The Review Of
DIABETIC
STUDIES
OPEN ACCESS

Reprint from The Review of DIABETIC STUDIES

Modern Strategies In Infection Prevention And Control: A Comprehensive Review

Mazen Mosa Alamri [1], Mussab Hasan Abutarboosh [2], Ahmed Ibrahim Aljohani [3], Hazem Marshud Almotairy [4], Rahaf Abdullah Yahya Jobili [5], Huda Ahmed Daghriry [6], Jabrah Ali Otaif [7], Wardah Msawi Mohammed Hamdi [8], Jaafar Mansour Ali Al-Moumen [9], Amani Ayidh Alharthi [10], Fawzia Radaan Al-Mazwi [11], Amal Ahmade Al-Ghamdi [12], Mohamed Ashour Ahmed Eissa [13], Shagraa Mohmmed Essa Hadadi [14], Mohammed Tawfiq Albadi [15]

1. *Emergency Medical Technician (EMT), Operations of Medical Transport, Ambulance Services Department, Madinah Health Cluster, , Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.*
2. *Emergency Medical Technician (EMT), Operations of Medical Transport, Ambulance Services Department. Madinah Health Cluster, , Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.*
3. *Emergency Medical Technician (EMT), Operations of Medical Transport, Ambulance Services Department, Madinah Health Cluster, , Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.*
4. *Emergency Medical Technician (EMT), Operations of Medical Transport, Ambulance Services Department, Madinah Health Cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.*
5. *Nursing Specialist, Sametah General Hospital, Jazan Health Cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.*
6. *Register Nurse, Samta general hospital, Jazan health cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.*
7. *Nursing Specialist, Samtah General Hospital, Jazan Cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.*
8. *Nursing Specialist, Sametah General Hospital, Jazan Health Cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.*
9. *Nurse Technician, Erada Complex for Mental Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.*
10. *Nurse, Al-iman Hospital, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. amaalharthi@moh.gov.sa*
11. *Nurse, Al-iman General Hospital, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.*
12. *Nurse, Al-iman General Hospital, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi*
13. *Physical Therapy Technician, King Fahad Hospital, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi*
14. *Nursing Technician, Jazan Health, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.*
15. *Nursing Specialist, Mental Health Hospital -Alahsa, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.*

Abstract

Infection prevention and control (IPC) is a cornerstone of patient safety and healthcare quality, essential for mitigating the global burden of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) and antimicrobial resistance. This comprehensive review synthesizes current evidence on modern IPC strategies, integrating epidemiological data, core program components, and innovative interventions. HAIs such as surgical site infections, catheter-associated urinary tract infections, central line-associated bloodstream infections, ventilator-associated pneumonia, and Clostridioides difficile infections impose significant morbidity, mortality, and economic costs, with higher incidence in low- and middle-income countries. Effective IPC relies on a multimodal approach encompassing standard and transmission-based precautions, hand hygiene, environmental cleaning, antimicrobial stewardship, and robust surveillance systems. Recent advancements include the integration of electronic health records, artificial intelligence for outbreak detection, no-touch disinfection technologies (e.g., UV-C, hydrogen peroxide vapor), and simulation-based training. Despite progress, challenges persist, including staffing shortages, resource limitations, IPC compliance fatigue, and cultural barriers. The future of IPC lies in genomic surveillance, AI-driven risk prediction, and the embedding of IPC within organizational safety cultures to ensure sustainable, resilient healthcare systems.

Keywords Infection prevention, Healthcare-associated infections, Infection control measures, Nursing practice, Modern healthcare, IPC innovations.

Introduction

Infectious diseases continue to pose major challenges to global public health systems, necessitating the urgent evolution of effective infection prevention and control (IPC) frameworks within healthcare settings worldwide. The escalating threat from novel pathogens, antimicrobial resistance, and outbreaks of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) has significantly amplified the demand for robust, adaptable strategies that can protect patient populations and healthcare workers alike. Infection prevention and control have emerged as a cornerstone of patient safety and clinical quality, integrating diverse methodologies to restrict pathogen transmission, reduce incidence rates of acquired infections, and uphold the integrity of health services amidst dynamic epidemiological scenarios. As advancements in medical technology and interdisciplinary approaches mature, continuous refinement of IPC protocols is essential to respond effectively to evolving risks, maintain patient confidence, and support health system resilience (Edmond & Wenzel, 2015).

