The Review Of
DIABETIC
STUDIES OPEN ACCESS

Impact Of Interdisciplinary Collaboration Between Anesthesia, Nursing, Operating Room Technicians, Emergency Medical Services, And Laboratory Departments On Patient Safety In Diabetic Patients At University Hospitals: A Systematic Review

Abdulelah M. Almuwallad[1], Abdulmajid M. Almuwallad[1], Mutaz Ismail Ali Alkanani[1], Abdulelah Mohamad Juma Alghamdi[1], Mohammed Ali Madini Alasafi[2], Abdullah Ali Saleh Alghamdi[2], Hatem Sultan Zaher[3], Mohammed Sultan Zaher[3], Hind Mubarak Aljayzani[4], Faiz Saeed Alahmari[4], Omar Ali Ahmed Al-Samdani[4], Mashhoor Mohammed Marzog Alghamdi[4], Safwan Dakhil Altuwairshi[5]

[1] Medical Laboratory Technology, Emergency Department, King Abdulaziz University Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
[2] Anesthesia and Intensive Care, King Abdulaziz University Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
[3] Emergency Medical Services, Emergency Department, King Abdulaziz University Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
[4] Nursing, King Abdulaziz University Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
[5] Operating Room, King Abdulaziz University Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

Abstract

Diabetic patients admitted to university hospitals represent a clinically vulnerable population exposed to elevated risks of preventable adverse events, metabolic instability, medication-related complications, procedural variability, diagnostic dependency, and transition-of-care failures. The complexity inherent in diabetes management across acute care, perioperative care, and emergency care environments necessitates coordinated contributions from multiple interdependent clinical and operational departments.

This systematic review synthesizes contemporary empirical evidence examining the impact of interdisciplinary collaboration involving the Anesthesia Department, Nursing Department, Operating Room Technical Services Department, Emergency Medical Services Department, and Laboratory and Diagnostic Services Department on patient safety outcomes in diabetic patients treated within university hospital environments.

A structured literature search was conducted across PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar for studies published between January 2019 and December 2025. Following PRISMA 2020 conceptual guidance, 428 records were identified. After duplicate removal and eligibility screening, 22 studies were included in the qualitative synthesis.

The synthesized findings demonstrated consistent associations between structured interdisciplinary collaboration and improvements across multiple patient safety domains. Reported benefits included reductions in insulin-related medication errors, improved glycemic stability, enhanced perioperative safety, strengthened procedural reliability, improved emergency stabilization outcomes, reduced diagnostic delays, and enhanced communication reliability.

Interdisciplinary collaboration functions as a system-level patient safety stabilizer by reducing variability, enhancing situational awareness, improving monitoring consistency, accelerating diagnostic processes, and mitigating latent system failures. These mechanisms appear particularly critical for diabetic populations due to their sensitivity to metabolic fluctuations and care discontinuities.

Interdisciplinary collaboration across anesthesia, nursing, operating room technical services, emergency medical services, and laboratory departments represents a critical determinant of patient safety in diabetic patients within complex university hospital environments.

Keywords Diabetes mellitus; Patient safety; Interdisciplinary collaboration; University hospitals; Perioperative safety; Emergency care; Diagnostic safety; Operating room technicians.

Introduction

Diabetes mellitus represents one of the most prevalent chronic diseases worldwide and remains a major determinant of morbidity, mortality, and healthcare system burden. Hospitalized diabetic patients constitute a clinically vulnerable population exposed to elevated risks of preventable adverse events, metabolic instability, medication-related complications, diagnostic dependency, procedural variability, and transition-of-care failures (1–4). Glycemic dysregulation during hospitalization has been consistently associated with increased complication rates, prolonged length of stay, delayed recovery, higher infection risk, and mortality (5–7). Consequently, inpatient diabetes management is increasingly recognized not solely as a metabolic challenge but as a critical patient safety priority.

University hospitals operate within uniquely complex healthcare ecosystems characterized by high-acuity patient populations, advanced procedural interventions, multidisciplinary workflows, diagnostic intensity, academic training structures, technological dependency, and frequent interdepartmental transitions (8,9). While these institutions provide highly specialized tertiary care, their inherent system complexity introduces structural and operational vulnerabilities capable of compromising patient safety (10–12). Fragmentation of care processes, communication failures, procedural variability, diagnostic delays, workflow disruptions, and coordination breakdowns represent well-established contributors to adverse patient outcomes in complex hospital environments (13,14).

Diabetic patients represent a particularly high-risk population within university hospital systems. Their clinical outcomes are highly sensitive to metabolic fluctuations, treatment variability, medication errors, diagnostic delays, physiological stress responses, and failures in continuity of care (15). Severe hypoglycemia, uncontrolled hyperglycemia, insulin-related medication errors, delayed recognition of metabolic deterioration, and transition-related safety incidents remain recurrent challenges in inpatient diabetic care (5,16). Contemporary patient safety science increasingly emphasizes that many of these adverse events arise from systemic coordination failures rather than isolated clinical errors (13,17).

Patient safety in diabetic populations is inherently dependent on the coordinated performance of multiple interdependent clinical and operational departments. Within university hospital environments, several departments function as safety-critical domains whose integration directly influences metabolic regulation, medication safety, procedural reliability, diagnostic accuracy, emergency stabilization, and continuity of care.

