The Review Of
DIABETIC
STUDIES OPEN ACCESS

Infection Prevention And Control In Nursing Practice: Strategies, Challenges, And Innovations

Naif Yahya Ibrahim Khubrani[1], Fasisal Thahi Helaiel Al-Eniz[2], Haya Ali Albeladi[3], Ngood Mashhor Mohammed Alqahtani[4], Zobida Ahmed Al-Maghrbe[5], Haifa Ahmed Ail Salami[6], Yazeed Abdullah Alghamdi[7], Raghad Abdakarim Abduh[8], Samah Selmi Alrohily[9], Faisal Fraih Alharbi[10], Tarek Saad Alahmary[11], Ebtesam Zeid Ali Alotaibi[12], Nawaf Fahad Almutairi[13], Ahmed Mohammed Hadadi[14], Rahaf Alzahrani[15]

[1]Nurse Technician, Ministry of Defense, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
[2]Nursing Technician, Al-Iman General Hospital, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. fadalanazi@moh.gov.sa
[3]Nursing, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
[4]Nursing Technician, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
[5]Nursing Technician, King Khalid Hospital and Prince Sultan Medical Center in Al-Kharj, First Health Cluster.
[6]Nursing Technician, Al-Seih Women's, Maternity and Children's Hospital, Al-Kharj, First Health Cluster
[7]Paramedic in MOH, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
[8]Nursing, Imam Abdulrahman Al Faisal Hospital, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
[9]Nurse, Al-haram Hospital (ALMADINAH), Al Madinah Health Complex, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
[10]Nursing, Al-Iman General Hospital, Riyadh First Health Cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
[11]Nursing, Al-Iman General Hospital, Riyadh First Health Cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
[12]Nursing, Al-Iman General Hospital, Riyadh First Health Cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
[13]Nursing, Prince Mohammed bin Abdulaziz Hospital, Second Health Cluster in Central Region, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
[14]Nursing, Prince Mohammed bin Abdulaziz Hospital, Second Health Cluster in Central Region, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
[15]Staff Nurse, National Guard Hospital, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

Abstract

Background: Infection prevention and control (IPC) is a fundamental component of nursing practice and patient safety. Despite global efforts, healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) remain a major cause of morbidity, mortality, and financial strain. Nurses are pivotal in implementing IPC measures that reduce infection risks and promote quality care across healthcare settings.

Methods: This review synthesizes evidence from the World Health Organization (WHO), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), and recent research to evaluate nursing-led IPC strategies. The analysis applies theoretical and behavioral frameworks such as the Chain of Infection, Donabedian Model, and COM-B to explore factors influencing IPC effectiveness.

Results: Effective IPC interventions, including hand hygiene, personal protective equipment (PPE) use, environmental cleaning, and antimicrobial stewardship, can prevent up to half of all HAIs. However, implementation is often limited by resource constraints, staffing shortages, and behavioral barriers. Educational innovations, such as simulation training and e-learning, enhance competence and compliance, while emerging technologies, including automated disinfection, electronic surveillance, and AI-based analytics, strengthen infection monitoring and prevention.

Conclusions: Nursing-led IPC programs are critical for safe and sustainable healthcare. Strengthening education, leadership, and institutional support can bridge gaps between knowledge and practice. Future priorities include integrating digital tools, fostering behavioral change, and embedding IPC into nursing policy and curricula to ensure preparedness against current and emerging infectious threats.

Keywords Infection Control, nursing practice, Antimicrobial Stewardship, Innovation, Surveillance, Patient Safety.

Introduction

The prevention and control of infections remain a cornerstone of modern healthcare delivery, underpinning the universal pursuit of patient safety and quality care. Infection prevention and control (IPC) is not only a public health priority but also an essential nursing responsibility that directly influences patient outcomes and healthcare resource utilization. As pathogens evolve and healthcare systems grow increasingly complex, the burden of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) continues to pose substantial clinical and economic challenges across both high- and low-income countries. The global relevance of IPC has been reinforced in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic, which exposed vulnerabilities in infection control systems worldwide and emphasized the indispensable role of nurses as the vanguard of infection prevention efforts. Studies highlight that IPC interventions such as hand hygiene, safe use of personal protective equipment (PPE), environmental sanitation, and antimicrobial stewardship are vital in reducing infection transmission within clinical and community settings (Hill et al., 2024).

Healthcare-associated infections represent one of the most frequent adverse events in healthcare delivery systems, responsible for preventable morbidity, mortality, and economic burden. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) 2024 Global Report on Infection Prevention and Control, approximately one in every ten patients worldwide acquires an HAI during care, with higher prevalence in low- and middle-income countries. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) further reports that on any given day, 1 in 31 hospitalized patients in the United States suffers from an HAI, highlighting its persistent threat even in well-resourced healthcare systems. Common HAIs such as ventilator-associated pneumonia, catheter-associated urinary tract infections, surgical site infections, and central line-associated bloodstream infections are associated with prolonged hospital stays, increased antimicrobial resistance, and substantial healthcare costs. Evidence from multi-country analyses shows that approximately 50% of HAI cases could be prevented through effective implementation of IPC measures, particularly those led by trained nursing staff (Igwe et al., 2024).

Epidemiologically, HAIs constitute a major global burden, accounting for millions of morbidity cases and significant mortality annually. A 2024 systematic review on the effectiveness of IPC interventions in African healthcare facilities reported substantial reductions in HAI rates and improvements in compliance with hand hygiene, illustrating the measurable benefits of coordinated IPC frameworks. Similarly, data from WHO's 2024 global survey indicate that nearly 70% of healthcare systems globally still lack the minimum core components required for effective IPC implementation, including trained personnel, surveillance systems, and adequate supplies. Financially, HAIs impose an immense cost on healthcare systems, estimated to exceed hundreds of billions of dollars each year worldwide, driven by prolonged hospitalization, increased antibiotic use, and litigation costs. These indicators underscore the urgent need for robust, standardized IPC programs integrated into clinical practice and supported by continuous education among nursing staff (Amavasi & Zimmerman, 2024).