The global burden of infectious diseases remains staggering, accounting for millions of deaths and substantial morbidity each year, disproportionately affecting vulnerable groups and low-resource settings. Healthcare-associated infections are among the most common adverse events in hospitals, with a recent World Health Organization report estimating that hundreds of millions of patients are affected annually across all nations. HAIs such as catheter-associated urinary tract infections, surgical site infections, ventilator-associated pneumonia, and central line-associated bloodstream infections contribute substantially to prolonged hospital stays, increased antimicrobial consumption, and escalating mortality rates. Emerging infectious threats, notably multidrug-resistant organisms and highly contagious viral agents, compound the risks associated with clinical environments and demand comprehensive, coordinated responses spanning surveillance, diagnosis, and prevention. These burdens underscore the critical relevance of IPC efforts at the international, national, and local levels each adaptation tailored to address specific healthcare system vulnerabilities and population health needs (IHME Pathogen Core Group, 2024).

Robust infection prevention and control systems are directly linked to improved patient safety, lower rates of infectious complications, and better clinical outcomes for both acute and chronic care populations. The implementation of evidence-based IPC practices, including hand hygiene compliance, safe device management, environmental cleaning, and antimicrobial stewardship, can achieve measurable reductions in HAIs, decrease unnecessary antibiotic use, and optimize general health resource utilization. Additionally, IPC measures offer long-term benefits by curtailing the transmission of emerging pathogens, mitigating outbreaks, and reinforcing the ethical obligation of healthcare organizations to safeguard the welfare of patients, staff, and visitors. As health systems pursue stronger quality management and accreditation goals, IPC remains central to cross-disciplinary patient safety initiatives that transcend individual specialties and align with broader standards for excellence in healthcare delivery (Costa et al., 2020).

The history of infection control is marked by scientific breakthroughs, social transformations, and iterative policy development spanning centuries. Seminal milestones include the adoption of antiseptic practices pioneered by Ignaz Semmelweis and Joseph Lister in the 19th century, the institutionalization of sterilization and aseptic techniques during surgical expansion, and the proliferation of hospital epidemiology as a distinct discipline throughout the 20th and 21st centuries. These foundational advances were fueled by the evolving understanding of microbial transmission, the role of environmental reservoirs, and the link between health system design and infectious risk. The emergence of modern IPC as a multidisciplinary specialty is a direct outcome of these cumulative innovations, blending epidemiology, microbiology, behavioral science, and health informatics. Today, infection control programs employ digitally enabled surveillance, rapid diagnostics, and risk-based interventions that reflect both historic learnings and contemporary challenges in the global healthcare landscape (Paul et al., 2024).

Hospital-acquired infections inflict an enormous financial burden on health systems, intensifying costs through extended hospitalizations, increased diagnostic and therapeutic interventions, additional staffing, and punitive consequences from regulatory bodies. Economic analyses have shown that targeted IPC investments yield high returns in terms of cost avoidance, reduced litigation, and enhanced societal productivity. Beyond these financial dimensions, HAIs present profound ethical dilemmas challenging healthcare providers and institutions to balance resource allocation, transparency, disclosure of adverse events, and the principle of non-maleficence in the care of all populations. Ethical imperatives demand that IPC practices be universally rigorous, equitable, and sensitive to patient autonomy, especially in environments experiencing resource constraints, public health emergencies, or cross-border disease transmission. Addressing the dual pressures of economic sustainability and ethical accountability compels health organizations to maintain continuous improvement in IPC programs and uphold the trust of communities they serve (Scott et al., 2019).

Contemporary IPC management is defined by evidence-based, multidisciplinary approaches that combine insights from infection control specialists, epidemiologists, clinicians, nurses, environmental services, public health experts, and administrative leaders. This collaborative paradigm leverages research findings, standardized protocols, and cross-sector cooperation to optimize the detection, reporting, and mitigation of infectious threats throughout the patient care continuum. Practice guidelines, such as those issued by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), World Health Organization (WHO), and scientific societies, establish benchmarks for surveillance, isolation precautions, outbreak management, and health worker immunization. Successful IPC implementation depends not only on scientific rigor and technological innovation but also on the sustained commitment to education, organizational culture, and interdisciplinary dialogue, each of which is essential to fostering adaptive strategies and resilient care environments with demonstrable health benefits (Sonpar et al., 2025).