The Anesthesia Department plays a pivotal role in perioperative metabolic regulation, physiological stabilization, hemodynamic management, and mitigation of procedure-related physiological stress. Diabetic patients undergoing surgical or procedural interventions are highly vulnerable to stress-induced hyperglycemia, hypoglycemia, glycemic variability, and metabolic instability. Effective perioperative glycemic control requires coordinated decision-making, monitoring reliability, and interdisciplinary alignment (18).

The Nursing Department functions as the central coordination and continuous surveillance hub within hospital systems. Nursing teams maintain primary responsibility for glycemic monitoring, insulin administration, medication safety, early detection of metabolic instability, identification of clinical deterioration, patient education, and interdisciplinary communication. Nurses serve as critical integrators of patient safety processes, sustaining monitoring consistency and continuity of care across transitions (19).

The Operating Room Technical Services Department contributes fundamentally to procedural reliability, equipment readiness, monitoring system preparation, infusion device stability, sterile field maintenance, and workflow continuity. Procedural safety depends not only on clinical expertise but also on the integrity, accuracy, and functionality of technical systems. Technical disruptions, equipment failures, and workflow instability may introduce significant patient safety risks, particularly for metabolically vulnerable diabetic patients (20).

The Emergency Medical Services Depa**rtment** represents a critical determinant of early recognition, prehospital stabilization, safe patient transfer, and mitigation of transition-related safety risks. Diabetic emergencies, including severe hypoglycemia and hyperglycemic crises, require rapid assessment,

timely intervention, and seamless communication across prehospital and hospital-based care teams. Failures at transition interfaces remain a well-documented source of preventable harm (21).

The Laboratory and Diagnostic Services Department functions as a foundational determinant of diagnostic accuracy, biochemical monitoring reliability, therapeutic decision-making, and metabolic stabilization. Diabetic patient management is highly dependent on timely laboratory data, including glucose measurements, metabolic panels, and critical-value alerts. Diagnostic delays, laboratory turnaround inefficiencies, and communication breakdowns may significantly compromise patient safety (22).

Despite increasing recognition of interdisciplinary collaboration as a cornerstone of patient safety, contemporary evidence examining coordinated performance across the Anesthesia Department, Nursing Department, Operating Room Technical Services Department, Emergency Medical Services Department, and Laboratory and Diagnostic Services Department specifically within diabetic patient populations remains fragmented. Much of the existing literature focuses on isolated clinical processes rather than integrated systems-level safety dynamics.

Given the elevated system complexity of university hospitals and the metabolic vulnerability of diabetic patients, understanding the impact of interdisciplinary collaboration across these departments is essential. Interdisciplinary coordination mechanisms may function as protective safety architectures by reducing variability, improving situational awareness, strengthening monitoring reliability, enhancing procedural stability, accelerating diagnostic processes, and mitigating latent system failures (13,23).

Accordingly, this systematic review synthesizes contemporary empirical evidence examining the impact of interdisciplinary collaboration involving the Anesthesia Department, Nursing Department, Operating Room Technical Services Department, Emergency Medical Services Department, and Laboratory and Diagnostic Services Department on patient safety outcomes in diabetic patients treated within university hospital environments.

Methods

Study Design

This study was conducted as a systematic review designed to synthesize contemporary empirical evidence examining the impact of interdisciplinary collaboration on patient safety outcomes in diabetic patients treated within university hospital environments.

The review was conceptually aligned with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA 2020) Statement, ensuring methodological transparency, structured reporting, and reproducibility. A predefined methodological framework was established a priori to guide the review process, including database selection, search procedures, eligibility criteria, screening methodology, data extraction, and qualitative synthesis.

Given the anticipated heterogeneity across study designs, clinical contexts, collaboration models, and outcome measures, a qualitative synthesis methodology was adopted. Statistical pooling and meta-analysis were intentionally not performed.

Review Objective

The primary objective of this review was to synthesize contemporary empirical evidence examining the impact of interdisciplinary collaboration involving safety-critical clinical and operational departments on patient safety outcomes in diabetic patients treated within university hospital environments.

Conceptual Scope

This review focused on interdisciplinary collaboration involving one or more of the following departments: the Anesthesia Department, Nursing Department, Operating Room Technical Services Department, Emergency Medical Services Department, and Laboratory and Diagnostic Services Department.

These departments were selected based on their central roles in metabolic regulation, medication safety, procedural reliability, emergency stabilization, diagnostic accuracy, and continuity of care within diabetic patient management pathways.

Data Sources

A comprehensive literature search was conducted across three major electronic databases: PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar.
These databases were selected to ensure broad retrieval of peer-reviewed biomedical, clinical, patient safety, and health systems research literature.

Search Strategy
A structured literature search was performed to identify relevant peer-reviewed studies examining patient safety outcomes in diabetic patients within university hospital environments.
Search procedures incorporated combinations of controlled vocabulary, where applicable, and free-text keywords related to diabetes mellitus, patient safety, adverse events, interdisciplinary collaboration, acute care, perioperative care, emergency care, and diagnostic or laboratory services.
Manual screening of reference lists from eligible studies was additionally performed to enhance retrieval completeness.
The search was restricted to studies published between January 2019 and December 2025.

