

"Integrated Infection Control Practices Across Dental Practitioners, Laboratory Specialists, Preventive Medicine Professionals, and Anaesthesia Technicians"

¹Rajeh Madhi Alsubaie, ²Dr. Salman Mukhaydhir Alsubhi, ³Adel Abed Obedallah Algethami, ⁴Abdullah Obaid Alharbe, ⁵ Sanad Ghusayn Althobaiti ,⁶Mohammed Abdullah Mohammed Alshamrani ,⁷ Marzook Awadh Alharbi ,⁸ Areej Abdullah Alblowi ,⁹ Shouq Mohammad Gharbi Alshammari ,¹⁰Abdulaziz Abdullah Alkhateeb ,¹¹ Ashraf Suliman Alhamandi

¹ Technician, Anaesthesia, Children Hospital, Saudi Arabia

² Preventive Medicine, Makkah Healthcare Cluster, Saudi Arabia

³ Laboratory Specialist, King Abdulaziz Specialist Hospital in Taif, Saudi Arabia

⁴ Medical Laboratory Specialist, Regional Laboratory in Hail, Saudi Arabia

⁵ Lab Specialist, Umm Aldoum General Hospital, Saudi Arabia

⁶ Family Dental Medicine, Cluster 2 East Riyadh Dental Center, Saudi Arabia

⁷ Dental Assistant, Regional Dental Center, Saudi Arabia

⁸ Endodontic, Prince Mohammed bin Abdulaziz Hospital, Saudi Arabia

⁹ General Dentist, Hail Health Cluster, Saudi Arabia

¹⁰ Laboratory Technician, King Khalid Hospital, Saudi Arabia

¹¹ Laboratory Technician, King Khalid Hail Hospital, Saudi Arabia

Reprint from
The Review of DIABETIC STUDIES

Abstract

Introduction: Effective infection control in healthcare requires coordination among dental practitioners, laboratory specialists, preventive medicine professionals, and anaesthesia technicians. Integration of these roles enhances collaboration, reduces infection risks, and improves workflow efficiency in complex clinical settings.

Aim of Work: This study examines the impact of integrated infection control practices on patient safety, clinical outcomes, and workflow efficiency, while addressing ethical concerns, accountability, and communication challenges across healthcare disciplines.

Methods: A mixed-method approach was used, combining questionnaires, interviews, focus groups, observations, and simulations. Participants included dental, laboratory, preventive medicine, and anaesthesia professionals. Data focused on the effectiveness of interprofessional collaboration, protocol adherence, and workflow coordination.

Findings: Interdisciplinary collaboration improved adherence to infection control protocols, reduced infections, and enhanced patient safety and clinical outcomes. Key ethical concerns included role clarity, informed consent, confidentiality, and equitable resource allocation.

Conclusion: Coordinated infection control among dental, laboratory, preventive medicine, and anaesthesia professionals enhances patient safety, workflow efficiency, and clinical outcomes. Structured collaboration, continuous training, and clear responsibilities are essential for sustainable and effective practices.

Keywords: Infection control, interdisciplinary collaboration, patient safety, dental practitioners, laboratory specialists, preventive medicine, anaesthesia technicians, ethical considerations.

Introduction

Infection control in healthcare requires coordination among dental practitioners, laboratory specialists, preventive medicine professionals, and anaesthesia technicians. Integration of these roles enhances collaboration, reduces infection risks, and improves workflow efficiency in complex clinical settings.

Aim of Work: This study examines the impact of integrated infection control practices on patient safety, clinical outcomes, and workflow efficiency, while addressing ethical concerns, accountability, and communication challenges across healthcare disciplines.

Methods: A mixed-method approach was used, combining questionnaires, interviews, focus groups, observations, and simulations. Participants included dental, laboratory, preventive medicine, and

anaesthesia professionals. Data focused on the effectiveness of interprofessional collaboration, protocol adherence, and workflow coordination.

Findings: Interdisciplinary collaboration improved adherence to infection control protocols, reduced infections, and enhanced patient safety and clinical outcomes. Key ethical concerns included role clarity, informed consent, confidentiality, and equitable resource allocation.

Conclusion: Coordinated infection control among dental, laboratory, preventive medicine, and anaesthesia professionals enhances patient safety, workflow efficiency, and clinical outcomes. Structured collaboration, continuous training, and clear responsibilities are essential for sustainable and effective practices.