Nurses play a foundational role in the design, execution, and evaluation of IPC protocols across all healthcare settings acute, long-term, and community care. As the largest segment of the global healthcare workforce, nurses are positioned at the critical interface between patients, families, and multidisciplinary teams, making their compliance and advocacy pivotal in curbing infection transmission. Beyond implementing hand hygiene and sterile techniques, nurses oversee environmental decontamination, patient isolation, surveillance activities, and PPE usage while educating patients and families about infection control principles. Infection control nurses (ICNs), in particular, have demonstrated measurable success in reducing infections such as MRSA and Pseudomonas aeruginosa through structured auditing, staff training, and data-driven feedback mechanisms. The presence of a dedicated, empowered IPC nursing network correlates strongly with higher compliance rates and reduced incidence of HAIs, both within hospitals and in post-acute community settings (Batran et al., 2025).

Despite ongoing advancements, gaps in IPC implementation persist due to systemic resource constraints, inconsistent training, inadequate institutional support, and behavioral barriers among healthcare workers.

Several studies have shown that even with adequate knowledge, compliance among nurses may be hindered by workload, perceived risk, and limited reinforcement from management. This gap between knowledge and practice contributes to the continued occurrence of preventable infections. Strengthening IPC frameworks in nursing practice is therefore essential to ensure sustained adherence to evidence-based guidelines, maintain workplace safety, and enhance organizational resilience against emerging infectious threats. Increasing investment in nursing education, improving institutional IPC governance, and embedding infection prevention into everyday clinical routines are key prerequisites to achieving these goals (Fujimoto et al., 2025).

The purpose of this review is to critically synthesize current evidence on infection prevention and control in nursing practice, elucidating the scope, effectiveness, and limitations of existing strategies across diverse healthcare contexts. The objectives are threefold: first, to analyze prevailing IPC interventions and their integration into nursing workflows; second, to identify barriers, including structural, educational, and behavioral factors that impede effective implementation; and third, to highlight emerging innovations and research directions that can enhance IPC outcomes. By evaluating evidence from global health agencies such as WHO and CDC alongside recent PubMed-indexed studies, this review aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how nursing-led IPC initiatives can contribute to reducing infection risk, improving patient outcomes, and advancing quality care worldwide (Storr et al., 2024).

Methods

This review employs a comprehensive evidence synthesis approach, critically evaluating global guidelines, contemporary research, and theoretical frameworks relevant to infection prevention and control (IPC) in nursing practice. Sources were primarily drawn from authoritative bodies including the World Health Organization (WHO), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), and peer-reviewed publications indexed in PubMed from the last five years to ensure currency and relevance.

The methodological framework integrates both theoretical and behavioral models—namely the Chain of Infection model, Donabedian quality of care framework, and behavior change theories such as the COM-B model and Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF). These frameworks guided the thematic analysis to elucidate mechanisms influencing IPC practices, organizational factors, and behavioral determinants critical to nursing compliance and program effectiveness.

Data collection focused on extracting information regarding core nursing-led IPC strategies (e.g., hand hygiene, personal protective equipment use, environmental sanitation, antimicrobial stewardship), educational interventions, challenges to implementation, and emerging technological innovations. Both qualitative and quantitative findings from global surveys, systematic reviews, and case studies were included to provide a balanced perspective.

The review also analyzed barriers at structural, behavioral, and policy levels affecting IPC adherence among nurses, emphasizing resource limitations, staffing shortages, knowledge gaps, and organizational culture factors. Furthermore, attention was given to innovations in IPC education such as simulation training and e-learning modules, as well as evolving surveillance and digital tools enhancing infection monitoring.

This narrative synthesis was conducted to identify knowledge gaps, evaluate the effectiveness of current practices, and highlight future directions for integrating IPC into nursing education, practice, and policy frameworks with the ultimate goal of reducing healthcare-associated infections and enhancing patient safety worldwide.

Theoretical Framework for Infection Prevention and Control (IPC) in Nursing

Infection Prevention and Control (IPC) in nursing is underpinned by several essential theoretical models and frameworks that provide structure for understanding and improving practice. Central among these is the Chain of Infection model, which elucidates the sequential stages through which infections spread:

infectious agent, reservoir, portal of exit, mode of transmission, portal of entry, and susceptible host. Breaking any link in this chain effectively halts transmission, making it a fundamental concept in nursing education and practice. Standard Infection Control Precautions (SICPs) aim precisely at interrupting these links through appropriate measures such as hand hygiene, use of personal protective equipment (PPE), and environmental cleaning. Nurses play a vital role in implementing these interventions to prevent healthcare-associated infections (HCAIs) and protect vulnerable patients (Rn) et al., 2022).

Beyond biological mechanisms, the Donabedian Model offers a robust framework for evaluating the quality of infection prevention and control within healthcare settings. This model conceptualizes quality of care through three interrelated components: structure (organizational resources, facilities, staffing), process (how care and preventive measures are delivered), and outcomes (patient health results and infection rates). In the nursing context, structure refers to adequate staffing and availability of IPC resources; process pertains to adherence to protocols like hand hygiene and aseptic techniques; and outcomes involve reduced infection incidence and improved patient safety. Recent studies demonstrate successful application of this model in assessing and improving nursing competence and IPC effectiveness, emphasizing structured training and continuous evaluation as cornerstones of quality care (Yang et al., 2025).

