The Review Of
DIABETIC
STUDIES OPEN ACCESS

The Impact Of Radiology On Treatment Outcomes In Patients With Chronic Pain Syndromes

Nasser Saleh Shaman Almansour [1], Hamad Abayan Saleh Al Mansour [2], Mohammed Nasser Shaman Al-Mansour [3]

[1-] *Radiologist, Khabash General Hospital, Najran, Ksa*
[2-] *Radiologist, King Khalid Hospital, Najran, Ksa*
[3-] *Physiotherpy, Medical Rehabilitation And Long Care Administration, Ksa*

Abstract:

Radiology plays a critical role in the diagnosis and management of chronic pain syndromes, significantly influencing treatment outcomes for patients. Advanced imaging techniques, such as MRI and CT scans, provide detailed insights into anatomical and pathological changes that may contribute to chronic pain conditions. By accurately identifying underlying issues such as disc herniations, joint degeneration, or neurological abnormalities, radiology enables physicians to tailor treatment plans to address the specific needs of patients. Furthermore, the integration of imaging findings with clinical assessments helps in establishing a comprehensive pain management strategy, ensuring that interventions, whether pharmacological, interventional, or physical therapy-based, are appropriately directed and more likely to yield positive outcomes. In addition to aiding in diagnosis, radiology also plays a vital role in monitoring the effectiveness of treatment interventions for chronic pain syndromes. Follow-up imaging can reveal changes in the condition over time, enabling clinicians to assess the success of various treatment modalities, such as spinal injections or surgical procedures. This dynamic feedback loop allows for timely adjustments to treatment plans, enhancing patient outcomes and improving quality of life. Moreover, with the advent of precision medicine and radiological advancements, such as functional imaging, clinicians can gain a deeper understanding of how chronic pain affects individual patients, leading to more personalized and effective therapeutic approaches.

Keywords: Radiology, Chronic Pain Syndromes, Treatment Outcomes, MRI, CT Scans, Diagnosis, Pain Management.

Introduction

Chronic pain represents one of the most pervasive, debilitating, and costly public health challenges worldwide, affecting a significant portion of the global population and imposing a substantial burden on healthcare systems, economies, and individual quality of life. Defined as pain persisting beyond the normal tissue healing time, typically considered to be three months or more, chronic pain is not merely a symptom but a complex, multidimensional disease state in its own right [1]. It encompasses a wide spectrum of conditions, including but not limited to chronic low back pain, neuropathic pain, fibromyalgia, complex regional pain syndrome, and persistent post-surgical pain. The management of chronic pain is notoriously difficult, often requiring a multimodal and interdisciplinary approach due to its intricate biopsychosocial nature. A critical challenge in this domain has been the accurate identification of the underlying pathophysiological mechanisms and anatomical pain generators, as the subjective experience of pain frequently does not correlate well with conventional clinical examination alone [2]. This diagnostic uncertainty can lead to a cycle of ineffective treatments, polypharmacy, iatrogenic harm, and profound patient distress.

It is within this challenging context that radiology has emerged as an indispensable pillar, fundamentally transforming the diagnostic and therapeutic landscape of chronic pain management. The evolution of

medical imaging from simple anatomical depiction to a sophisticated tool capable of revealing functional, metabolic, and microstructural tissue alterations has provided clinicians with an unprecedented window into the living body. Modern radiology offers the ability to objectively visualize and characterize the potential sources of nociception, thereby moving the diagnostic process from one of inference and exclusion to one of targeted, evidence-based investigation [3]. The impact of radiology extends far beyond mere diagnosis; it is intrinsically linked to every subsequent stage of the patient's journey, directly influencing treatment selection, guiding minimally invasive interventions, prognostication, and the objective assessment of therapeutic efficacy. This article provide a comprehensive exploration of the multifaceted impact of radiology on treatment outcomes in patients suffering from chronic pain syndromes, examining the roles of various imaging modalities, their application in specific pain conditions, and their integration into contemporary image-guided therapeutic paradigms.