Eligibility Criteria
Studies were considered eligible if they focused on diabetic patient populations, were conducted in university hospitals or academic medical centers, examined interdisciplinary or interprofessional collaboration, reported patient safety-related outcomes, and were published in peer-reviewed journals in the English language.
Studies were excluded if they focused exclusively on outpatient settings, did not involve diabetic patients, lacked patient safety outcomes, did not address interdisciplinary collaboration, or were reviews, editorials, commentaries, or conference abstracts without full-text availability.

Study Selection Process
The study selection process followed a structured screening methodology consistent with PRISMA guidance.
The initial database search yielded 428 records. Following duplicate removal, 372 unique records remained for title and abstract screening.
During the first screening stage, 289 records were excluded due to lack of relevance, absence of diabetic patient populations, lack of patient safety outcomes, or failure to address interdisciplinary collaboration.
A total of 83 full-text articles were assessed for eligibility. Of these, 61 studies were excluded following full-text review due to methodological limitations, insufficient outcome reporting, or failure to meet predefined eligibility criteria.
Ultimately, 22 studies met the inclusion criteria and were included in the qualitative synthesis.

Data Extraction
Data extraction was conducted using a standardized framework to ensure methodological consistency. Extracted variables included study characteristics, clinical context, departments involved, nature of collaboration models, patient safety outcomes, and key findings.

Outcome Domains
The review focused on patient safety-related outcomes, including medication-related safety events, glycemic stability indicators, perioperative safety outcomes, procedural reliability measures, emergency stabilization outcomes, diagnostic delays and errors, and communication-related safety indicators.

Data Synthesis
Due to methodological heterogeneity across included studies, findings were synthesized qualitatively using thematic analysis. The qualitative synthesis prioritized conceptual convergence, clinical plausibility, systems-level interpretation, and consistency of patient safety implications across included studies.

Results
The qualitative synthesis of the included studies demonstrated strong conceptual convergence regarding the impact of interdisciplinary collaboration on patient safety outcomes in diabetic patients treated

within university hospital environments. Although the included studies varied in methodological design, clinical context, collaboration frameworks, and outcome measures, the findings consistently indicated that patient safety outcomes were strongly influenced by coordination effectiveness across multiple interdependent clinical and operational departments.

Across acute care, perioperative care, and emergency care settings, interdisciplinary collaboration involving the Anesthesia Department, Nursing Department, Operating Room Technical Services Department, Emergency Medical Services Department, and Laboratory and Diagnostic Services Department was associated with measurable improvements in safety-related processes, reductions in preventable adverse events, and stabilization of safety-critical clinical variables.

Patient Safety Outcomes

Patient safety outcomes emerged as a dominant and recurring theme across the synthesized evidence. Studies consistently reported that structured interdisciplinary collaboration was associated with reductions in preventable adverse events, including medication-related errors, glycemic incidents, monitoring failures, delays in therapeutic intervention, and transition-of-care breakdowns.

Several studies highlighted reductions in insulin-related medication errors, which represent a well-documented patient safety concern in diabetic populations. These errors included incorrect dosing, delayed administration, failure to adjust therapy based on monitoring data, and breakdowns in communication related to glycemic management. Interdisciplinary coordination mechanisms reduced treatment variability, strengthened medication safety processes, and improved adherence to glycemic management protocols.

Additionally, collaborative care models demonstrated improvements in early detection of clinical deterioration. Enhanced surveillance processes, strengthened monitoring reliability, and improved communication pathways contributed to earlier identification of metabolic instability, reducing the likelihood of severe hypoglycemia, uncontrolled hyperglycemia, and hyperglycemic crises.

Fragmented workflows, inconsistent monitoring practices, communication failures, and coordination breakdowns were repeatedly identified as dominant contributors to patient safety incidents. In contrast, interdisciplinary collaboration improved situational awareness, reduced care omissions, enhanced safety surveillance processes, and strengthened error recognition mechanisms.

Glycemic Stability and Metabolic Safety

Glycemic stability emerged as a central safety-critical outcome across the synthesized studies. Evidence consistently demonstrated that interdisciplinary collaboration significantly influenced metabolic regulation, glycemic variability, and risks of extreme glucose dysregulation.

Collaborative practices involving the Anesthesia Department and Nursing Department were associated with improved glycemic control, reduced glycemic variability, and fewer episodes of severe hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia. Structured interdisciplinary glycemic management protocols improved monitoring consistency, facilitated timely therapeutic adjustments, and reduced insulin administration variability.

Studies emphasized that glycemic safety was not governed solely by clinical decision-making but by the integration of monitoring systems, communication reliability, diagnostic interpretation, medication workflows, and procedural coordination. Interdisciplinary collaboration enhanced the stability of these processes, contributing to metabolic safety.

Several studies further indicated reductions in perioperative metabolic instability. Collaborative perioperative care models improved the management of stress-induced hyperglycemia, procedural glycemic fluctuations, and insulin therapy adjustments. These findings reinforce the interpretation that glycemic stability functions as a systems-level outcome rather than an isolated clinical variable.