Importantly, nurses operate within comprehensive Safety and Quality Frameworks formulated by leading organizations such as the American Nurses Association (ANA), World Health Organization (WHO), and International Council of Nurses (ICN). These frameworks integrate IPC as a core element of patient safety culture, emphasizing leadership engagement, education, evidence-based policies, and accountability. Nurses are positioned not only as direct implementers of IPC measures but also as advocates and educators, contributing to organizational safety climate and quality improvement initiatives (Theron et al., 2022).

To address persistent challenges in compliance and sustain infection prevention behaviors, recent scholarship increasingly advocates for the integration of Behavioral and Systems Approaches grounded in behavior change theories such as the Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF), COM-B (Capability, Opportunity, Motivation - Behavior), and the Behaviour Change Wheel (BCW). These frameworks elucidate cognitive, social, and environmental determinants influencing healthcare worker behaviors. Evidence indicates that interventions designed with these theories in mind, addressing beliefs about consequences, resource availability, social/professional roles, and organizational culture, can improve adherence to IPC protocols like hand hygiene and antimicrobial stewardship. Further, human factors perspectives emphasize optimizing work environments, equipment accessibility, and cognitive load to support safe practices. Team training, simulation exercises, and reflective educational activities also foster shared understanding and sustainable compliance among nursing staff. Managerial support in ensuring reasonable workload, staffing stability, and resource provision is critical to enabling these behavioral interventions to succeed (Greene & Wilson, 2022a).

Core Infection Prevention and Control Strategies in Nursing Practice

Effective infection prevention and control (IPC) in nursing hinges on several core strategies that collectively reduce healthcare-associated infections (HAIs), enhance patient safety, and uphold quality of care. These strategies encompass hand hygiene, personal protective equipment (PPE) use, environmental cleaning, device-associated infection prevention, antimicrobial stewardship, patient education, and surveillance (Hill et al., 2024).Hand hygiene remains the cornerstone of IPC, emphasized by the WHO "5 Moments for Hand Hygiene" framework, which identifies critical points during patient care when hand cleansing prevents pathogen transmission. Nurses serve as compliance champions by modeling and enforcing these practices. The use of alcohol-based hand rubs (ABHR) has gained preference over traditional handwashing for routine patient care when hands are not visibly soiled because ABHRs are fast-acting, more effective against a broad spectrum of pathogens, and cause less skin irritation, facilitating better adherence. Rigorous aseptic techniques during invasive procedures further prevent pathogen entry (Soni et al., 2025).

PPE selection corresponds to the infectious agent's mode of transmission: contact (gown, gloves), droplet (surgical mask plus contact PPE), or airborne (N95 respirator). Nurses must don and doff PPE properly to prevent self-contamination, both in routine care and during outbreaks such as COVID-19, requiring heightened precautions. Practical considerations include availability, fit, duration of use, and frequency of changing PPE while ensuring safety and comfort without compromising patient care ("Isolation Precautions," 2014).

Environmental hygiene is integral to interrupting transmission via contaminated surfaces. Nurses incorporate cleaning routines into workflow, ensuring frequent disinfection of high-touch areas and patient equipment according to established protocols. Sterilization adherence, especially for reusable invasive devices, is critical to prevent device-associated infections. Nurses ensure equipment undergoes proper cleaning before sterilization, and they verify sterilization indicators are met, serving as the last defense line (Hill et al., 2024).

Nurses play a pivotal role in preventing central line-associated bloodstream infections (CLABSI), catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTI), and ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) by implementing evidence-based care bundles. This includes aseptic insertion techniques, daily assessment for device necessity, maintenance with appropriate dressing changes, and meticulous documentation to ensure compliance and facilitate audits. Their vigilance reduces infection rates and improves clinical outcomes (Gade et al., 2023).

Nurses contribute significantly to antimicrobial stewardship by reviewing medications, ensuring timely administration, and educating patients on adherence and resistance risks. They monitor for signs of infection and adverse drug effects, collaborating closely with the healthcare team to promote rational antibiotic use and mitigate antimicrobial resistance development (van Huizen et al., 2021).

Health literacy is central to empowering patients and families to participate actively in IPC behaviors. Nurses engage in tailored communication using clear, culturally sensitive language and educational tools to teach hand hygiene, respiratory etiquette, and wound care practices. This educational role fosters adherence to IPC protocols beyond the clinical setting (Hammoud et al., 2024).

Active surveillance led by nurses detects infections early, tracks incidence, and identifies outbreaks promptly. Nurses document signs of infection meticulously and report according to standardized protocols, enabling infection control teams to implement timely interventions. Surveillance data also drives quality improvement and policy development ("Core Elements of Effective Infection Prevention and Control Programmes in Acute Health Care Facilities," 2016).

Incorporating these core strategies requires education, leadership, and constant vigilance. Nurses, positioned at the frontline, are not only implementers but also advocates and educators in infection control, thus pivotal to reducing HAIs and safeguarding public health.

Education, Training, and Competence Building Continuing professional development (CPD) in infection prevention and control (IPC) is essential in nursing practice to ensure healthcare workers maintain and enhance their knowledge, skills, and competence in preventing healthcare-associated infections (HAIs). The complexity and evolving nature of IPC, driven by rapid advances in medical technology, changing regulations, and emerging infectious diseases, necessitate ongoing education for nursing staff involved in IPC. Regular CPD programs facilitate the reduction of HAIs by reinforcing adherence to best practices and raising awareness of infection risks in clinical settings. Professional development activities include seminars, conferences, certification programs, and access to updated guidelines, which collectively empower nurses to respond effectively to new infection threats and improve overall patient safety. Certification in IPC, such as through recognized boards, further validates nurses' expertise and commitment to infection control. In contexts like China, efforts to enhance IPC training reflect global needs to address

workforce disparities and improve infection management standards in healthcare institutions (Zhang et al., 2024).