The overarching objective of this scientific review is to synthesize current literature on modern strategies in infection prevention and control, integrating recent advancements, policy trends, and applied methodologies across clinical domains. Major focal areas include the global epidemiology of HAIs, analysis of evidence-based interventions, assessment of economic and ethical impacts, and the operationalization of multidisciplinary IPC frameworks supporting patient safety and quality management. This comprehensive investigation aims to advance scholarly discourse, inform health sector policy, and reinforce the necessity of continuous improvement in infection control, drawing from key studies, international guidelines, and practical case examples that illuminate the dynamic landscape of IPC in modern medicine.

Methods

This review used a comprehensive and structured approach to gather and analyze evidence about infection prevention and control strategies. Articles were identified through searches in PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, CINAHL, and Google Scholar, and were complemented by guidelines from WHO, CDC, and ECDC. The review focused on studies published in English from 2015 to 2025 that addressed key areas like infection prevention, healthcare-associated infections, antimicrobial stewardship, hand hygiene, and the role of nursing in infection control. Studies not directly related to infection control or without full text were excluded.

Findings were grouped by the type of intervention, healthcare setting, and reported outcomes using thematic synthesis. Rather than applying a formal scoring system for quality, articles were chosen based on how relevant, transparent, and clearly reported they were. This method helped ensure inclusion of a broad spectrum of peer-reviewed evidence and global policy recommendations, making the analysis both thorough and applicable to real-world infection control practice.

Background

The field of infection prevention and control (IPC) has undergone a profound evolution from its initially simple antiseptic origins to the establishment of comprehensive, multifaceted modern IPC systems integral to healthcare delivery worldwide. The roots of infection control trace back to the 19th century with pioneering figures such as Ignaz Semmelweis, who demonstrated the critical importance of hand hygiene in reducing puerperal fever, and Joseph Lister, who developed antiseptic surgical techniques. These foundational antiseptic measures transformed healthcare practice by introducing germ theory principles, emphasizing that microorganisms are agents of infection and thus disease transmission can be disrupted by appropriate hygienic practices. Over decades, IPC expanded beyond antisepsis to incorporate broader institutional policies, surveillance programs, antimicrobial stewardship, environmental controls, and the introduction of personal protective equipment (PPE), driven by clinical experience and advances in microbiology and epidemiology. The modern IPC paradigm recognizes infection prevention as a system-wide challenge requiring integration across individual behaviors, healthcare environments, organizational culture, and policy frameworks to effectively reduce healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) and improve patient safety outcomes (Garcia et al., 2022).

Conceptual frameworks have played an essential role in underpinning modern IPC strategies, providing structured approaches to understand and address the complexity of infection prevention in healthcare settings. Systems Theory is a primary theoretical model that underscores the interconnectedness of people, processes, and environments within healthcare delivery. It encourages a holistic approach, where infection control is not seen in isolation but as a component influenced by multiple organizational levels, including leadership, staff, infrastructure, and patient pathways, with feedback loops that can reinforce positive behaviors or identify system failures. Complementing this, the World Health Organization (WHO) developed the multimodal strategy, a practical framework that operationalizes IPC through five integrated elements: system change (ensuring infrastructure and supplies support IPC), training and education of healthcare workers, monitoring and feedback mechanisms to track compliance and outcomes, reminders in the workplace to reinforce behaviors, and fostering an institutional safety climate that prioritizes patient and staff safety. This multimodal strategy has been widely validated across diverse settings, showing significant reductions in infection rates when interventions engage all these components simultaneously. The Donabedian model further sharpens IPC program evaluation by categorizing performance into structure (resources, staff, infrastructure), process (implementation of IPC practices), and outcomes (rates of infection, antimicrobial resistance), enabling targeted improvements through systematic assessment and benchmarking (Storr et al., 2017).