The Fundamental Role of Diagnostic Imaging in Chronic Pain

The initial and perhaps most fundamental contribution of radiology to chronic pain management lies in its capacity to establish a correct and specific diagnosis. Pain, being a subjective sensation, can originate from a multitude of structures including bones, joints, discs, nerves, and soft tissues. Without objective data, treatment can often be a process of trial and error. Diagnostic imaging serves to objectify the clinical presentation, confirming or ruling out suspected pathologies, identifying unsuspected findings, and providing a clear anatomical roadmap for further management [4]. This diagnostic clarity is the cornerstone upon which effective treatment is built, as targeting the wrong structure or mechanism is a primary reason for therapeutic failure. For instance, pain originating from a facet joint requires a fundamentally different intervention than pain stemming from a symptomatic intervertebral disc or a compressed spinal nerve.

Furthermore, diagnostic imaging plays a crucial role in the critical task of differentiating between nociceptive, neuropathic, and nociplastic pain types. Nociceptive pain arises from actual or potential damage to non-neural tissue, such as in osteoarthritis or a torn tendon. Neuropathic pain is caused by a lesion or disease of the somatosensory nervous system, as seen in radiculopathy or diabetic neuropathy. Nociplastic pain, a more recent concept, refers to pain that arises from altered nociception despite no clear evidence of actual or threatened tissue damage causing the activation of peripheral nociceptors or evidence for disease or lesion of the somatosensory system causing the pain, as in fibromyalgia [5]. While no single imaging test can perfectly delineate these categories, the combination of findings can strongly point towards one mechanism. For example, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) can show nerve root compression supporting a neuropathic component, while a normal MRI in the context of widespread pain might steer the diagnosis towards a nociplastic condition like fibromyalgia, thereby redirecting the treatment strategy towards central sensitization-modulating drugs and cognitive-behavioral therapy rather than invasive procedures.

Conventional Radiography: The Accessible First Line

Conventional radiography, or X-ray, remains one of the most widely available and frequently utilized imaging modalities in the initial evaluation of chronic pain, particularly in musculoskeletal conditions. Its strengths lie in its speed, low cost, and excellent ability to visualize bony anatomy. In the context of chronic low back pain, for example, radiographs can effectively identify conditions such as spondylolisthesis, vertebral fractures, severe degenerative disc disease with osteophyte formation, and spinal instability through dynamic flexion-extension views [6]. In chronic pain affecting the joints, such as the knee or hip, X-rays are indispensable for diagnosing and staging osteoarthritis, revealing joint space narrowing, subchondral sclerosis, and cyst formation.

However, the impact of conventional radiography on treatment outcomes is nuanced. Its utility is greatest in guiding conservative management decisions, such as the initiation of physical therapy, the use of orthotics, or the consideration of systemic medications for arthritis. A clear diagnosis of moderate to severe

osteoarthritis on X-ray can justify a referral for joint replacement surgery, a intervention with a well-documented high success rate for pain relief and functional improvement [7]. The limitation of radiography, however, is its inability to visualize soft tissues, including intervertebral discs, ligaments, tendons, and the spinal cord and nerve roots. Consequently, a normal X-ray does not rule out a significant soft tissue pathology as the source of pain, and over-reliance on it can lead to missed diagnoses. Its impact is therefore foundational but often insufficient in isolation, frequently necessitating further investigation with more advanced cross-sectional imaging modalities to achieve a comprehensive understanding of the pain generator.

Computed Tomography (CT): Unveiling Bony Detail and Complex Anatomy

Computed tomography represents a significant advancement over conventional radiography by providing detailed, cross-sectional, three-dimensional images of the body. Its primary advantage in chronic pain evaluation is its exquisite sensitivity for depicting complex bony anatomy. While MRI is superior for soft tissue, CT excels in situations where bony detail is paramount. This is particularly impactful in the assessment of complex spinal disorders, such as in patients with prior spinal fusion surgery where hardware artifact can degrade MRI quality. CT can clearly demonstrate the status of a fusion, identify pseudarthrosis (failed fusion), and detect subtle fractures or bony encroachment on neural foramina that might be missed on X-ray [8].