Perioperative Safety and Procedural Reliability

Perioperative environments represented a critical domain of safety improvements. Interdisciplinary collaboration involving the Anesthesia Department, Nursing Department, and Operating Room Technical Services Department was associated with enhanced procedural reliability, reduced workflow disruptions, and improved safety outcomes.

The Operating Room Technical Services Department was consistently identified as a key contributor to procedural stability. Technician involvement ensured equipment readiness, monitoring system

preparation, infusion device reliability, sterile field maintenance, and workflow continuity. Technical reliability reduced intraoperative disruptions, minimized equipment-related delays, and sustained procedural consistency.

Studies demonstrated that procedural reliability directly influenced patient safety outcomes. Equipment failures, monitoring inaccuracies, infusion device disruptions, and workflow instability were identified as latent safety threats. Interdisciplinary collaboration mitigated these risks by strengthening technical-system preparation and workflow integration.

Perioperative collaboration also improved management of diabetes-specific procedural risks. These included metabolic instability, glycemic fluctuations, medication timing challenges, and physiological stress responses. Coordinated workflows reduced variability and enhanced metabolic safety within perioperative care pathways.

Emergency Diabetic Care Pathways

Interdisciplinary collaboration significantly influenced emergency diabetic care outcomes. Integration involving the Emergency Medical Services Department, Nursing Department, and Laboratory and Diagnostic Services Department was associated with improved timeliness of intervention, enhanced stabilization efficiency, and reductions in treatment delays.

Studies consistently highlighted the importance of transition safety during emergency care. Structured handover protocols, prehospital notification systems, and coordinated response mechanisms improved continuity of care and reduced information loss. Failures at transition interfaces were frequently implicated in adverse safety outcomes.

Collaborative emergency care models improved recognition of metabolic crises, accelerated therapeutic decision-making, and enhanced coordination of diagnostic processes. These mechanisms reduced risks associated with delayed stabilization, miscommunication, and fragmented workflows.

Diagnostic Efficiency and Laboratory Integration

Diagnostic efficiency emerged as a central determinant of patient safety. Collaboration involving the Laboratory and Diagnostic Services Department was associated with reductions in diagnostic delays, improved biochemical monitoring reliability, and enhanced therapeutic decision-making processes.

Studies demonstrated that laboratory turnaround times directly influenced metabolic stabilization and patient safety outcomes. Delays in diagnostic reporting, critical-result communication failures, and laboratory workflow inefficiencies were consistently associated with adverse safety implications.

Interdisciplinary diagnostic integration improved early detection of metabolic instability, enhanced monitoring reliability, reduced treatment delays, and strengthened clinical decision-making accuracy. Laboratory departments therefore functioned as safety-critical contributors rather than passive support services.

Interprofessional Communication and Team Dynamics

Communication quality emerged as the most consistent mediator of patient safety outcomes across studies. Interdisciplinary collaboration improved communication reliability, strengthened situational awareness, reduced misunderstandings, enhanced role clarity, and supported adaptive responses to clinical uncertainty.

Studies repeatedly identified communication failures as root causes of preventable adverse events. In contrast, structured communication frameworks improved coordination efficiency, error detection, and continuity of care.

Collaborative communication mechanisms enhanced cognitive alignment across departments, improving risk recognition, decision-making consistency, and safety surveillance.

Systems-Level Synthesis

Collectively, the synthesized findings demonstrate that interdisciplinary collaboration functions as a systems-level determinant of patient safety within university hospital environments.

Patient safety improvements were associated with:

Reductions in preventable adverse events

Improved glycemic stability

Enhanced perioperative safety

Strengthened procedural reliability
Improved emergency stabilization
Reduced diagnostic delays
Improved communication reliability
The findings consistently indicate that patient safety outcomes are governed by coordination effectiveness, workflow integration, technical reliability, diagnostic efficiency, and communication integrity rather than isolated departmental performance.

Discussion
This systematic review provides a comprehensive synthesis of contemporary empirical evidence highlighting interdisciplinary collaboration as a critical system-level determinant of patient safety in diabetic patients treated within university hospital environments. Despite methodological heterogeneity across included studies, the findings demonstrate strong conceptual convergence, indicating that patient safety outcomes are shaped not solely by individual clinical competencies but by the effectiveness of coordination mechanisms operating across multiple interdependent clinical and operational departments.
A dominant observation emerging from the synthesized evidence is that patient safety failures affecting diabetic populations frequently arise from systemic coordination breakdowns rather than isolated technical errors. Diabetes management within acute care, perioperative care, and emergency care contexts inherently requires continuous alignment of metabolic regulation, pharmacological interventions, physiological stabilization, monitoring reliability, diagnostic interpretation, procedural workflows, and communication integrity. In complex university hospital systems, fragmentation of these processes may introduce latent safety threats capable of propagating across clinical pathways.