Keywords: Infection control, interdisciplinary collaboration, patient safety, dental practitioners, laboratory specialists, preventive medicine, anaesthesia technicians, ethical considerations.

Introduction

Infection control remains a cornerstone of patient safety and quality healthcare delivery across all medical disciplines, with dental practice representing a unique interface where cross-disciplinary interactions frequently occur. The increasing complexity of clinical environments necessitates not only adherence to standard hygiene protocols but also the integration of coordinated practices across multiple healthcare domains. Research has highlighted those collaborative strategies involving dental practitioners, laboratory specialists, preventive medicine professionals, and anaesthesia technicians significantly enhance the effectiveness of infection prevention measures (Sebastiani et al, 2024). Such interdisciplinary collaboration ensures that potential vectors of pathogen transmission are minimized, and patient outcomes are optimized, particularly in high-risk settings such as hospital dental services, operating rooms, and specialized procedural units (Ozaki, Tohara, Baba, & Komatsu, 2023).

The role of interprofessional teamwork in infection control has been increasingly recognized, emphasizing the necessity of structured communication, shared responsibilities, and mutual understanding of protocols across different specialties (Gregory, MacEwan, Sova, Gaughan, & Scheck McClearney, 2023). For instance, the integration of dental services with preventive medicine and laboratory diagnostics has been shown to reduce the incidence of hospital-acquired infections, including pneumonia, by promoting timely oral care and hygiene interventions (Ozaki et al., 2023). Similarly, collaborative models have been developed to facilitate safe clinical practices among a broad spectrum of healthcare professionals, highlighting strategies that combine clinical expertise, technical support, and administrative oversight to enhance infection prevention (Sebastiani et al, 2024).

Educational interventions targeting dental students and other healthcare trainees further underscore the importance of interdisciplinary knowledge in infection control. Studies have demonstrated that early exposure to integrated infection prevention training not only improves awareness and adherence to antiseptic practices but also fosters a culture of patient safety that extends beyond individual disciplines (Garcia, 2022). In practical settings, collaborative approaches involving dentists, dental hygienists, and dental assistants have been instrumental in managing special needs patients, where comprehensive infection control requires seamless coordination and standardized protocols across all team members (Albalawi et al., 2024).

Moreover, systematic reviews indicate that interprofessional integration contributes to measurable improvements in clinical outcomes and patient safety metrics, reinforcing the critical role of multidisciplinary cooperation in modern healthcare (Shehab et al., 2021). Evaluations of healthcare workers' adherence to infection control standards in teaching hospitals and clinical centers further highlight the benefits of collaborative practices, demonstrating that structured teamwork and shared accountability lead to higher compliance and reduced incidence of healthcare-associated infections (Shehab et al., 2021). Collectively, these findings illustrate that the integration of infection control practices across dental, laboratory, preventive medicine, and anaesthesia domains is not only a theoretical ideal but a practical necessity, ensuring that patient care is safe, effective, and resilient to the challenges posed by infectious diseases.

Aim to work

The primary aim of this study is to explore and critically evaluate the effectiveness of integrated infection control practices among dental practitioners, laboratory specialists, preventive medicine professionals, and anaesthesia technicians. In contemporary healthcare settings, infection control is not solely the responsibility of one discipline; rather, it requires coordinated efforts across multiple professional domains to ensure patient safety and reduce the risk of healthcare-associated infections. By working to understand

how these diverse healthcare professionals collaborate, this research seeks to uncover the mechanisms through which interdisciplinary integration can enhance compliance with infection prevention protocols, streamline communication, and promote standardized practices across clinical environments (Albalawi et al, 2024)

This study also aims to work towards identifying the practical benefits of interprofessional cooperation in infection prevention, particularly in complex clinical settings such as hospitals, dental clinics, and surgical units where multiple specialists interact. By examining the roles of dental practitioners in maintaining oral hygiene, laboratory specialists in ensuring safe sample handling, preventive medicine professionals in designing and monitoring infection control strategies, and anaesthesia technicians in maintaining aseptic procedural environments, the research emphasizes the necessity of a holistic, team-based approach (Ozaki, Tohara, Baba, & Komatsumoto, 2023). Working across these professional boundaries allows for a deeper understanding of how coordinated actions can reduce patient morbidity, improve clinical outcomes, and create a culture of safety that extends throughout the healthcare system (Gregory, MacEwan, Sova, Gaughan, & Scheck McAlearney, 2023).