In the context of chronic pain following trauma, CT is the modality of choice for identifying occult fractures, such as those in the pelvis or the complex articulations of the wrist and ankle, which can be a source of persistent, localized pain. Furthermore, CT serves as an excellent guidance tool for diagnostic and therapeutic procedures. CT-guided nerve blocks, for instance, allow for precise needle placement adjacent to specific nerves, such as the medial branch nerves for facet joint pain, or the splanchnic nerves for chronic abdominal pain [9]. This precision is critical for both diagnostic confirmation—if the pain is relieved by the block, it confirms that structure as a pain generator—and for subsequent therapeutic radiofrequency ablation. The ability of CT to clearly delineate bony landmarks and its real-time imaging capabilities make it an invaluable asset for interventional pain procedures, directly leading to improved accuracy, efficacy, and safety, which in turn translates to better patient outcomes and reduced risk of complications.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI): The Gold Standard for Soft Tissue Evaluation

Magnetic resonance imaging has unequivocally established itself as the cornerstone of modern diagnostic imaging for the majority of chronic pain syndromes, primarily due to its unparalleled ability to visualize soft tissues without using ionizing radiation. The impact of MRI on treatment outcomes in chronic pain is profound and multifaceted. By providing exceptional contrast resolution of neural elements, intervertebral discs, muscles, ligaments, and bone marrow, MRI allows for the precise identification of pain generators that are invisible to other modalities. In spinal pain, for example, MRI can definitively diagnose disc herniation, spinal stenosis, facet joint arthropathy, spondylodiscitis, and neoplasms [10]. This specific anatomical information is directly translatable to therapeutic decisions; a patient with a large, compressive disc herniation causing radiculopathy may be triaged to surgical discectomy, while one with central canal stenosis may benefit from decompressive surgery, both procedures with high success rates when appropriately indicated based on MRI findings.

Beyond mere anatomical depiction, advanced MRI techniques are expanding the horizon of pain diagnosis. Magnetic resonance neurography (MRN) is a specialized technique that uses specific pulse sequences to optimize the visualization of peripheral nerves. This is particularly impactful in diagnosing entrapment neuropathies, such as carpal tunnel syndrome or pudendal neuralgia, and in identifying nerve tumors or trauma [11]. By directly imaging the inflamed or compressed nerve, MRN can guide targeted injections or surgical release, leading to more focused and effective treatment. Furthermore, functional MRI (fMRI) and

diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) are research tools beginning to shed light on the central processing of chronic pain. These techniques can map alterations in brain connectivity and white matter tracts in conditions like fibromyalgia and chronic low back pain, providing a potential objective biomarker for a condition that has historically been diagnosed purely on subjective criteria [12]. While not yet routine in clinical practice, these advanced applications hold the promise of revolutionizing our understanding of nociplastic pain and guiding neuromodulatory treatments in the future.

Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging: Visualizing Metabolic Activity

The field of nuclear medicine, including techniques such as Bone Scintigraphy (Bone Scan) and Positron Emission Tomography (PET), contributes a unique functional or metabolic perspective to the evaluation of chronic pain. Unlike anatomical imaging which shows structure, nuclear medicine reveals physiological processes, such as bone turnover or glucose metabolism. This is exceptionally valuable when anatomical imaging is equivocal or normal in the face of persistent symptoms. A classic example is the diagnosis of occult fractures, stress reactions, or complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS). A triple-phase bone scan is highly sensitive for detecting the increased blood flow and bone metabolism characteristic of CRPS in its early stages, often before changes are apparent on X-ray or even CT [13]. This allows for earlier diagnosis and the initiation of appropriate sympathetic blocks or physical therapy, which are most effective in the early stages of the disease.