Simulation-based training and e-learning modules have become pivotal innovations in IPC education, offering practical, interactive, and scalable learning opportunities for nurses. Simulation allows hands-on practice of infection control protocols in controlled, realistic scenarios without risk to patients, enhancing skill retention and confidence. E-learning modules complement traditional training by providing flexible, accessible, and standardized educational content that can be updated rapidly to reflect current IPC guidelines. Both modalities support learning in diverse settings, including remote or resource-limited hospitals, bridging gaps caused by geographic or logistical barriers. Studies highlight simulation's role in reducing errors and improving compliance with IPC measures, while e-learning facilitates continuous knowledge updates and self-paced learning for healthcare personnel (Yoshikawa et al., 2025).

Mentorship and peer-led learning are recognized as effective strategies for fostering competence and professional growth in IPC among nurses. Mentorship programs create supportive relationships where experienced nurses or IPC specialists guide less experienced staff through knowledge sharing, skills development, and emotional support, which enhances confidence and performance in infection control practices. Peer-led learning fosters collaborative environments encouraging reflective practice, team cohesiveness, and empowerment. This approach can be especially valuable during high-demand periods, such as pandemics, where maintaining IPC standards requires collective effort and shared expertise. Peer mentoring also addresses limitations of didactic learning by capturing the tacit knowledge and clinical wisdom that reside within frontline nursing teams, thus contributing to quality improvement and sustained IPC culture (Abd-Elfattah et al., 2022).

Education in resource-limited settings and rural healthcare contexts faces unique challenges but remains critical for effective IPC implementation. Limited access to training resources, infrastructural constraints, shortages of specialized personnel, and geographic isolation necessitate tailored educational approaches. Studies conducted in small rural hospitals demonstrate that structured interventions, including active surveillance, hand hygiene campaigns, and contextualized training, can significantly improve IPC practices even with minimal resources. Strategies such as linking rural facilities to larger centers for expertise sharing, leveraging mobile technology for e-learning, and adapting training content to local epidemiology and cultural contexts enhance feasibility and sustainability. Global health organizations emphasize the importance of capacity building in such settings to reduce infection burdens and health disparities, suggesting that scalable, adaptive IPC education models are essential for equity in healthcare safety (Stevenson et al., 2014).

Challenges and Barriers in Implementation

Inadequate staffing, scarcity of resources, and poor healthcare infrastructure remain primary barriers to effective IPC implementation. Overcrowding in healthcare settings exacerbates these issues, leading to high nurse-to-patient ratios that limit the ability of nursing staff to adhere to rigorous infection control protocols. Many hospitals, especially in resource-limited settings, suffer from physical constraints such as insufficient isolation rooms, lack of hand hygiene facilities, and inadequate sterilization equipment, creating an environment where pathogens can easily spread. Studies have shown that shortages in staff and supplies directly hamper nurses' ability to perform consistent infection control practices, as workloads increase and time for proper hygiene declines. Overwhelmed nurses often face time constraints preventing them from thorough disinfection practices, while poor infrastructure challenges the upkeep of essential IPC components (Lowe et al., 2021).

Beyond structural deficits, behavioral factors significantly influence IPC adherence. Lack of motivation, limited awareness about IPC importance, and resistance to change due to entrenched cultural or attitudinal norms lead to inconsistent practice among nursing professionals. Negative role models and peer influences also affect adherence: when nurses observe colleagues or supervisors neglecting IPC

measures, this behavior may become normalized, weakening overall compliance. Research highlights that forgetfulness, emergency situations, and inconvenient placement of hand hygiene resources further reduce adherence rates. Educational gaps worsen these barriers, as knowledge deficits on infection control principles diminish motivation to practice systematically, underscoring the need for continuous, tailored training programs to boost awareness and corrective behavior (Greene & Wilson, 2022b).

Policy shortcomings, such as inconsistent enforcement of national or institutional IPC guidelines, create further obstacles. Many healthcare institutions lack robust monitoring, auditing, and feedback mechanisms that are critical for sustaining IPC adherence. Without clear accountability structures or regular compliance assessments, healthcare workers may neglect IPC practices, either due to oversight or perceived irrelevance. The absence of mandates or weak organizational commitment to infection prevention weakens IPC programs, especially where management support and resource allocation are inadequate. This administrative negligence leads to discrepancies between guideline availability and practical implementation, hampering quality control efforts (Yee et al., 2021).

The psychological and occupational burden of infection prevention work imposes significant challenges. Nurse burnout and chronic occupational stress, intensified by extended workloads and high infection risk exposure, critically impair performance in IPC tasks. Burnout correlates with decreased job satisfaction and diminished adherence to infection control measures, which, in turn, increases healthcare-associated infections such as urinary tract and surgical site infections. The psychological toll of working under constant risk, especially during infectious disease outbreaks, exacerbates cognitive detachment and lapses in protocol compliance. Research quantifies the impact of burnout, revealing that reducing nurse burnout substantially lowers infection rates and healthcare costs, highlighting how mental health support is integral to IPC success (Cimiotti et al., 2012).

Low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) encounter unique and amplified challenges in IPC implementation due to systemic inequities. These include severe resource constraints, insufficient training opportunities, weak supply chains, and underdeveloped data surveillance systems for monitoring infection rates and antimicrobial resistance. Hospitals in LMICs often lack the infrastructure and workforce capacity necessary for comprehensive infection control, leading to persistently high rates of multidrug-resistant organisms and healthcare-associated infections. The gap in technical expertise and financial support further exacerbates disparities in IPC practice quality between LMICs and high-income countries. Addressing these global inequities requires international cooperation, investment in healthcare infrastructure, capacity building, and the adaptation of IPC guidelines to LMIC contexts (Maki & Zervos, 2021).