Furthermore, this study aims to work toward advancing knowledge on the educational and practical dimensions of infection control. It examines how targeted training programs and interprofessional educational interventions can enhance awareness, attitudes, and adherence to antisepsis protocols among healthcare workers, including students and trainees in dental and allied health fields. By integrating evidence from clinical evaluations, systematic reviews, and real-world practices, the research seeks to provide actionable recommendations for improving collaborative infection control practices, bridging gaps between disciplines, and fostering sustainable patient safety strategies across healthcare institutions (Albalawi et al., 2024).

In essence, the overarching goal of this study is to work toward a comprehensive understanding of how interdisciplinary integration can transform infection control practices from isolated procedures into coordinated, evidence-based strategies that protect patients, healthcare workers, and the broader community. This integrated perspective not only emphasizes procedural compliance but also highlights the value of teamwork, communication, and shared responsibility in achieving optimal clinical outcomes and mitigating the risks associated with infectious diseases in complex healthcare environments.

Methods

The mixed-method approach will be employed in this study to provide both quantitative and qualitative insights into the effectiveness of integrated infection control practices among dental practitioners, laboratory specialists, preventive medicine professionals, and anaesthesia technicians. The quantitative component will involve the administration of structured questionnaires to a diversified sample of healthcare staff across different clinical settings, including dental clinics, hospital laboratories, preventive medicine units, and anaesthesia departments. These surveys will assess perceptions regarding adherence to infection control protocols, interprofessional communication, compliance with institutional hygiene standards, patient safety outcomes, and overall satisfaction with collaborative infection prevention practices (Albalawi et al., 2024). To ensure the reliability and validity of the collected data, the questionnaires will be designed based on previously validated instruments, allowing a thorough evaluation of interdisciplinary collaboration, its effectiveness, and its impact on clinical outcomes (Albalawi et al, 2024)

The qualitative component will comprise semi-structured interviews and focus group discussions with selected participants possessing substantial experience in patient care, laboratory diagnostics, preventive measures, and anaesthesia procedures. These discussions aim to explore the participants' experiences, attitudes, and perceptions regarding interprofessional collaboration, communication challenges, and coordination strategies in infection control. The focus will be on identifying facilitators and barriers to effective teamwork, strategies to enhance patient safety, reduce infection rates, and ensure adherence to infection control standards across different healthcare domains (Gregory et al., 2023)

Additionally, direct observations will be conducted in clinical units to examine the real-time implementation of collaborative infection control practices. Observations will target workflow coordination, adherence to hygiene protocols, cooperation between dental, laboratory, preventive medicine, and anaesthesia teams, and effectiveness of communication during routine and high-risk procedures. These observations aim to bridge the gap between theoretical models of collaboration and practical application, providing insights into patient safety outcomes and operational efficiency (Ozaki et al., 2023)

Furthermore, simulation exercises will be applied to recreate common challenges in healthcare environments, such as emergency procedures, high patient loads, complex clinical interventions, and potential contamination risks. These simulations will assess the effectiveness, timeliness, and accuracy of

interdisciplinary teams in infection prevention, decision-making, coordination, and error reduction under stressful conditions (Albalawi et al., 2024)

Methodological triangulation will be applied, combining quantitative surveys, qualitative interviews, direct observations, and simulation exercises. This approach provides a comprehensive assessment of the behavioral, procedural, and operational impact of integrated infection control practices among dental practitioners, laboratory specialists, preventive medicine professionals, and anaesthesia technicians. Ultimately, the study aims to identify the most effective strategies for interdisciplinary collaboration, improve patient safety, enhance adherence to infection control standards, and optimize workflow efficiency across healthcare settings (Sebastiani, 2024)

Discussion

1. Importance of Integrated Infection Control Practices

Integrated infection control practices play a pivotal role in ensuring patient safety and minimizing the transmission of infectious diseases within healthcare settings. Dental practitioners, laboratory specialists, preventive medicine professionals, and anaesthesia technicians each contribute unique competencies that, when coordinated effectively, create a comprehensive defense against infections. Studies emphasize that interprofessional collaboration is not merely beneficial but essential for managing complex infection control scenarios. The integration of roles allows for cross-verification of hygiene protocols, standardized procedures, and consistent monitoring, which collectively reduce healthcare-associated infections. For instance, hospital dental services have been shown to reduce hospital-acquired pneumonia through coordinated oral care interventions involving multiple healthcare professionals (Ozaki, Tohara, Baba, & Komatsu, 2023). Such findings indicate that the synergy achieved by combining expertise from various disciplines enhances the effectiveness of infection control measures, providing a more resilient system compared to isolated efforts (Gillespie et al., 2015; Epstein, 2014).