Furthermore, hybrid imaging systems like PET/CT and SPECT/CT combine the metabolic information from nuclear medicine with the precise anatomical localization of CT. This is particularly impactful in the context of oncological pain and suspected infection. In a patient with cancer and new-onset bone pain, a PET/CT can not only identify metastatic lesions with high sensitivity but also precisely localize them, guiding palliative radiation therapy for pain control with remarkable accuracy [14]. Similarly, in the diagnostically challenging case of suspected prosthetic joint infection or spondylodiscitis, labeled leukocyte scans combined with CT can differentiate between sterile inflammation and true infection, a distinction that critically determines management between antibiotic therapy and surgical revision. By answering these specific pathophysiological questions, molecular imaging directly steers treatment down the correct pathway, preventing unnecessary surgeries or ensuring that essential ones are not delayed.

Image-Guided Interventions: From Diagnosis to Minimally Invasive Treatment

The convergence of diagnostic imaging and therapeutic intervention represents one of the most direct and powerful impacts of radiology on treatment outcomes in chronic pain. Image-guided interventions utilize real-time fluoroscopy, CT, or ultrasound to direct needles and instruments to precise anatomical targets with a level of accuracy that is impossible with blind or landmark-based techniques. This paradigm has given rise to a vast array of minimally invasive procedures that can diagnose, manage, and even cure certain sources of chronic pain. Diagnostic nerve blocks are a prime example. Under fluoroscopic or CT guidance, a small amount of local anesthetic is deposited onto a specific nerve, such as a facet joint nerve or a sacroiliac joint. If the patient experiences significant pain relief, it confirms that structure as a primary pain generator [15]. This diagnostic certainty is the prerequisite for subsequent definitive treatments.

Therapeutic image-guided procedures have revolutionized the management of many chronic pain conditions. Epidural steroid injections, delivered under fluoroscopic guidance to ensure the medication reaches the exact level of nerve root inflammation, provide significant relief for cervical and lumbar radiculopathy, often helping patients avoid surgery [16]. Facet joint and sacroiliac joint injections can provide both diagnostic information and therapeutic relief. When these diagnostic blocks are positive, they can be followed by radiofrequency ablation (RFA), a procedure where the sensory nerves supplying the painful joint are thermally ablated under imaging guidance, providing longer-term pain relief that can last for many months or even years [17]. For patients with severe, intractable pain from cancer metastases, image-guided neurolytic procedures, such as a celiac plexus block for pancreatic cancer pain, can

dramatically reduce opioid requirements and improve quality of life at the end of life [18]. The precision afforded by imaging in these procedures minimizes damage to surrounding structures, reduces complication rates, and maximizes therapeutic efficacy, making them a cornerstone of modern interventional pain medicine.

Specific Applications in Common Chronic Pain Syndromes

The impact of radiology must be contextualized within specific disease entities to fully appreciate its role in shaping patient outcomes. In chronic low back pain, the most common pain syndrome, a structured MRI report can differentiate between discogenic, facetogenic, and radicular pain, each dictating a different treatment algorithm. The identification of a high-intensity zone (HIZ) in a disc on MRI may suggest discogenic pain, potentially leading to treatments like intradiscal procedures, while severe facet arthrosis may lead to RFA [19]. In chronic headache and facial pain, MRI of the brain and cervical spine is crucial to rule out sinister pathologies like tumors or vascular malformations. Furthermore, high-resolution MRI can identify neurovascular conflicts at the root entry zone of the trigeminal or glossopharyngeal nerves, a finding that may lead to curative microvascular decompression surgery [20].