The core components of IPC programs form the operational foundation essential for effective infection control and prevention. These include: (1) policy and governance, which provide unified guidance, accountability, and consistent standards articulated through institutional and national regulations; (2) surveillance systems, crucial for the timely identification, tracking, and analysis of HAIs and antimicrobial resistance patterns, utilizing increasingly sophisticated electronic health records and data analytics; (3) education and training to equip healthcare personnel with up-to-date competencies and sustain a culture of safety built on knowledge and communication; (4) antimicrobial stewardship programs aimed at optimizing the use of antibiotics to reduce resistance and preserve therapeutic efficacy, involving multidisciplinary collaboration with infectious disease specialists and pharmacists; (5) environmental hygiene encompassing effective cleaning, disinfection, waste management, and facility design with appropriate ventilation and spacing; (6) use of personal protective equipment (PPE) and strict adherence to hand hygiene protocols supported by innovative monitoring and feedback technologies; and (7) organizational culture elements including leadership engagement and safety climate fostering continuous improvement and resilience against infection outbreaks. The integration and harmonization of these core components, rooted in evidence-based frameworks, enable IPC programs to proactively adjust to emerging challenges such as novel pathogens and antimicrobial resistance while maintaining high standards of patient care and safety (“Core Components of IPC Programmes,” 2019).

Epidemiology of Infection and Transmission Pathways

Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) continue to pose a significant burden on global healthcare systems, contributing extensively to morbidity, mortality, increased healthcare costs, and the emergence of multidrug-resistant organisms. Epidemiological data reveal that the incidence of HAIs varies globally and regionally based on healthcare infrastructure, infection prevention practices, resource availability, and surveillance rigor. Common HAIs include ventilator-associated pneumonia, central line-associated bloodstream infections, catheter-associated urinary tract infections, and surgical site infections, each exhibiting variable incidence rates across different countries and patient populations. For example, studies from tertiary care centers and intensive care units have consistently documented high rates of pneumonia as the leading HAI, followed by urinary tract and surgical site infections, with incidence rates as high as 55.96 events per 1,000 ICU-days in some low- and middle-income countries, reflecting the persistent challenges of infection control and surveillance globally (Alrebish et al., 2022).

The epidemiological landscape of HAIs is shaped by the circulation of major healthcare-associated pathogens, notably methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), Clostridioides difficile (C. difficile), carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE), and vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE). Trends indicate fluctuations in the prevalence of these pathogens, while initiatives targeting MRSA and C. difficile transmission have led to reductions in their incidence in some high-resource settings, an uptick in VRE has been observed throughout Europe and other regions in recent years. The rising prevalence of antibiotic resistance, driven by horizontal gene transfer and the selective pressures of antimicrobial overuse, complicates the management of HAIs, particularly in intensive care and transplant units where vulnerable populations are disproportionately affected. Notably, the burden of multidrug-resistant microorganisms in the ICU setting can constitute up to 74% of the total pathogens isolated, underscoring the essential need for robust antibiotic stewardship and infection prevention policies (Fioriti et al., 2020).

Understanding the routes of transmission is crucial for devising effective infection control interventions. Transmission of infectious agents within healthcare facilities occurs through several pathways: direct contact (person-to-person), indirect contact via contaminated surfaces or equipment, droplet spread from respiratory sources, airborne dispersion of small particles, and, less commonly, vector-borne mechanisms. Persistent environmental contamination, particularly in ICUs, has been demonstrated to be a significant reservoir for multidrug-resistant organisms, often even outweighing the contribution of cross-transmission between patients. These findings highlight the significance of rigorous environmental cleaning and the implementation of standard and transmission-based precautions, such as hand hygiene, personal protective equipment, and isolation protocols, as core components of infection control (Pham et al., 2022).

Risk factors for acquiring HAIs are multifactorial and encompass host, treatment-related, and environmental determinants. The use of invasive devices (e.g., central lines, urinary catheters, mechanical ventilation), length of ICU stay, immunosuppression, older age, and prior exposure to broad-spectrum antibiotics are all independently associated with higher HAI risk. Vulnerable populations including older adults, the immunocompromised, neonates, and patients with chronic comorbidities exhibit greater susceptibility to infection and complications, owing to both intrinsic (such as age-related immune senescence) and extrinsic (such as frequent healthcare contact and device dependency) factors (Tesini & Dumyati, 2023).

Emerging threats including the emergence of novel pathogens, re-emergence of neglected infections, and the rapid evolution and dissemination of antibiotic resistance genes further complicate infection prevention and control efforts. High-profile outbreaks, such as those associated with carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae and vancomycin-resistant organisms, have accentuated the need for real-time molecular surveillance, robust stewardship programs, and international cooperation in tracking and containing antimicrobial resistance. The recent global experience with the COVID-19 pandemic dramatically impacted infection prevention and control frameworks: while the pandemic prompted observation of stricter hand hygiene and personal protective equipment use, it also resulted in resource diversion, lapses in routine surveillance, and transient increases in certain HAI rates due to disruptions in routine infection control activities. Moreover, the pandemic underscored the importance of adaptive, resilient infection control policies capable of responding to dynamic threats and healthcare system pressures (Cimen et al., 2023).