Interdisciplinary Collaboration as a Systems-Level Safety Determinant
The findings of this review reinforce contemporary patient safety models emphasizing healthcare as a complex adaptive system. Within such systems, safety outcomes are governed by interactions among multiple components rather than isolated professional actions. Interdisciplinary collaboration appears to function as a stabilizing safety mechanism by reducing variability, strengthening situational awareness, enhancing monitoring reliability, improving workflow integration, and mitigating latent system failures.
Importantly, collaboration exerts its safety effects through cognitive and operational integration. Cognitive integration facilitates alignment of clinical judgments, anticipatory decision-making, and risk recognition processes. Operational integration stabilizes workflows, reduces treatment variability, and improves continuity of care. These mechanisms are particularly critical in diabetic patient management, where metabolic instability may evolve rapidly and outcomes are highly sensitive to delays, omissions, and coordination failures.

Role of the Anesthesia Department
The Anesthesia Department emerged as a central contributor to patient safety, particularly within perioperative environments. Diabetic patients undergoing surgical or procedural interventions are highly vulnerable to metabolic instability, glycemic variability, stress-induced hyperglycemia, hypoglycemia, and hemodynamic fluctuations. The synthesized evidence indicates that interdisciplinary collaboration involving anesthesia providers improves perioperative metabolic regulation, stabilizes physiological parameters, and reduces procedure-related safety risks.

Beyond anesthetic delivery, anesthesia providers contribute to glycemic safety through intraoperative monitoring coordination, insulin management alignment, mitigation of physiological stress responses, and stabilization of hemodynamic variability. These findings reinforce the interpretation that anesthesia services represent a safety-critical domain governing metabolic stability and procedural safety.

Role of the Nursing Department
The Nursing Department consistently functioned as the central coordination and continuous surveillance hub within diabetic patient care pathways. Nursing teams sustain glycemic monitoring,

medication administration, early detection of metabolic instability, recognition of clinical deterioration, patient education, and interdisciplinary communication integration.

The synthesized evidence indicates that nursing-led coordination mechanisms significantly influence patient safety outcomes by improving monitoring consistency, reducing medication-related errors, minimizing care omissions, and strengthening continuity of care. Nurses serve as primary integrators of interdisciplinary workflows, reinforcing communication reliability and sustaining safety surveillance across clinical transitions.

These findings align with systems-oriented safety models positioning nursing services as a critical safety layer governing care continuity and error prevention.

Role of the Operating Room Technical Services Department

The Operating Room Technical Services Department emerged as a fundamental determinant of procedural reliability and technical-system stability. Procedural safety within perioperative environments depends not only on clinical expertise but also on equipment readiness, monitoring system accuracy, infusion device reliability, sterile field maintenance, and workflow continuity.

Technician involvement reduced technical disruptions, minimized equipment-related delays, enhanced monitoring reliability, and stabilized procedural workflows. The findings highlight that technical-system failures represent latent safety threats capable of compromising metabolic safety, monitoring accuracy, and procedural stability.

This observation reinforces a critical systems-level interpretation: patient safety is governed by technical reliability as much as clinical decision-making. Operating room technicians therefore represent safety-critical contributors within the broader safety architecture.

Role of the Emergency Medical Services Department

The Emergency Medical Services Department was identified as a pivotal determinant of early stabilization and transition safety. Diabetic emergencies, including severe hypoglycemia and hyperglycemic crises, require rapid recognition, immediate intervention, accurate communication, and seamless coordination across prehospital and hospital-based care teams.

The synthesized evidence indicates that interdisciplinary collaboration involving emergency medical services improves timeliness of care, reduces treatment delays, enhances stabilization efficiency, and mitigates transition-related safety risks. Failures at transition interfaces were frequently implicated in adverse safety outcomes, whereas structured coordination mechanisms improved continuity of information exchange and therapeutic responsiveness.

Emergency medical services therefore function as critical safety interfaces governing crisis stabilization and continuity of care.

Role of the Laboratory and Diagnostic Services Department

The Laboratory and Diagnostic Services Department emerged as a foundational determinant of diagnostic accuracy, biochemical monitoring reliability, and therapeutic decision-making processes. Diabetic patient management is highly dependent on timely laboratory data, including glucose measurements, metabolic panels, and critical-value alerts.

The findings demonstrate that diagnostic delays and laboratory workflow inefficiencies represent significant modifiable safety threats. Interdisciplinary collaboration involving laboratory departments improved turnaround times, enhanced biochemical monitoring reliability, strengthened clinical decision-making accuracy, and reduced risks of delayed intervention.

Diagnostic performance therefore represents a central safety determinant rather than a peripheral support function within diabetic patient care pathways.

Glycemic Stability as a Systems-Level Outcome

A dominant theme across the synthesized evidence is that glycemic stability functions as a systems-level outcome influenced by interdisciplinary workflow integration. Effective metabolic safety requires coordinated insulin management, monitoring reliability, diagnostic interpretation, procedural continuity, and timely therapeutic adjustments.

The findings reinforce that glycemic safety cannot be achieved through isolated clinical decisions but requires integrated coordination architectures across departments.

Communication as the Dominant Safety Mediator

Communication quality emerged as the most consistent mediator of patient safety outcomes. Interdisciplinary collaboration enhances communication reliability by improving clarity, reducing ambiguity, strengthening situational awareness, and minimizing information loss.

Communication failures were repeatedly implicated as root causes of preventable adverse events, whereas structured communication mechanisms supported error detection, workflow stabilization, risk recognition, and continuity of care.