For peripheral neuropathies, high-resolution ultrasound and MRN have become indispensable. Ultrasound can dynamically visualize nerves, showing compression, swelling, or subluxation. It can guide precise perineural injections of corticosteroid and anesthetic, providing both diagnostic confirmation and therapeutic relief in conditions like carpal tunnel syndrome or meralgia paresthetica [21]. In the complex landscape of chronic abdominal and pelvic pain, where visceral, somatic, and neuropathic components overlap, imaging plays a vital role. MRI can identify endometriosis, adhesions, or pelvic congestion syndrome, while CT or ultrasound-guided nerve blocks (e.g., superior hypogastric plexus block) can be both diagnostic and therapeutic, offering a pathway to relief for patients who have exhausted other options [22].

Limitations, Pitfalls, and the Importance of Clinical Correlation

Despite its profound utility, the application of radiology in chronic pain is not without significant limitations, and a failure to recognize these can adversely affect treatment outcomes. One of the most critical challenges is the high prevalence of incidental, age-related imaging findings that are not the actual source of the patient's pain. Landmark studies have shown that a large percentage of asymptomatic individuals have disc bulges, disc degeneration, and facet arthropathy on MRI of the spine [23]. Similarly, rotator cuff tears and meniscal tears are frequently found in pain-free shoulders and knees, respectively. Blindly attributing a patient's pain to such an incidental finding can lead to misdirected and ineffective treatments, including unnecessary surgeries.

This reality underscores the paramount importance of rigorous clinical correlation. The imaging findings must be interpreted in the context of the patient's history, physical examination, and pain characteristics. A treatment decision should never be based on an imaging report alone. The role of the radiologist is also evolving in this context; rather than providing a mere description of findings, they are increasingly expected to provide a synthesized, clinically relevant impression that differentiates between likely symptomatic and likely incidental findings [24]. Furthermore, patient factors such as claustrophobia, implanted devices, and body habitus can limit the feasibility or quality of certain studies like MRI. The use of ionizing radiation in CT and fluoroscopy, while generally justified in a diagnostic context, must always be considered, particularly in younger patients and those requiring multiple repeated studies.

Future Directions and Emerging Technologies

The future of radiology in chronic pain management is poised for even greater integration and sophistication, driven by technological advancements in both hardware and software. Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning are beginning to make inroads into image analysis. AI algorithms can be trained

to automatically detect and quantify imaging biomarkers of pain, such as specific patterns of disc degeneration, bone marrow lesions, or muscle fat infiltration, potentially providing more objective and reproducible assessments than human readers [25]. These tools could also help predict treatment response, for instance, by analyzing pre-procedural MRI features to forecast which patients are most likely to benefit from a spinal injection or surgery.

Another promising frontier is the field of quantitative MRI, which moves beyond qualitative assessment to provide measurable, objective data on tissue properties. Techniques like T1rho and T2 mapping can quantitatively assess the biochemical composition of cartilage and intervertebral discs, detecting early degenerative changes long before they are visible on conventional MRI [26]. This could allow for earlier, more preventative interventions. Furthermore, the fusion of different imaging modalities is creating powerful new diagnostic tools. PET/MRI systems combine the metabolic profiling of PET with the superb soft-tissue contrast of MRI, offering a comprehensive assessment of conditions like sarcoidosis, metastatic disease, or large-vessel vasculitis that can present with chronic pain [27]. As these technologies mature and become more widely available, they promise to further refine our diagnostic precision, personalize treatment plans, and ultimately, improve long-term functional outcomes for the millions living with chronic pain.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the impact of radiology on treatment outcomes in patients with chronic pain syndromes is profound, pervasive, and indispensable. From the initial diagnostic workup with conventional X-rays to the detailed anatomical and functional characterization provided by MRI, CT, and nuclear medicine, imaging provides the objective evidence required to move beyond empirical management towards targeted, mechanism-based therapy. It serves as the essential guide for a vast array of minimally invasive interventional procedures, transforming them from blind techniques into precise, effective, and safe treatments that can diagnose, mitigate, and sometimes eliminate chronic pain. By enabling accurate diagnosis, facilitating appropriate treatment selection, and guiding therapeutic interventions, radiology directly contributes to reduced suffering, decreased reliance on systemic opioids, improved functional capacity, and enhanced overall quality of life for patients. While challenges remain, particularly in the realm of incidental findings and the necessity for strict clinical correlation, the ongoing evolution of imaging technology and its deeper integration into interdisciplinary pain management protocols ensures that radiology will continue to be a cornerstone in the fight against the global burden of chronic pain.