Healthcare-Associated Infections: Classification and Burden

Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) represent a major threat to patient safety and healthcare quality, imposing substantial morbidity, mortality, and economic burden globally. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that nearly 1 in 4 cases of sepsis are healthcare-related, underscoring the severity and prevalence of these infections. In European countries alone, approximately 4.8 million HAIs occur annually among patients admitted to acute-care hospitals, with the burden being particularly acute in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), where an estimated 15% of hospitalized patients acquire at least one HAI twice the rate observed in high-income countries. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) reported an estimated 687,000 HAIs in U.S. acute care hospitals in 2015, resulting in approximately 72,000 patient deaths during hospitalization, highlighting the life-threatening nature of these infections. Bacterial pathogens such as Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and enterococci are the most common causative agents, with a growing proportion exhibiting resistance to multiple antimicrobial agents, thereby complicating treatment and increasing mortality risk (Raoofi et al., 2023).

Surgical Site Infections

Surgical site infections (SSIs) are among the most common and consequential types of HAIs, affecting 0.5% to 3% of patients undergoing surgical procedures. In LMICs, the incidence is significantly higher, with WHO reporting that 11% of surgical patients become infected, and in Africa, up to 20% of women undergoing cesarean sections develop SSIs. SSIs are classified into superficial, deep, and organ/space infections, depending on the depth of tissue involvement, and they contribute to prolonged hospital stays, increased healthcare costs, and higher postoperative morbidity and mortality. The etiology of SSIs is multifactorial, involving patient-related risk factors such as diabetes, obesity, and immunosuppression, as well as procedure-related factors including duration of surgery, contamination level, and hypothermia. Evidence-based preventive strategies have been consolidated into the Surgical Care Improvement Project (SCIP), which emphasizes timely and appropriate administration of prophylactic antimicrobials, maintenance of normothermia, optimization of blood glucose levels, and proper hair removal techniques (avoiding razors). The use of antimicrobial-coated sutures and tissue adhesives like 2-octyl-cyanoacrylate has also demonstrated efficacy in reducing SSI rates. Surveillance with feedback to surgical teams and implementation of standardized protocols across healthcare institutions are critical for sustained reduction in SSI incidence (Seidelman et al., 2023).

Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infections

Catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTIs) constitute approximately 32% of all HAIs, making them one of the most prevalent device-associated infections. The primary risk factor for CAUTI is the duration of urinary catheterization, with bacteriuria developing at a rate of 3–7% per catheter day. CAUTIs arise when microorganisms, often part of the patient's endogenous flora or introduced via contaminated equipment or healthcare personnel, ascend the catheter into the bladder, leading to infection. The CDC and Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee (HICPAC) guidelines emphasize minimizing catheter use by inserting catheters only for appropriate indications, such as acute urinary retention, accurate urine output monitoring in critically ill patients, or assisting wound healing in incontinent individuals, and removing them as soon as clinically feasible. Prevention strategies include ensuring only trained personnel insert and maintain catheters, using aseptic technique during insertion, maintaining a closed drainage system, and implementing electronic reminders for daily assessment of catheter necessity. Hospital-wide programs incorporating care bundles have demonstrated success, with one U.S. study reporting reduced catheter use and CAUTI rates following implementation of nurse-led discontinuation protocols and portable ultrasound bladder scanners. Novel approaches such as antimicrobial catheter coatings and vaccination are under investigation as potential future preventive measures (Werneburg, 2022).