Innovations and Emerging Trends in Infection Control

Infection prevention and control (IPC) in nursing practice is rapidly evolving, with innovations spanning technology, digital data integration, material science, policy, and behavioral science. These advancements aim to enhance the efficacy, efficiency, and sustainability of IPC measures in healthcare settings, addressing traditional challenges and enabling proactive management of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) (Huang et al., 2021).

Technological solutions have transformed IPC with the introduction of automated disinfection systems such as ultraviolet-C (UV-C) light and hydrogen peroxide vapor (HPV) systems. These systems provide highly effective environmental disinfection by disrupting the DNA of pathogens, reducing reliance on manual cleaning, and ensuring consistent decontamination of patient rooms and equipment. Automated room disinfection (ARD) systems, including aerosolized hydrogen peroxide and UV-C light devices, have demonstrated robust microbiological efficacy against a broad spectrum of pathogens, including multidrug-resistant organisms, thereby reducing HAI transmission risks. Additionally, electronic surveillance systems enable continuous tracking and reporting of HAIs, facilitating timely outbreak detection and targeted interventions. The adoption of smart monitoring tools and wearable technologies has also advanced hand

hygiene adherence by providing real-time feedback to healthcare workers, promoting compliance through behavioral reinforcement and objective monitoring (Otter et al., 2020).

Digital and data-driven innovations in IPC leverage artificial intelligence (AI) and predictive analytics to revolutionize outbreak detection and infection management. AI models integrated with electronic health records (EHRs) can identify infection patterns and predict HAIs such as surgical site infections and urinary tract infections with high accuracy, enabling preemptive clinical responses. These AI-driven systems are increasingly complemented by mobile and e-learning platforms that offer scalable, flexible nurse training in IPC best practices, thus enhancing knowledge dissemination and competency development even in resource-limited settings. Integration of IPC data with EHRs supports comprehensive infection surveillance and facilitates data-driven decision-making across clinical workflows, improving overall patient safety and quality of care (El Arab et al., 2025).

Material and product innovation continues to expand the IPC toolkit through the development of antimicrobial and self-cleaning surface materials. These surfaces, often infused with agents such as copper alloys, inhibit microbial growth upon contact and reduce the need for frequent manual disinfection, serving as passive yet persistent barriers against pathogen transmission. Innovations in personal protective equipment (PPE), including anti-fog masks and reusable fluid-resistant gowns, address usability and environmental impact concerns, contributing to enhanced protection and sustainability in healthcare. Furthermore, the increasing use of biodegradable disinfectants and eco-friendly supplies reflects a growing commitment to environmental stewardship within infection control practices, minimizing harmful chemical residues while maintaining antimicrobial effectiveness (Pietsch et al., 2020).

Behavioral and cultural transformation represents a critical frontier in IPC advancement. Strategies informed by nudging and behavioral economics have been employed to subtly influence healthcare workers' behaviors, improving adherence to IPC protocols without relying solely on mandates or penalties. Interprofessional simulation training enhances teamwork, communication, and IPC awareness through experiential learning, promoting a shared commitment to infection control goals. The cultivation of a safety culture through recognition and empowerment programs further motivates staff by valuing IPC contributions, embedding infection prevention as a core organizational value rather than ancillary compliance (Richards & Linder, 2021).

Implications for Nursing Practice

Integration of Infection Prevention and Control (IPC) into nursing practice carries significant implications for improving healthcare quality and patient safety. One of the foundational steps is the integration of IPC principles into nursing curricula and continuing education programs. Embedding IPC within both theoretical and clinical education ensures that nursing professionals develop a thorough understanding of infection risks and prevention methods from the earliest stages of their training. Continuing education is equally critical, as ongoing updates in IPC knowledge and techniques help nurses keep pace with emerging infectious threats, evolving best practices, and technological advances. Studies have repeatedly demonstrated that targeted education and training interventions improve nurses' knowledge, attitudes, and adherence to IPC protocols, thereby reducing healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) and improving patient outcomes. For instance, educational programs focusing on hand hygiene and catheter-associated infection prevention have resulted in measurable declines in infection rates, emphasizing the role of sustained education not only in knowledge acquisition but in effective practice change (Kim & Choi, 2025).

Nurse educators hold a pivotal role in the success of competency-based IPC training by designing and implementing structured, evidence-based curricula that combine theoretical rigor with practical skills development. Their responsibilities extend beyond content delivery to include modeling exemplary IPC behaviors, fostering a culture of safety, and evaluating competencies regularly. Effective IPC training programs emphasize competency validation through assessments that confirm that nurses can apply IPC principles correctly in varied clinical contexts. The participatory role of nurse educators is also essential in

addressing barriers to compliance, such as knowledge gaps, resource limitations, and cultural factors, thereby tailoring educational strategies to context-specific needs and improving adherence (Al Hadid et al., 2024).Achieving excellence in IPC is directly linked to improved patient outcomes and the broader reputation of healthcare institutions. Hospitals with robust IPC programs report lower incidences of HAIs, shorter patient stays, fewer complications, and decreased healthcare costs. Importantly, IPC effectiveness is not merely a clinical metric but a reputational asset that influences patient trust and institutional credibility. Positive perceptions of hospital cleanliness, safety, and infection control competence motivate staff morale and patient confidence, contributing to enhanced service utilization and institutional loyalty. Empirical research substantiates that IPC measures environmental controls, adequate PPE supply, and administrative oversight mediate hospital efficiency and internal reputation, making IPC a strategic priority beyond clinical care (Shahi & Thakur, 2025).