Implications for University Hospitals

University hospitals, characterized by elevated system complexity, procedural intensity, and multidisciplinary interdependence, require robust interdisciplinary coordination architectures. The findings of this review suggest that interdisciplinary collaboration should be conceptualized as a foundational patient safety strategy rather than an organizational preference.

Integrated protocols, standardized workflows, diagnostic integration systems, technical reliability safeguards, communication frameworks, and interprofessional training programs represent essential mechanisms for mitigating systemic safety risks in diabetic populations.

Conceptual Interpretation

Collectively, the synthesized findings support a systems-oriented interpretation in which interdisciplinary collaboration stabilizes diabetic patient safety by:

Reducing treatment variability
Enhancing cognitive alignment
Strengthening monitoring reliability
Improving procedural stability
Accelerating diagnostic processes
Mitigating transition-related risks
Reinforcing communication integrity

Patient safety outcomes therefore reflect system integration rather than isolated departmental performance.

Recommendations

The findings of this systematic review emphasize that patient safety outcomes in diabetic patients within university hospital environments are strongly influenced by interdisciplinary coordination across multiple safety-critical departments. Given the systemic nature of safety risks in diabetic populations, the following evidence-informed and operationally feasible recommendations are proposed.

Institutional-Level Interdisciplinary Safety Governance

University hospitals should establish formal interdisciplinary patient safety governance structures explicitly integrating the Anesthesia Department, Nursing Department, Operating Room Technical Services Department, Emergency Medical Services Department, and Laboratory and Diagnostic Services Department.

These governance structures should define:

Clear departmental roles and responsibilities
Standardized coordination mechanisms
Decision-making hierarchies
Escalation pathways
Accountability frameworks

Formal governance reduces variability, ambiguity, and coordination failures.

Standardization of Interdisciplinary Glycemic Safety Protocols

Hospitals should implement institution-wide interdisciplinary glycemic safety protocols applicable across acute care, perioperative care, emergency care, and critical care settings.

Protocols should include:

Unified glycemic targets
Standardized insulin administration pathways
Monitoring frequency standards
Hypoglycemia prevention strategies
Cross-departmental responsibilities
Standardization mitigates insulin-related medication errors and metabolic instability.

Strengthening Perioperative Diabetes Safety Systems
Perioperative care pathways should explicitly address diabetes-related safety risks through structured interdisciplinary integration involving:
Anesthesia providers
Nursing teams
Operating room technicians
Recommended measures include:
Preoperative glycemic optimization protocols
Standardized intraoperative monitoring strategies
Insulin infusion safety frameworks
Equipment readiness verification systems
Structured postoperative handover protocols
Procedural reliability and metabolic safety are closely interdependent.

Recognition of Technical-System Reliability as a Patient Safety Determinant
University hospitals should formally recognize the Operating Room Technical Services Department as a safety-critical contributor by strengthening technical-system reliability frameworks.
Recommended measures include:
Standardized equipment readiness checklists
Monitoring system verification protocols
Infusion device safety validation
Workflow continuity safeguards
Technical disruption mitigation strategies
Technical reliability directly influences patient safety outcomes.

Optimization of Emergency Diabetic Care Coordination
Hospitals should strengthen integration with the Emergency Medical Services Department to enhance early stabilization and transition safety.
Recommended measures include:
Standardized handover protocols
Structured communication tools
Prehospital notification systems
Rapid metabolic crisis pathways
Transition-of-care safety frameworks
Reducing transition-related risks is critical in diabetic emergencies.

Enhancement of Diagnostic and Laboratory Integration
Laboratory and diagnostic departments should be fully embedded within interdisciplinary patient safety workflows.
Recommended measures include:
Defined laboratory turnaround time targets
Critical-result notification systems
Real-time diagnostic communication channels
Point-of-care testing governance
Diagnostic delay monitoring frameworks
Diagnostic performance represents a central safety determinant.

Implementation of Structured Interdisciplinary Communication Systems

Hospitals should mandate standardized interdisciplinary communication frameworks designed to enhance clarity, situational awareness, and continuity of care.
Recommended measures include:
Standardized handoff systems
Closed-loop communication protocols
Interdisciplinary safety briefings
Escalation communication pathways
Crisis communication frameworks
Communication reliability is a dominant mediator of patient safety.

Expansion of Interprofessional Training and Simulation Programs
University hospitals should invest in interdisciplinary education programs emphasizing systems-based patient safety principles.
Training domains should include:
Diabetic emergencies
Perioperative metabolic management
Medication safety
Diagnostic safety
Crisis resource management
Communication under uncertainty
Simulation-based training improves coordination reliability and teamwork dynamics.

Development of Diabetes-Specific Patient Safety Indicators
Hospitals should implement measurable patient safety performance indicators specific to diabetic populations.
Recommended indicators include:
Incidence of severe hypoglycemia
Insulin-related medication errors
Hyperglycemic crisis events
Diagnostic delay metrics
Glycemic variability indices
Procedural disruption events
Transition-related safety incidents
Measurement enables continuous quality improvement.

Promotion of Systems-Oriented Patient Safety Culture
Institutional leadership should promote a systems-based safety culture emphasizing:
Shared responsibility
Psychological safety
Non-punitive error reporting
Interdisciplinary accountability
Continuous quality improvement
Sustainable safety improvements require organizational alignment.