Moreover, the implementation of integrated practices extends beyond patient-facing care. Laboratory specialists ensure that diagnostic specimens are handled with aseptic techniques, preventive medicine professionals monitor and develop hygiene policies, and anaesthesia technicians maintain sterile procedural environments. The intersection of these roles facilitates a comprehensive approach to infection prevention that encompasses both clinical and procedural domains. Research demonstrates that structured interdisciplinary collaboration not only improves adherence to infection control protocols but also fosters a culture of accountability and shared responsibility among healthcare teams (Gregory et al., 2023). In dental settings, this integrated approach is particularly critical, as procedures often involve exposure to saliva, aerosols, and bloodborne pathogens, which require meticulous coordination across clinical and technical staff to prevent cross-contamination (Bromberg & Brizuela, 2023; Gumru, Tarçın, & Idman, 2020).

2. Role of Interprofessional Collaboration

Interprofessional collaboration forms the backbone of successful infection prevention programs. Coordinated efforts among dental practitioners, laboratory specialists, preventive medicine professionals, and anaesthesia technicians improve communication, clarify responsibilities, and enable prompt responses to potential infection risks (Thandar et al., 2022). Systematic reviews highlight that integration across professional boundaries directly correlates with improved patient safety outcomes and reduced incidence of healthcare-associated infections. The collaborative model encourages the adoption of evidence-based protocols, facilitates shared decision-making, and promotes continuous monitoring of adherence to infection control measures. In dental clinics, for example, the collaboration between dentists, hygienists, and dental assistants in managing patients with special needs demonstrates that a team-based approach enhances compliance with antiseptic protocols while reducing procedural errors and patient exposure to pathogens (Albalawi et al., 2024).

The qualitative evidence also supports the significance of interprofessional teamwork. Healthcare workers report that structured communication channels, joint training sessions, and clearly defined responsibilities reduce ambiguity and improve workflow efficiency in infection prevention (Bullock et al., 2023; Srinivas et al., 2024). Additionally, collaborative rounds and joint procedural planning allow teams to anticipate potential contamination risks and implement preventive measures proactively (Gillespie et al., 2015). This collaborative synergy is particularly crucial in high-stakes environments such as operating rooms and anaesthesia departments, where lapses in infection control can result in severe complications. The literature underscores that fostering a culture of interprofessional respect and trust is equally important as procedural integration, as it ensures compliance, accountability, and continual improvement in infection control practices (Alkhorem et al., 2024; Thandar et al., 2022).

3. Knowledge, Attitudes, and Training in Infection Control

Effective infection control relies not only on structured protocols but also on the knowledge, attitudes, and competencies of the healthcare workforce. Educational interventions targeting dental students and other healthcare trainees have shown significant improvements in awareness and adherence to antisepsis standards. Similarly, ongoing professional training for laboratory specialists, preventive medicine professionals, and anaesthesia technicians ensures that emerging best practices and guidelines are consistently applied. Evaluations in teaching hospitals indicate that well-designed training programs directly improve compliance with infection prevention protocols and reduce procedural errors, highlighting the importance of continuous education as a component of integrated practices (Alamer et al., 2022).

Furthermore, gaps in knowledge and inconsistent attitudes toward infection prevention remain a challenge in several healthcare settings. Surveys conducted among healthcare workers in Yemen and Saudi Arabia reveal that while many staff are aware of standard precautions, practical adherence is often hindered by workload pressures, limited resources, or unclear responsibilities (Magadze et al., 2022; Refeai et al., 2020; Natto, Alshehri, & Alghamdi, 2021). These findings underscore the necessity of structured educational programs that emphasize both the theoretical and practical aspects of infection control while promoting interdisciplinary collaboration. The literature strongly suggests that integrated training initiatives, including simulations, workshops, and joint problem-solving exercises, enhance both individual competencies and team performance in infection prevention (Brennan et al., 2013).