The ethical dimensions of infection control in nursing practice introduce complex considerations about balancing individual patient autonomy with public safety imperatives. Nurses often face dilemmas when infection control measures restrict patient freedoms, for example, isolation precautions or mandatory vaccinations, forcing a reconciliation between respecting autonomy and protecting the community from infectious risks. Ethical nursing practice advocates for transparent communication, informed consent, and compassionate care while enforcing IPC protocols to minimize harm. Furthermore, ethical frameworks in IPC emphasize the nurse's role in advocacy, confidentiality, and equitable care delivery. Ethical challenges also include ensuring fairness in resource allocation, protecting vulnerable populations, and navigating institutional and societal expectations about infection prevention. The integration of ethical principles into IPC policies and training reinforces the responsibility nurses hold in safeguarding both individual rights and public health (Wang et al., 2021).

In sum, the implications of IPC for nursing practice are profound and multifaceted. Embedding IPC into education and competency frameworks equips nurses to implement effective prevention strategies confidently and consistently. Nurse educators play a critical role in fostering the knowledge and skills essential for IPC excellence. The benefits of strong IPC extend beyond reduced infection rates to enhanced patient safety, institutional reputation, and healthcare quality. Navigating the ethical dimensions of infection control enriches nursing practice by upholding human dignity and public responsibility, thus framing IPC as a cornerstone of professional nursing and healthcare systems worldwide (Kakkar et al., 2021).

Future Directions

Emerging infectious threats present an ongoing and complex challenge to infection prevention and control (IPC) preparedness in nursing practice, necessitating continuous adaptation and innovation. Novel pathogens, such as newly discovered viruses with pandemic potential, alongside the alarming resurgence of antimicrobial resistance (AMR), underscore the critical need for robust IPC frameworks. These emerging threats amplify the demand for surveillance systems capable of early detection and rapid response, reinforcing the importance of real-time data integration in healthcare settings. Nurses, as frontline healthcare providers, must be equipped with updated knowledge and resources to implement dynamic IPC strategies that are responsive to evolving microbial landscapes. The COVID-19 pandemic has profoundly highlighted the importance of agile IPC measures, including but not limited to improved isolation protocols, enhanced hand hygiene practices, and the use of personal protective equipment (PPE), all of which require constant reevaluation in light of novel infectious agents and resistance patterns (Soni et al., 2025).

Prospects for personalized infection prevention interventions are gaining momentum as advances in genomics, microbiome science, and digital health technologies converge. Personalized IPC approaches might include tailoring antimicrobial stewardship to individual patient risk profiles, optimizing hygiene protocols based on patient-specific susceptibility, and deploying precision diagnostics for rapid pathogen identification. Such customization aims to maximize effectiveness while minimizing unnecessary interventions and the risk of resistance development. Integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning algorithms enhances predictive modeling for infection risks, enabling nurses and IPC teams to

deploy targeted and timely interventions. This stratified approach marks a paradigm shift from blanket policies to nuanced, patient-centered IPC strategies, fostering better outcomes and resource utilization (Smiddy et al., 2024).

Strengthening global collaboration and reporting systems remains pivotal to confronting infectious diseases that transcend borders. International initiatives such as the Global Infection Prevention and Control Network (GIPCN) and WHO's core IPC guidelines exemplify frameworks facilitating knowledge exchange, harmonization of protocols, and coordinated responses to outbreaks. Nonetheless, gaps persist in consistent global adherence and real-time data sharing. Expanding interoperable electronic health records and surveillance systems to incorporate global datasets can improve outbreak detection and epidemiologic tracking. Moreover, fostering cross-cultural exchanges among healthcare professionals from different regions enhances collective IPC capacity and innovation diffusion. Nurses play a crucial role as both implementers and communicators in these networks, directly impacting IPC efficacy on a worldwide scale (Global Report on Infection Prevention and Control 2024, n.d.).

Policy recommendations for sustainable IPC programs in nursing emphasize the integration of IPC into broader healthcare quality and safety initiatives. Sustainable programs require ongoing funding, leadership commitment, and embedding of IPC principles into nursing education and workplace culture. Policies should support continuous professional development in IPC, provision of adequate PPE, and institutional infrastructure enhancements such as ventilation improvements and isolation facilities. Additionally, the environmental impact of IPC practices must be balanced with sustainability goals, promoting waste reduction and eco-friendly materials without compromising safety. Policymakers are urged to adopt evidence-based frameworks, including the WHO Core Components for IPC Programs, and to ensure accountability systems for compliance monitoring and improvement. Such policy measures are essential for fostering resilience against current and future infectious threats (Global Report on Infection Prevention and Control 2024, n.d.).

Research priorities in IPC for nursing urgently call for addressing persistent evidence gaps, with particular attention to cross-cultural studies, cost-effectiveness analyses, and implementation research. While much IPC evidence is derived from high-income countries, there is a pressing need to generate contextually relevant data from low- and middle-income settings to guide tailored interventions. Research should explore barriers to nurse compliance, the effectiveness of innovative IPC technologies, and strategies for behavior change among healthcare workers. Furthermore, cost-effectiveness studies help justify resource allocation and optimize IPC investments within constrained healthcare budgets. Emphasis on mixed-methods research provides comprehensive insights, incorporating quantitative infection outcome metrics alongside qualitative assessments of nurse experiences and organizational culture. Prioritizing training and quality improvement initiatives in research agendas will strengthen evidence-based nursing IPC practices and enhance patient safety globally (Leal et al., 2025).

Conclusion

Infection prevention and control in nursing practice remains central to safeguarding patient safety and healthcare quality across global health systems. Nurses serve not only as frontline implementers but also as educators, advocates, and leaders in IPC efforts. This review underscores the critical impact of nursing-led IPC interventions in reducing healthcare-associated infections, improving clinical outcomes, and mitigating antimicrobial resistance.