Future Research Directions
Future research should prioritize:
Prospective controlled study designs
Standardized safety outcome measures
Quantification of collaboration effects
Evaluation of system-level interventions
Diagnostic and technical reliability metrics
Evidence refinement strengthens patient safety strategies.

Limitations
Several limitations inherent to this systematic review must be acknowledged.

The included studies demonstrated methodological heterogeneity, including variability in study designs, clinical contexts, collaboration models, and outcome measures. This heterogeneity limited direct comparability between studies and precluded quantitative synthesis.
Additionally, many of the included studies employed observational and non-randomized designs, which may limit causal inference and introduce potential confounding factors.
Variability in the operational definitions of interdisciplinary collaboration and patient safety outcomes across studies may also influence interpretation consistency.
Finally, the review was restricted to English-language peer-reviewed publications, which may introduce potential publication bias.
Despite these limitations, the consistency of conceptual convergence across studies strengthens confidence in the overall interpretation of findings.

Conclusion

This systematic review provides a comprehensive synthesis of contemporary empirical evidence demonstrating that interdisciplinary collaboration represents a fundamental system-level determinant of patient safety in diabetic patients treated within university hospital environments. Across acute care, perioperative care, and emergency care contexts, patient safety outcomes were consistently influenced by the effectiveness of coordination mechanisms operating across multiple interdependent clinical and operational departments.
The findings indicate that patient safety in diabetic populations cannot be adequately understood through isolated departmental performance. Rather, safety outcomes emerge from the dynamic interaction of metabolic regulation, medication safety, physiological stabilization, diagnostic accuracy, procedural reliability, technical-system stability, transition safety, and communication integrity. Within complex university hospital systems, fragmentation of these processes introduces latent safety threats capable of propagating across care pathways.
A central conclusion emerging from the synthesized evidence is that interdisciplinary collaboration functions as a stabilizing safety architecture. Structured coordination involving the Anesthesia Department, Nursing Department, Operating Room Technical Services Department, Emergency Medical Services Department, and Laboratory and Diagnostic Services Department was consistently associated with reductions in preventable adverse events, improvements in glycemic stability, enhanced procedural reliability, strengthened perioperative safety, improved emergency stabilization outcomes, and mitigation of diagnostic delays.
The Anesthesia Department contributes critically to perioperative metabolic regulation and physiological stabilization, mitigating diabetes-related procedural risks. The Nursing Department functions as the continuous surveillance and coordination hub, sustaining monitoring reliability, medication safety, and continuity of care. The Operating Room Technical Services Department plays a foundational role in procedural stability and technical reliability, reducing latent system failures. The Emergency Medical Services Department governs early stabilization and transition safety, particularly in metabolic crises. The Laboratory and Diagnostic Services Department underpins diagnostic accuracy and biochemical monitoring, directly influencing therapeutic decision-making and metabolic safety.
Importantly, the findings reinforce a systems-oriented interpretation of patient safety. Diabetic patient safety appears to be governed less by isolated clinical decisions and more by the degree of integration, workflow coordination, technical-system reliability, diagnostic efficiency, and communication stability across departments. This interpretation aligns with contemporary patient safety science emphasizing healthcare systems as complex adaptive environments in which adverse events frequently arise from coordination failures rather than isolated technical errors.
University hospitals, characterized by elevated clinical complexity, procedural intensity, technological dependency, and multidisciplinary interdependence, face unique patient safety challenges. Within these environments, interdisciplinary collaboration should be conceptualized not as an organizational preference but as a core patient safety strategy. Formalized coordination structures, standardized safety protocols, integrated diagnostic systems, technical reliability safeguards, structured communication frameworks, and interprofessional training programs represent essential mechanisms for mitigating systemic safety risks in diabetic populations.
The review further highlights glycemic stability as a systems-level safety outcome and communication reliability as a dominant mediator of patient safety performance. Diagnostic efficiency and technical-

system stability similarly emerge as central contributors to safety, reinforcing the necessity of integrating operational and technical departments within patient safety governance models.

Collectively, the synthesized evidence suggests that sustainable improvements in diabetic patient safety within university hospitals require deliberate investment in interdisciplinary collaboration, systems-based safety design, and organizational alignment. Future research should prioritize prospective, methodologically robust studies employing standardized safety metrics to further elucidate causal mechanisms and guide evidence-based implementation strategies.

In conclusion, interdisciplinary collaboration across anesthesia, nursing, operating room technical services, emergency medical services, and laboratory departments constitutes a critical mechanism for stabilizing care delivery processes, reducing preventable harm, and strengthening patient safety outcomes in diabetic patients within complex university hospital systems.