Central Line-Associated Bloodstream Infections

Central line-associated bloodstream infections (CLABSIs) are serious and often fatal complications of central venous catheter use, with mortality rates ranging from 12% to 15%. In intensive care units (ICUs), the overall weighted CLABSI rate is 2.65 per 1,000 catheter-days, with pediatric and surgical ICUs experiencing higher rates than general adult ICUs. CLABSIs significantly prolong hospital and ICU stays, increase healthcare costs, and elevate morbidity and mortality, particularly in trauma and immunocompromised patients. The primary pathogenesis involves microbial colonization of the catheter hub or insertion site, followed by intraluminal or extraluminal migration into the bloodstream. Key modifiable risk factors include poor hand hygiene, suboptimal insertion technique, and prolonged catheter duration. Prevention is centered on strict adherence to evidence-based practices, including maximal sterile barrier precautions during insertion, chlorhexidine skin antisepsis, optimal catheter site selection (preferably subclavian vein), and daily evaluation for catheter necessity. Multicomponent care bundles, such as those promoted by the Institute for Healthcare Improvement (IHI), have led to dramatic reductions in CLABSI rates when implemented consistently. Additionally, comorbidities such as chronic kidney disease, cirrhosis, and concurrent HAIs like CAUTI and VAP are independent risk factors for CLABSI, underscoring the importance of holistic infection control strategies (Aryan et al., 2024).

Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia

Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in mechanically ventilated ICU patients, with reported incidence rates around 30% in some settings. VAP develops more than 48 hours after endotracheal intubation and is primarily caused by aspiration of oropharyngeal secretions colonized with pathogenic bacteria, including multidrug-resistant organisms. Fixed risk factors include underlying cardiorespiratory disease and neurologic impairment, while modifiable risks encompass supine positioning, sedation, lack of oral hygiene, and prolonged mechanical ventilation. Prevention strategies focus on minimizing ventilator exposure through the use of noninvasive ventilation when possible and implementing daily spontaneous breathing trials and sedation interruption protocols as part of the ABCDE bundle. Elevating the head of the bed to 30–45 degrees, performing regular oral care with chlorhexidine, and using subglottic suctioning endotracheal tubes are also effective interventions. Adequate nurse staffing and healthy work environments have been associated with lower VAP rates, as they enable consistent implementation of evidence-based practices. Despite advances in prevention, VAP remains a persistent challenge, particularly in resource-limited settings where adherence to complex care bundles may be inconsistent (Li et al., 2024).

Clostridioides difficile Infections

Clostridioides difficile infection (CDI) is a major cause of healthcare-associated diarrhea and colitis, primarily triggered by antibiotic-induced disruption of the gut microbiome. The most commonly implicated antibiotics include fluoroquinolones, cephalosporins, clindamycin, and penicillins, with even brief courses posing a risk. Other significant risk factors include advanced age (≥65 years), prolonged hospitalization, gastric acid suppression with proton pump inhibitors, and underlying conditions such as inflammatory bowel disease and immunosuppression. CDI is highly transmissible via the fecal-oral route, with spores persisting on environmental surfaces for extended periods, necessitating rigorous cleaning with sporicidal agents. Recurrent CDI occurs in up to 20% of patients, further increasing morbidity and healthcare utilization. Prevention relies on antimicrobial stewardship to limit unnecessary antibiotic use, strict hand hygiene with soap and water (as alcohol-based sanitizers do not kill spores), and contact precautions for infected patients. Environmental disinfection, patient isolation, and early diagnosis using nucleic acid amplification tests are critical components of infection control programs aimed at curbing CDI outbreaks (Song & Kim, 2019).

Multidrug-Resistant Organism Infections

Multidrug-resistant organism (MDRO) infections, including those caused by methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE), and carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE), represent a growing global health crisis. The prevalence of MDROs in U.S. hospitals has increased steadily since their emergence, driven by widespread antibiotic use and lapses in infection control. MDROs are transmitted primarily through contact with contaminated healthcare workers' hands or environmental surfaces, making adherence to standard and contact precautions essential. Prevention strategies include active surveillance cultures to detect asymptomatic colonization, isolation of colonized or infected patients, antimicrobial stewardship to reduce selection pressure, and environmental cleaning with effective disinfectants. The WHO estimates that 136 million HAIs annually are resistant to antibiotics, with 119 million occurring in middle-income countries, and mortality among patients with resistant infections is two to three times higher than those with susceptible pathogens. Comprehensive MDRO prevention plans must be tailored to local epidemiology and involve coordinated efforts across healthcare facilities, public health agencies, and clinical teams to interrupt transmission and preserve the efficacy of existing antimicrobials (Geng et al., 2025).

