and Type 2 Diabetes in the United States. JAMA. 2017;317(9):912-924. doi:10.1001/jama.2017.0947
17. Salas-Salvadó J, Bulló M, Babio N, et al. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with the Mediterranean diet: results of the PREDIMED-Reus nutrition intervention randomized trial [published correction appears in Diabetes Care. 2018 Oct;41(10):2259-2260. doi: 10.2337/dc18-er10.]. Diabetes Care. 2011;34(1):14-19. doi:10.2337/dc10-1288
18. Levitan EB, Wolk A, Mittleman MA. Consistency with the DASH diet and incidence of heart failure. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169(9):851-857. doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2009.56
19. Yokoyama Y, Barnard ND, Levin SM, Watanabe M. Vegetarian diets and glycemic control in diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Cardiovasc Diagn Ther. 2014;4(5):373-382. doi:10.3978/j.issn.2223-3652.2014.10.04

20. Jenkins DJ, Jones PJ, Lamarche B, et al. Effect of a dietary portfolio of cholesterol-lowering foods given at 2 levels of intensity of dietary advice on serum lipids in hyperlipidemia: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2011;306(8):831-839. doi:10.1001/jama.2011.1202
21. Mozaffarian D. Dietary and Policy Priorities for Cardiovascular Disease, Diabetes, and Obesity: A Comprehensive Review. Circulation. 2016;133(2):187-225. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.018585
22. Visioli F, Borsani L, Galli C. Diet and prevention of coronary heart disease: the potential role of phytochemicals. Cardiovasc Res. 2000;47(3):419-425. doi:10.1016/s0008-6363(00)00053-5
23. de Lorgeril M, Salen P, Martin JL, Monjaud I, Delaye J, Mamelle N. Mediterranean diet, traditional risk factors, and the rate of cardiovascular complications after myocardial infarction: final report of the Lyon Diet Heart Study. Circulation. 1999;99(6):779-785. doi:10.1161/01.cir.99.6.779
24. Lean ME, Leslie WS, Barnes AC, et al. Primary care-led weight management for remission of type 2 diabetes (DiRECT): an open-label, cluster-randomised trial. Lancet. 2018;391(10120):541-551. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)33102-1
25. World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research. Diet, Nutrition, Physical Activity and Cancer: a Global Perspective. Continuous Update Project Expert Report 2018.
26. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Intensive Behavioral Therapy for Obesity and Medical Nutrition Therapy. CMS website. Updated 2023.
27. Mechanick JI, Kushner RF, Sugerman HJ, et al. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists, The Obesity Society, and American Society for Metabolic & Bariatric Surgery medical guidelines for clinical practice for the perioperative nutritional, metabolic, and nonsurgical support of the bariatric surgery patient [published correction appears in Obesity (Silver Spring). 2010 Mar;18(3):649]. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2009;17 Suppl 1:S1-v. doi:10.1038/oby.2009.28
28. Hingle M, Patrick H. There Are Thousands of Apps for That: Navigating Mobile Technology for Nutrition Education and Behavior. J Nutr Educ Behav. 2016;48(3):213-8.e1. doi:10.1016/j.jneb.2015.12.009
29. Ordovas JM, Ferguson LR, Tai ES, Mathers JC. Personalised nutrition and health. BMJ. 2018;361:bmj.k2173. Published 2018 Jun 13. doi:10.1136/bmj.k2173