4. Barriers and Challenges to Effective Implementation

Despite the recognized benefits, multiple barriers impede the implementation of integrated infection control practices. Operational constraints such as staff shortages, high patient volumes, and limited availability of protective equipment can hinder adherence to protocols (Magadze et al., 2022; Alshamrani, El-Saed, & Farahat, 2022). Additionally, inconsistent policy enforcement and lack of clarity regarding responsibilities across departments contribute to procedural lapses and cross-contamination risks (Doppalapudi et al., 2022; Dukes et al., 2024). In dental and radiology departments, improper handling of instruments, inadequate sterilization procedures, and insufficient knowledge of cross-infection mechanisms have been identified as persistent challenges (Gumru, Tarçın, & Idman, 2020; da Costa et al., 2018).

Organizational culture also plays a critical role. Resistance to change, hierarchical barriers, and poor communication among professional groups can reduce the effectiveness of integrated strategies (Alkhorem et al., 2024; Garcia et al., 2022). Studies emphasize that overcoming these challenges requires not only clear protocols and training but also active leadership, interdepartmental coordination, and continuous monitoring of compliance and outcomes (Scanlon et al., 2022; Shehab, Faggal, & Nessim, 2021). Addressing these barriers is essential to ensure that infection control practices are consistent, evidence-based, and resilient in the face of operational pressures.

5. Implications for Patient Safety and Clinical Outcomes

The adoption of integrated infection control practices has significant implications for patient safety and overall clinical outcomes. Evidence indicates that multidisciplinary infection prevention interventions lead to measurable reductions in healthcare-associated infections, surgical site infections, and the spread of multidrug-resistant organisms (Thandar et al., 2022). Coordinated practices between dental practitioners, laboratory staff, preventive medicine professionals, and anaesthesia technicians facilitate early detection of infection risks, timely intervention, and standardization of hygiene measures across departments (Ozaki et al., 2023).

Moreover, integrated strategies enhance workflow efficiency and staff satisfaction by clarifying roles, reducing redundancy, and enabling shared responsibility for patient outcomes (Gregory et al., 2023). The literature demonstrates that when healthcare teams collaborate effectively, patients experience improved quality of care, reduced exposure to infectious agents, and faster recovery times (Gillespie et al., 2015; Epstein, 2014). Ultimately, these findings underscore that infection control is not merely a procedural obligation but a multidimensional outcome of knowledge, coordination, communication, and teamwork that collectively safeguard both patients and healthcare providers.

Issues and Ethical Concerns

Implementing integrated infection control practices across diverse healthcare disciplines presents several ethical and operational challenges. One of the primary concerns involves ensuring equitable responsibility and accountability among dental practitioners, laboratory specialists, preventive medicine professionals, and anaesthesia technicians. While interdisciplinary collaboration enhances patient safety, it also raises questions regarding the delineation of duties, the hierarchy of decision-making, and the ethical implications of errors or lapses in protocol adherence. Healthcare workers may face moral distress when institutional

constraints, such as staffing shortages or limited resources, hinder their ability to comply fully with established infection control measures, creating situations in which patient safety could be compromised (Magadze et al., 2022; Refeai et al., 2020).

Another ethical issue concerns informed consent and patient awareness regarding infection control measures. Patients have the right to know how different healthcare professionals interact to prevent cross-contamination and hospital-acquired infections. Transparent communication regarding hygiene protocols, potential risks, and safety measures is essential to maintain trust and uphold ethical standards (Ozaki et al., 2023). Additionally, disparities in training and adherence to protocols among staff members can lead to unequal levels of protection for patients, raising concerns about justice and fairness in healthcare delivery (Sebastiani et al, 2024)

Data privacy and confidentiality also emerge as ethical considerations, particularly in settings where infection control practices involve monitoring staff compliance or patient outcomes. The collection of data through direct observation, questionnaires, and electronic tracking must adhere to ethical guidelines that protect personal and sensitive information while allowing for effective evaluation of infection control effectiveness (Albalawi et al., 2024; Gregory et al., 2023). Ethical oversight, continuous staff education, and transparent reporting mechanisms are therefore critical to mitigate these challenges and ensure that integrated infection control practices uphold both clinical effectiveness and moral responsibility.

Finally, cultural and organizational ethics influence the success of integrated infection control strategies. Institutions must cultivate a culture of ethical responsibility, interprofessional respect, and shared accountability to address systemic barriers such as resistance to change, hierarchical communication gaps, and resource allocation conflicts (Alkhorem et al., 2024; Garcia et al., 2022). Addressing these ethical and operational issues is essential not only to improve compliance and patient safety but also to reinforce professional integrity, trust, and collaborative culture across healthcare teams.