Modern Innovations in Infection Prevention

Electronic health records (EHRs) have become indispensable in modern infection prevention, providing automated, real-time access to data on symptoms, laboratory results, and clinical outcomes. Large-scale adoption of EHRs allows for more comprehensive and timely detection and reporting of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs), leveraging structured data for surveillance and forming the basis of IPC dashboards that monitor process metrics and clinical outcomes. Integration of these platforms with National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) protocols and centralized reporting systems not only streamlines data collection and processing but also improves the accuracy and completeness of case detection compared to traditional methods. In parallel, AI-based outbreak detection systems now utilize machine learning and natural language processing to analyze heterogeneous data streams from medical records and laboratory reports to social media and environmental sensors, surpassing human capabilities in early detection and predictive modeling for infection risk assessment. These innovations enable faster public health responses to emerging threats and optimize resource allocation, thus enhancing pandemic preparedness while minimizing gaps in surveillance coverage (Aliabadi et al., 2020).

The integration of antimicrobial stewardship programs (ASPs) with infection prevention and control initiatives is critical in coordinating efforts against the rise of multidrug-resistant organisms and healthcare-associated infections. ASPs monitor antibiotic prescribing patterns, resistance trends, and compliance through data-driven surveillance, and their synergy with IPC measures fortifies interdepartmental collaboration, resulting in more effective interventions like CDI prevention bundles, audit-feedback strategies, and targeted education. Team-based frameworks are increasingly employed to combine the expertise of stewardship professionals and IPC practitioners, facilitating annual risk assessments, evidence-based revision of antimicrobial use policies, and direct clinical feedback that sustains improvements in patient outcomes and infection rates (Knobloch et al., 2021).

No-touch disinfection systems utilizing ultraviolet-C (UV-C) and aerosolized hydrogen peroxide (aHP) have demonstrated substantial efficacy in eradicating pathogens from healthcare environments, particularly in high-risk settings such as intensive care units. UV-C irradiation achieves rapid, high-level reduction in microbial load, while hydrogen peroxide vapor systems provide broad-spectrum decontamination even in shaded areas less accessible to UV-C light. Recent research supports the combined use of UV-C and H_2O_2 to optimize viral inactivation, with effectiveness exceeding 99% reduction in viral genome copies under controlled conditions. These technologies, when integrated with traditional cleaning protocols, enhance the thoroughness of hospital room sanitation and mitigate the risk of environmental transmission. Optimization of ventilation, including the use of negative pressure systems and HEPA filtration, further reduces airborne particle dissemination, contributing to reductions in nosocomial respiratory infections (Mohamadi Nasrabadi et al., 2025).

Innovative approaches to monitoring and reinforcing infection prevention behaviors include the deployment of wearable smart badges and IoT-based compliance tools. Electronic badges and sensor-assisted monitoring systems enable automated, real-time tracking of hand hygiene adherence by healthcare workers, providing feedback and reminders that support sustained behavioral change. IoT-integrated systems allow for facility-wide surveillance, generating actionable data on compliance rates and facilitating immediate response to lapses in protocol. These technologies have proven acceptable to healthcare staff and are associated with significant improvements in hand hygiene frequency and quality, ultimately reducing transmission risks within clinical environments (Pires et al., 2021).

The adoption of simulation-based IPC training represents a major advancement in educational strategies, allowing healthcare teams to practice scenarios involving outbreak response, infection control procedures, and communication in a risk-free setting. Systematic review evidence demonstrates that simulation training enhances clinical confidence, assessment skills, and compliance with core IPC practices. Gamified learning tools and behavioral modification programs further reinforce protocols through interactive engagement, peer competition, and reward models. These educational interventions instill sustainable habits, foster organizational culture change, and continuously improve performance in infection control all essential for addressing emerging threats and complex patient care needs (Strauch et al., 2024).

Challenges and Barriers in Implementing IPC Strategies

Implementation of infection prevention and control (IPC) strategies faces a spectrum of critical challenges and barriers that threaten the sustainability and effectiveness of even the best-designed programs. Among the most prominent obstacles are staffing shortages and increased workload demands, which particularly affect nursing staff, the group most vital to direct patient care and IPC adherence. A consistent body of research highlights that inadequate nurse staffing, coupled with excessive workloads, is directly associated with higher rates of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs). When nurses are forced to care for a disproportionate number of patients or when shifts are understaffed, essential infection control measures such as hand hygiene and environmental cleaning often are deprioritized or performed haphazardly. This not only increases the likelihood of pathogen transmission but also contributes to staff burnout, absenteeism, and high turnover, thereby perpetuating a vicious cycle of workforce deficits and diminished IPC compliance (Abbas, 2024).