References:

1. Chow E, Harris K, Fan G, Tsao M, Sze WM. Palliative radiotherapy trials for bone metastases: a systematic review. J Clin Oncol. 2007;25:1423–1436.
2. Arias F, Arrarás JI, Asín G, Zarandona U, Mora I, Errasti M, Barrado M, Campo M, Visus I, Dominguez MA. To What Extent Does Radiotherapy Improve the Quality of Life of Patients With Bone Metastasis?: A Prospective, Single-Institutional Study. Am J Clin Oncol. 2018;41:163–166.
3. Lutz S, Berk L, Chang E, Chow E, Hahn C, Hoskin P, Howell D, Konski A, Kachnic L, Lo S, Sahgal A, Silverman L, von Gunten C, Mendel E, Vassil A, Bruner DW, Hartsell W American Society for Radiation Oncology (ASTRO) Palliative radiotherapy for bone metastases: an ASTRO evidence-based guideline. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2011;79:965–976.
4. Hoveidaei A, Fekri M, Hoveidaei AH. Depression, the severity of bone metastasis, and the number of radiotherapy episodes: are they interlinked? Eastern J Med. 2021;26:618–619.
5. Goblirsch MJ, Zwolak PP, Clohisy DR. Biology of bone cancer pain. Clin Cancer Res. 2006;12:6231s–6235s.
6. Huang JF, Shen J, Li X, Rengan R, Silvestris N, Wang M, Derosa L, Zheng X, Belli A, Zhang XL, Li YM, Wu A. Incidence of patients with bone metastases at diagnosis of solid tumors in adults: a large population-based study. Ann Transl Med. 2020;8:482.