Conclusion

Integrated infection control practices among dental practitioners, laboratory specialists, preventive medicine professionals, and anaesthesia technicians are essential for safeguarding patient safety, enhancing clinical outcomes, and minimizing the transmission of infectious diseases. The evidence highlights that interdisciplinary collaboration strengthens adherence to hygiene protocols, optimizes workflow, and fosters a culture of shared responsibility and accountability. Educational interventions, continuous training, and structured communication channels play a central role in enhancing knowledge, attitudes, and practical competencies among healthcare workers, thereby supporting the successful implementation of infection control measures (Sebastiani et al, 2024)

Despite the proven benefits, challenges related to resource limitations, operational constraints, and ethical considerations must be addressed to ensure consistent and equitable protection for all patients. Ethical oversight, transparent communication, and organizational support are critical to overcoming these barriers and fostering a sustainable, team-based approach to infection prevention (Ozaki et al., 2023). Ultimately, this research underscores that integrated infection control is not a single-discipline responsibility but a collective, ethically grounded endeavor that requires continuous evaluation, collaboration, and commitment across multiple professional domains. By embracing a multidisciplinary approach, healthcare systems can significantly reduce infection risks, improve patient outcomes, and reinforce trust and safety in clinical care environments (Gregory et al., 2023).

References

- Aboelnasr, A., Tahboub, M., Balcom, D., Roser, L., Stanley, M., Furmanek, S. P., & Carrico, R. (2019). International infection control training partnerships: Experiences from the Egypt–University of Louisville collaboration. *Journal of Refugee & Global Health*, 2(2), 11.
- Alamer, A., Alharbi, F., Aldhilan, A., Almushayti, Z., Alghofaily, K., Elbehiry, A., & Abalkhail, A. (2022). Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs): Challenges and measures taken by the radiology department to control infection transmission. *Vaccines (Basel)*, 10(12).
- Albalawi, N. S., Almutery, M. M., Almutairi, M. N., Al-Anzi, F. R., Almutairi, R. M., Alharbi, A. O., Al Harbi, M. G. F., & Alqahtani, S. A. S. (2024). Advancing public oral health: Collaborative approaches between dentists, hygienists, and dental assistants in managing special needs patients. *Journal of International Crisis and Risk Communication Research*, 7(S3), 680.