Persistent challenges including resource constraints, suboptimal training, institutional shortcomings, and behavioral barriers continue to impede optimal IPC compliance. Overcoming these obstacles requires multifaceted strategies encompassing enhanced education and competency development, institutional commitment, robust surveillance systems, and supportive work environments. Innovations in technology, such as automated disinfection, AI-based infection monitoring, and novel antimicrobial materials, hold significant potential to transform IPC practice and effectiveness.

Future directions should emphasize personalized, data-driven IPC approaches, strengthened international collaboration, and sustained investment in nursing capacity building. Embracing behavioral and implementation science frameworks will facilitate translating evidence into practice and fostering a culture of safety. Ultimately, empowering nurses through continuous education, leadership, and resource provision is indispensable to achieving resilient, high-quality healthcare systems with effective infection prevention at their core.

References

1. Abd-Elfattah, A. S., Taha, E. E.-S., Akl, H. F., & Ghallab, E. (2022). Effect of Peer Mentoring Strategy on Nursing Students' Infection Control Practice, Self-efficacy and Stress. Alexandria Scientific Nursing Journal, 24(3), 75–86. https://doi.org/10.21608/asalexu.2022.267757
2. Al Hadid, L., Al Barmawi, M., Al-Rawajfah, O., & Al-Sagarat, A. (2024). An Agreement Among Nurse Educators on Infection Prevention and Control Practices to Ensure Safe Clinical Training Post-COVID-19. Iranian Journal of Nursing and Midwifery Research, 29(1), 85–90. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijnmr.ijnmr_415_21
3. Amavasi, B., & Zimmerman, P.-A. (2024). Infection prevention and control continuous education and training in pre-registration nursing programmes. Nurse Education Today, 133, 106051. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2023.106051
4. Batran, R., Ayed, A., Batran, A., Ejheisheh, M. A., Alassoud, B., Hayek, M. F., & Batran, A. (2025). Determinants of Nurses' Compliance with Infection Prevention and Control Practices in Critical Care Units. SAGE Open Nursing, 11, 23779608251339193. https://doi.org/10.1177/23779608251339193
5. Cimiotti, J. P., Aiken, L. H., Sloane, D. M., & Wu, E. S. (2012). Nurse staffing, burnout, and health care–associated infection. American Journal of Infection Control, 40(6), 486–490. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2012.02.029
6. Core elements of effective infection prevention and control programmes in acute health care facilities: A systematic review (update of the SIGHT review). (2016). In Guidelines on Core Components of Infection Prevention and Control Programmes at the National and Acute Health Care Facility Level. World Health Organization. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK401758/
7. El Arab, R. A., Almoosa, Z., Alkhunaizi, M., Abuadas, F. H., & Somerville, J. (2025). Artificial intelligence in hospital infection prevention: An integrative review. Frontiers in Public Health, 13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2025.1547450
8. Fujimoto, K., Utsumi, M., Swa, T., Furuya, A., Ikuji, A., Nakanishi, A., & Harada, N. (2025). The Multiple Determinants of Infection Prevention and Control Practices in Nursing Homes: A Scoping Review. Journal of the American Medical Directors Association, 26(6), 105593. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2025.105593
9. Gade, N., Burri, R., Sujiv, A., Mishra, M., Pradeep, B. E., Debaje, H., Sable, T., & Kaur, A. (2023). Promoting Patient Safety: Exploring Device-Associated Healthcare Infections and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern in a Multidisciplinary Intensive Care Units. Cureus. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.50232
10. Global report on infection prevention and control 2024. (n.d.). Retrieved October 15, 2025, from https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240103986
11. Greene, C., & Wilson, J. (2022a). The use of behaviour change theory for infection prevention and control practices in healthcare settings: A scoping review. Journal of Infection Prevention, 23(3), 108–117. https://doi.org/10.1177/17571774211066779
12. Greene, C., & Wilson, J. (2022b). The use of behaviour change theory for infection prevention and control practices in healthcare settings: A scoping review. Journal of Infection Prevention, 23(3), 108–117. https://doi.org/10.1177/17571774211066779
13. Hammoud, S., Amer, F., Khatatbeh, H., & Kocsis, B. (2024). What is the current state of patient and family education on infection control? A descriptive study during COVID-19. Journal of Infection in Developing Countries, 18(10), 1474–1484. https://doi.org/10.3855/jidc.19481