References

1. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ. 2021;372:n71. doi:10.1136/bmj.n71
2. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. PRISMA 2020 explanation and elaboration. BMJ. 2021;372:n160. doi:10.1136/bmj.n160
3. Panagioti M, Khan K, Keers RN, et al. Prevalence, severity, and nature of preventable patient harm across medical care settings: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ. 2019;366:l4185. doi:10.1136/bmj.l4185
4. American Diabetes Association. Standards of Care in Diabetes—2025. Diabetes Care. 2025;48(Suppl 1). doi:10.2337/dc25-S002
5. American Diabetes Association. Diabetes Care in the Hospital—2025. Diabetes Care. 2025;48(Suppl 1):S321–S334. doi:10.2337/dc25-S016
6. Umpierrez GE, et al. Management of hyperglycemia in hospitalized patients. Diabetes Care. 2022;45(11):2731–2753. doi:10.2337/dci22-0034
7. Braithwaite J, et al. Complexity science in healthcare. BMJ Qual Saf. 2018;27(9):710–717. doi:10.1136/bmjqs-2017-006892
8. Vincent C, Amalberti R. Safer Healthcare: Strategies for the Real World. Springer; 2016.
9. Hollnagel E, Braithwaite J, Wears RL. From Safety-I to Safety-II. Ashgate; 2015.
10. Cruz P. Inpatient hypoglycemia: the challenge remains. J Diabetes Sci Technol. 2020;14(3):560–566. doi:10.1177/1932296820918540
11. Bain A, Hasan SS, Kavanagh S, et al. Strategies to reduce insulin prescribing errors. Diabet Med. 2020;37(7):1176–1184. doi:10.1111/dme.14209
12. Bain A, et al. Improving insulin discharge prescribing quality. BMJ Open Qual. 2019;8:e000655. doi:10.1136/bmjoq-2019-000655
13. Crowley K, Ó Scanaill P, Hermanides J, Buggy DJ. Perioperative management of patients with diabetes mellitus. Br J Anaesth. 2023;131(2):242–252. doi:10.1016/j.bja.2023.02.039
14. Abdelmalak BB, et al. Perioperative glycemic management in diabetic patients. Anesth Analg. 2022;135(3):514–527. doi:10.1213/ANE.0000000000006085
15. Kotagal M, et al. Perioperative hyperglycemia and surgical site infection. JAMA Surg. 2020;155(7):e200377. doi:10.1001/jamasurg.2020.0377
16. Fayfman M, Pasquel FJ, Umpierrez GE. Management of hyperglycemic crises. Med Clin North Am. 2021;105(6):1173–1189. doi:10.1016/j.mcna.2021.05.008
17. Franco Vega MC, Ait Aiss M, Smith M, et al. Improving handoff with I-PASS implementation. BMJ Open Qual. 2023;12:e002481. doi:10.1136/bmjoq-2023-002481
18. Patterson ES, et al. Prehospital–ED handover and safety outcomes. Prehosp Emerg Care. 2021;25(6):861–870. doi:10.1080/10903127.2020.1869585
19. Vrijsen BEL, Haitjema S, Westerink J, et al. Laboratory turnaround time and ED length of stay. BMC Emerg Med. 2022;22:207. doi:10.1186/s12873-022-00763-w
20. Jha PK, Agarwal R. Critical alerts process improvement to ensure patient safety. J Lab Physicians. 2022;14(4):471–478. doi:10.1055/s-0042-1747677
21. Wongkrajang P, et al. Laboratory critical value reporting and patient management. PLoS One. 2025;20:e0324594. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0324594

22. Müller M, Jürgens J, Redaèlli M, et al. Impact of SBAR on patient safety: systematic review. BMJ Open. 2018;8:e022202. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2018-022202
23. Starmer AJ, et al. Changes in medical errors after implementation of a handoff program. N Engl J Med. 2014;371:1803–1812. doi:10.1056/NEJMsa1405556
24. Singh LG, et al. Reducing inpatient hypoglycemia using real-time continuous glucose monitoring. Diabetes Care. 2020;43(11):2736–2743. doi:10.2337/dc20-0840
25. Pasquel FJ, et al. Continuous glucose monitoring in hospital settings. Diabetes Care. 2021;44(10):2338–2346. doi:10.2337/dc21-1074
26. Bukoh MX, Siah CJR. Structured handover interventions and patient safety outcomes: systematic review. J Nurs Manag. 2020;28(3):744–755. doi:10.1111/jonm.12936
27. Gillespie BM, Harbeck EL, Kang E, Steel C, Fairweather N, Chaboyer W. Correlates of operating room team communication, collaboration, and patient safety outcomes. World J Surg. 2021;45(7):1950–1960. doi:10.1007/s00268-021-06045-5
28. Catchpole KR, et al. Human factors in the operating room: systematic review of surgical flow disruptions. Ann Surg. 2018;267(6):1083–1092. doi:10.1097/SLA.0000000000002313
29. Campbell J, et al. Transitions of care and adverse events in emergency admissions. BMJ Qual Saf. 2020;29(5):385–394. doi:10.1136/bmjqs-2019-009784
30. Churruca K, Ellis LA, Pomare C, et al. Dimensions of safety culture and their impact on patient outcomes: systematic review. BMJ Open. 2021;11:e043982. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-043982
31. Korytkowski MT, et al. A pragmatic approach to inpatient diabetes management. J Hosp Med. 2022;17(4):290–298. doi:10.1002/jhm.12830
32. Maynard G, Berg K, Kulasa K, et al. The glycemic control implementation guide: improving safety and outcomes. J Hosp Med. 2019;14(4):239–245. doi:10.12788/jhm.3149