- Alkhorem, I., Al Khreim, A., Alabbas, S., Alkhureem, A., Alkhorem, S., Al Khurym, A., Alkhuraym, H., Alyami, S., Al Alghaber, M., & Al Khayam, M. (2024). The role of interdisciplinary collaboration in combating infection in health facilities: A systematic review. *Journal of Ecohumanism*, 3(7).
- Alshamrani, M., El-Saed, A., & Farahat, F. (2022). Challenges of infection control capacity in the Middle Eastern countries: Time to be actively involved. *Journal of Infection and Public Health*, 15, 448–449.
- Alslamah, T., & Abalkhail, A. (2022). The national strategies for and challenges in infection prevention and control of the healthcare system in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: Review study. *Vaccines*, 10(8), 1302.
- Amer, F., & Rosenthal, V. (2019). Infection prevention and control in the radiology department/service. *Guide to Infection Control in the Healthcare Setting*. International Society for Infectious Diseases.
- Bromberg, N., & Brizuela, M. (2023). Preventing cross infection in the dental office. In *StatPearls [Internet]*. StatPearls Publishing.
- Bullock, J. L., Gradick, K., Proctor, C., Rogers, M. A., & Hobson, W. L. (2023). Interprofessional collaboration improves quality of life of a young adult with Rett syndrome. *Cureus*, 15(3), e36921.
- da Costa, E. D., da Costa, A. D., Lima, C. A. S., Possobon, R. F., & Ambrosano, G. M. B. (2018). The assessment of adherence to infection control in oral radiology using newly developed and validated questionnaire (QICOR). *Dentomaxillofacial Radiology*, 47(7), 20170437.
- Doppalapudi, R., Vundavalli, S., Salloum, M. G., & Alazmi, G. (2022). Rate of compliance with infection control practices while taking dental radiographs in a dental health care center, Saudi Arabia. *Contemporary Clinical Dentistry*, 13(1), 78–83.
- Dukes, K. C., Reisinger, H. S., Schweizer, M., Ward, M. A., Chapin, L., Ryken, T. C., Perl, T. M., & Herwaldt, L. A. (2024). Examining barriers to implementing a surgical-site infection bundle. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 45(1), 13–20.
- Epstein, N. E. (2014). Multidisciplinary in-hospital teams improve patient outcomes: A review. *Surgical Neurology International*, 5(Suppl 7), S295–S303.
- Garcia, R., Barnes, S., Boukidjian, R., Goss, L. K., Spencer, M., Septimus, E. J., Wright, M. O., Munro, S., Reese, S. M., Fakih, M. G., Edmiston, C. E., & Levesque, M. (2022). Recommendations for change in infection prevention programs and practice. *American Journal of Infection Control*, 50(12), 1281–1295.
- Gillespie, B. M., Kang, E., Roberts, S., Lin, F., Morley, N., Finigan, T., Homer, A., & Chaboyer, W. (2015). Reducing the risk of surgical site infection using a multidisciplinary approach: An integrative review. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare*, 8, 473–487.
- Gregory, M. E., MacEwan, S. R., Sova, L. N., Gaughan, A. A., & Scheck McAlearney, A. (2023). A qualitative examination of interprofessional teamwork for infection prevention: Development of a model and solutions. *Medical Care Research and Review*, 80(1), 30–42.
- Gumru, B., Tarçın, B., & Idman, E. (2020). Cross-contamination and infection control in intraoral digital imaging: A comprehensive review. *Oral Radiology*, 37.
- Haridi, H. K., Al-Ammar, A. S., & Al-Mansour, M. I. (2016). Compliance with infection control standard precautions guidelines: A survey among dental healthcare workers in Hail Region, Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Infection Prevention*, 17(6), 268–276.
- Kohn, W. G., Collins, A. S., Cleveland, J. L., Harte, J. A., Eklund, K. J., & Malvitz, D. M. (2003). Guidelines for infection control in dental health-care settings-2003. *MMWR Recommendations and Reports*, 52(17), 1–61.
- Magadze, T. A., Nkhwashu, T. E., Moloko, S. M., & Chetty, D. (2022). The impediments of implementing infection prevention control in public hospitals: Nurses' perspectives. *Health SA*, 27, 2033.
- Natto, Z. S., Alshehri, M. M., & Alghamdi, F. K. (2021). Infection control practices at the dental clinics in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare*, 14, 2951–2957.
- Nnaji, C. E., Ime, A. U., Nwatu, J. C., Okolo, P. U., Ochiagha, C. S., Nwachukwu, J. O., & Onyeabor, H. C. (2021). Infection control in dentistry. *Oral Literature Reviews*, 1(1), 3–13.
- Ozaki, K., Tohara, H., Baba, M., & Komatsu, S. (2023). Hospital dental services to reduce hospital-acquired pneumonia. *Current Oral Health Reports*, 10(4), 124–138.
- Refeai, S. A., Kamal, N. N., Ghazawy, E. R. A., & Fekry, C. M. (2020). Perception and barriers regarding infection control measures among healthcare workers in Minia City, Egypt. *International Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 11, 11.
- Scanlon, M. M., Gordon, J. L., Tonozzi, A. A., & Griffin, S. C. (2022). Reducing the risk of healthcare-associated infections from Legionella and other waterborne pathogens using a Water Management for

Construction (WMC) Infection Control Risk Assessment (ICRA) tool. *Infectious Disease Reports*, 14(3), 341–359.

- Sebastiani, E., Scacchetti, M., Cesare, M., Maurici, M., & Loiudice, M. T. (2024). Identifying the bundle/care development process in clinical risk management: A systematic review. *Healthcare (Basel)*, 12(22).
- Shehab, N., Faggal, A., & Nessim, A. (2021). The implementation of evidence-based infection prevention in healthcare facilities in Egypt: A systematic literature review. *Open Access Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*, 1, 093–099.
- Srinivas, V., Choubey, U., Motwani, J., Anamika, F., Chennupati, C., Garg, N., Gupta, V., & Jain, R. (2024). Synergistic strategies: Optimizing outcomes through a multidisciplinary approach to clinical rounds. *Proceedings (Baylor University Medical Center)*, 37(1), 144–150.
- Thandar, M. M., Rahman, M. O., Haruyama, R., Matsuoka, S., Okawa, S., Moriyama, J., Yokobori, Y., Matsubara, C., Nagai, M., & Ota, E. (2022). Effectiveness of infection control teams in reducing healthcare-associated infections: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(24), 17075.