7. De Felice F, Piccioli A, Musio D, Tombolini V. The role of radiation therapy in bone metastases management. Oncotarget. 2017;8:25691–25699.
8. AziziKia H, Teymourzadeh A, Kouchaki H, Nakhostin-Ansari A, Jafari Doudaran P, Ahmadinejad I, Hoveidaei A, Roshandel G. Colorectal Cancer Incidence in Iran Based on Sex, Age, and Geographical Regions: A Study of 2014-2017 and Projected Rates to 2025. Arch Iran Med. 2024;27:174–182.
9. Sierko E, Hempel D, Zuzda K, Wojtukiewicz MZ. Personalized Radiation Therapy in Cancer Pain Management. Cancers (Basel) 2019;11.
10. Jayarangaiah A, Kemp AK, Theetha Kariyanna P. Bone Metastasis. 2023 Jul 31. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan-.
11. Lartigau E. Stereotactic body radiotherapy. BMJ. 2011;343:d4286.
12. Akezaki Y, Nakata E, Kikuuchi M, Sugihara S, Katayama Y, Katayama H, Hamada M, Ozaki T. Factors Affecting the Quality of Life of Patients with Painful Spinal Bone Metastases. Healthcare (Basel) 2021;9.
13. Jamora KE, Castillo MRL, Calaguas MJC. Assessment of the prevalence of pain, adequacy of pain management and influencing factors in patients undergoing radiotherapy. Ecancermedicalscience. 2022;16:1483.
14. Zeng L, Chow E, Bedard G, Zhang L, Fairchild A, Vassiliou V, Alm El-Din MA, Jesus-Garcia R, Kumar A, Forges F, Tseng LM, Hou MF, Chie WC, Bottomley A. Quality of life after palliative radiation therapy for patients with painful bone metastases: results of an international study validating the EORTC QLQ-BM22. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2012;84:e337–e342.
15. De la Pinta C. SBRT in non-spine bone metastases: a literature review. Med Oncol. 2020;37:119.
16. McDonald R, Ding K, Brundage M, Meyer RM, Nabid A, Chabot P, Coulombe G, Ahmed S, Kuk J, Dar AR, Mahmud A, Fairchild A, Wilson CF, Wu JSY, Dennis K, DeAngelis C, Wong RKS, Zhu L, Chan S, Chow E. Effect of Radiotherapy on Painful Bone Metastases: A Secondary Analysis of the NCIC Clinical Trials Group Symptom Control Trial SC.23. JAMA Oncol. 2017;3:953–959.
17. Zeng L, Chow E, Zhang L, Culleton S, Holden L, Jon F, Khan L, Tsao M, Barnes E, Danjoux C, Sahgal A. Comparison of pain response and functional interference outcomes between spinal and non-spinal bone metastases treated with palliative radiotherapy. Support Care Cancer. 2012;20:633–639.
18. Goblirsch M, Mathews W, Lynch C, Alaei P, Gerbi BJ, Mantyh PW, Clohisy DR. Radiation treatment decreases bone cancer pain, osteolysis and tumor size. Radiat Res. 2004;161:228–234.
19. Hoveidaei AH, Ghaseminejad-Raeini A, Hosseini-Asl SH, Shrestha A, Hoveidaei A, Taghavi SP, Nwankwo BO, Khonji MS, Conway JD. Initiating chemotherapy in joint arthroplasty patients increases the risk of periprosthetic joint infections. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2024.
20. Tseng YD. Radiation Therapy for Painful Bone Metastases: Fractionation, Recalcitrance, and Symptom Control. Semin Radiat Oncol. 2023;33:139–147.
21. Westhoff PG, de Graeff A, Reyners AK, Monninkhof EM, Rodenhuis CC, van Vulpen M, Leer JW, Marijnen CA, van der Linden YM Dutch Bone Metastasis Study Group. Effect of age on response to palliative radiotherapy and quality of life in patients with painful bone metastases. Radiother Oncol. 2014;111:264–269.
22. Jiang W, Rixiati Y, Zhao B, Li Y, Tang C, Liu J. Incidence, prevalence, and outcomes of systemic malignancy with bone metastases. J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong) 2020;28:2309499020915989.
23. Sprave T, Verma V, Förster R, Schlampp I, Hees K, Bruckner T, Bostel T, El Shafie RA, Welzel T, Nicolay NH, Debus J, Rief H. Bone density and pain response following intensity-modulated radiotherapy versus three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy for vertebral metastases - secondary results of a randomized trial. Radiat Oncol. 2018;13:212.
24. Arakil N, Akhund SA, Elaasser B, Mohammad KS. Intersecting Paths: Unraveling the Complex Journey of Cancer to Bone Metastasis. Biomedicines. 2024;12.
25. Mendez LC, Raman S, Wan BA, da Silva JLP, Moraes FY, Lima KMLB, Silva MF, Diz MDPE, Chow E, Marta GN. Quality of life in responders after palliative radiation therapy for painful bone metastases using EORTC QLQ-C30 and EORTC QLQ-BM22: results of a Brazilian cohort. Ann Palliat Med. 2017;6:S65–S70.

26. Rich SE, Chow R, Raman S, Liang Zeng K, Lutz S, Lam H, Silva MF, Chow E. Update of the systematic review of palliative radiation therapy fractionation for bone metastases. Radiother Oncol. 2018;126:547–557.
27. Wu JS, Wong RK, Lloyd NS, Johnston M, Bezjak A, Whelan T Supportive Care Guidelines Group of Cancer Care Ontario. Radiotherapy fractionation for the palliation of uncomplicated painful bone metastases - an evidence-based practice guideline. BMC Cancer. 2004;4:71.