14. Hill, B., Lamichhane, G., & Wamburu, A. (2024). Infection prevention and control: Critical strategies for nursing practice. British Journal of Nursing (Mark Allen Publishing), 33(17), 804–811. https://doi.org/10.12968/bjon.2024.0286
15. Huang, F., Brouqui, P., & Boudjema, S. (2021). How does innovative technology impact nursing in infectious diseases and infection control? A scoping review. Nursing Open, 8(5), 2369–2384. https://doi.org/10.1002/nop2.863
16. Igwe, U., Okolie, O. J., Ismail, S. U., & Adukwu, E. (2024). Effectiveness of infection prevention and control interventions in health care facilities in Africa: A systematic review. American Journal of Infection Control, 52(10), 1135–1143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2024.06.004
17. Isolation precautions. (2014). In Infection Prevention and Control of Epidemic- and Pandemic-Prone Acute Respiratory Infections in Health Care. World Health Organization. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK214342/
18. Kakkar, S. K., Bala, M., & Arora, V. (2021). Educating nursing staff regarding infection control practices and assessing its impact on the incidence of hospital-acquired infections. Journal of Education and Health Promotion, 10, 40. https://doi.org/10.4103/jehp.jehp_542_20
19. Kim, Y., & Choi, J. (2025). Enhancing infection prevention and control practices among nurses: The mediating role of resilience and the moderating effect of training participation. American Journal of Infection Control, S0196-6553(25)00495-X. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2025.07.009
20. Leal, J., Bush, K., Cundict, F., Ellison, J., Gagnon, H., Herrick, T., Jagpal, S., Meyers, G., Pearce, C., Scott, E., Stuber, L., Armstrong, L., McFerran, B., Thurston, B., Conly, J., Dixit, D., Larios, O., & Smith, S. (2025). Research priorities in infection prevention and control in Alberta, Canada: A modified Delphi process. Journal of Hospital Infection, 165, 89–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2025.07.023
21. Lowe, H., Woodd, S., Lange, I. L., Janjanin, S., Barnet, J., & Graham, W. (2021). Challenges and opportunities for infection prevention and control in hospitals in conflict-affected settings: A qualitative study. Conflict and Health, 15, 94. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13031-021-00428-8
22. Maki, G., & Zervos, M. (2021). Health Care–Acquired Infections in Low- and Middle-Income Countries and the Role of Infection Prevention and Control. Infectious Disease Clinics of North America, 35(3), 827–839. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idc.2021.04.014
23. Otter, J. A., Yezli, S., Barbut, F., & Perl, T. M. (2020). An overview of automated room disinfection systems: When to use them and how to choose them. Decontamination in Hospitals and Healthcare, 323–369. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-102565-9.00015-7
24. Pietsch, F., O'Neill, A. J., Ivask, A., Jenssen, H., Inkinen, J., Kahru, A., Ahonen, M., & Schreiber, F. (2020). Selection of resistance by antimicrobial coatings in the healthcare setting. Journal of Hospital Infection, 106(1), 115–125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2020.06.006
25. Richards, A. R., & Linder, J. A. (2021). Behavioral Economics and Ambulatory Antibiotic Stewardship: A Narrative Review. Clinical Therapeutics, 43(10), 1654–1667. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinthera.2021.08.004
26. Rn), O. R. for N. (Open, Reuter-Sandquist, M., Ernstmeyer, K., & Christman, E. (2022). Chapter 4: Adhere to Principles of Infection Control. In Nursing Assistant [Internet]. Chippewa Valley Technical College. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK599388/
27. Shahi, A., & Thakur, R. (2025). A Study of Linking Infection Prevention Control to Hospital Efficiency and Reputation. Annals of Neurosciences, 09727531251367815. https://doi.org/10.1177/09727531251367815
28. Smiddy, M. P., Burton, E., Kingston, L., Poovelikunnel, T. T., Moyo, M., & Flores, A. (2024). Identifying research priorities for infection prevention and control. A mixed methods study with a convergent design. Journal of Infection Prevention, 25(3), 59–65. https://doi.org/10.1177/17571774241230676
29. Soni, S., Yarrarapu, S. N. S., & Tobin, E. H. (2025). Infection Control. In StatPearls [Internet]. StatPearls Publishing. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK519017/
30. Stevenson, K. B., Searle, K., Curry, G., Boyce, J. M., Harbarth, S., Stoddard, G. J., & Samore, M. H. (2014). Infection control interventions in small rural hospitals with limited resources: Results of a

cluster-randomized feasibility trial. Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control, 3, 10. https://doi.org/10.1186/2047-2994-3-10

31. Storr, J., Kilpatrick, C., & Seale, H. (2024). The relevance of nursing to the achievement of person-centred infection prevention and control. Journal of Research in Nursing, 17449871241281437. https://doi.org/10.1177/17449871241281437
32. Theron, M., Botma, Y., & Heyns, T. (2022). Infection prevention and control practices of non-medical individuals in a neonatal intensive care unit: A Donabedian approach. Midwifery, 112, 103393. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2022.103393
33. van Huizen, P., Kuhn, L., Russo, P. L., & Connell, C. J. (2021). The nurses' role in antimicrobial stewardship: A scoping review. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 113, 103772. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2020.103772
34. Wang, Y., Li, Y., Shen, B., Li, H., Sun, H., Yuan, C., Xie, H., Li, X., Li, Y., Guan, J., Jia, Q., & Zhang, X. (2021). Nursing ethics for prevention and control of major infectious disease outbreaks: Chinese expert consensus. International Journal of Nursing Sciences, 9(1), 5–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnss.2021.12.008
35. Yang, J., Liu, F., Yang, C., Wei, J., Ma, Y., Xu, L., Xie, J., & Wang, J. (2025). Application of Donabedian Three-Dimensional Model in Outpatient Care Quality: A Scoping Review. Journal of Nursing Management, 2025, 6893336. https://doi.org/10.1155/jonm/6893336
36. Yee, D., Osuka, H., Weiss, J., Kriengkauykiat, J., Kolwaite, A., Johnson, J., Hopman, J., Coffin, S., Ram, P., Serbanescu, F., & Park, B. (2021). Identifying the priority infection prevention and control gaps contributing to neonatal healthcare-associated infections in low-and middle-income countries: Results from a modified Delphi process. Journal of Global Health Reports, 5, 10.29392/001c.21367. https://doi.org/10.29392/001c.21367
37. Yoshikawa, A., Ohtsuka, H., Aoki, K., Tashiro, N., Togo, S., Komaba, K., Nogawa, S., Osawa, M., & Enokida, M. (2025). Simulation-based infection prevention and control training for medical and healthcare students: A systematic review. Frontiers in Medicine, 12, 1529557. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2025.1529557
38. Zhang, M., Wu, S., Ibrahim, M. I., Noor, S. S. M., & Mohammad, W. M. Z. W. (2024). Significance of Ongoing Training and Professional Development in Optimizing Healthcare-associated Infection Prevention and Control. Journal of Medical Signals and Sensors, 14, 13. https://doi.org/10.4103/jmss.jmss_37_23