Resource limitations and infrastructure deficits exacerbate these problems, especially in low- and middle-income countries, but also in under-resourced settings globally. The lack of key supplies, including personal protective equipment (PPE), disinfectants, rapid diagnostic tests, isolation rooms, and functioning engineering controls, makes it nearly impossible to adhere to IPC guidelines. In these settings, hospitals may lack basic hand hygiene infrastructure or essential laboratory capacity for detecting and surveilling drug-resistant organisms, leading to delayed responses and ineffective containment of outbreaks. Furthermore, the absence of information technology support hinders timely reporting and benchmarking of IPC metrics, severely limiting any capacity for data-driven improvement or feedback. Without sufficient financial investment, the most essential IPC interventions are routinely underfunded, leaving healthcare workers unable to implement best practices and exposing patients to unnecessary risk (Nasiri et al., 2023).

IPC compliance fatigue is another persistent barrier with roots in human behavioral factors and system-level pressures. Healthcare workers, especially during periods of heightened infectious disease threats, are often required to don PPE for extended periods, perform additional cleaning protocols, and rigorously monitor their own and others' behaviors. The increased physical effort expended in high-burden areas, compounded by the psychological strain of maintaining constant vigilance, leads to fatigue and erosion of adherence over time. When workloads remain unrealistic or when IPC guidelines are perceived as overly complex or impractical within local workflows, compliance naturally decreases, sometimes regardless of staff motivation or training. IPC fatigue is not confined to individuals but can affect entire organizations, particularly when there is weak managerial support or inconsistent policy enforcement (Batran et al., 2025).

Cultural and organizational barriers play a crucial role in shaping IPC program effectiveness. Organizational hierarchies, fragmented communication, undefined accountability, and insufficient leadership commitment often undermine efforts to instill a culture of safety. In some health systems, opposition from staff to "top-down" mandates, ineffective interdepartmental communication, and limited incentives for good IPC practice all contribute to resistance and suboptimal adoption of infection control measures. Cultural perceptions surrounding infection control, stigma associated with infection reporting, and lack of consensus on clinical protocols further limit the reach and durability of IPC interventions. Similarly, competition for limited hospital resources may pit IPC against other priorities, diminishing its visibility and perceived value in daily operations (Mutsonziwa et al., 2024).

Gaps in education and continuous training remain another major barrier to effective IPC implementation. Many health facilities lack comprehensive, ongoing educational programs that address both foundational and emerging IPC concepts. Insufficient initial training, lack of integration with professional curricula, and minimal opportunities for refresher courses or simulation-based education result in staff with variable and sometimes inadequate knowledge and skills. As a consequence, staff may underestimate their own risk or misunderstand transmission dynamics, leading to incorrect application or omission of critical IPC measures. Continuous professional development, meaningful feedback loops following infection events, and regular updates to IPC policy are essential but too often neglected due to financial or logistical constraints. Strengthening these educational structures, while ensuring competitive incentives and recognition for staff engagement in IPC, is vital for long-term success and progresss (Limenyande et al., 2023).

Emerging Trends and Future Directions

The widespread adoption of pathogen genomics marks a paradigm shift in the ability to monitor, detect, and respond to infectious disease threats. As sequencing costs have plummeted and automation has increased, genomics now allows for highly detailed subtyping, rapid outbreak detection, precise phenotypic identification, and the real-time mapping of antimicrobial resistance, all with unprecedented resolution and speed. Applications have expanded from basic outbreak investigation, such as tracking Listeria in foodborne illness, to complex surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 variants, tuberculosis drug resistance, and rapid detection of emerging pathogens. In public health, integration of "sequence-first" approaches has improved outbreak preparedness, expedited vaccine and therapeutic development, and deepened understanding of pathogen evolution. Despite its benefits, the use of genomics requires further workforce development, streamlined data integration, and harmonized national and international frameworks to fully realize its potential in everyday public health practice (National Academies of Sciences et al., 2025).

Embedding IPC within broader organizational patient safety cultures strengthens the foundation for sustained infection prevention. Organizational culture and leadership engagement remain pivotal in driving improvements; a positive safety culture is consistently associated with reduced healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) and better patient outcomes. Initiatives such as the Comprehensive Unit-based Safety Program (CUSP) illustrate that multi-faceted approaches combining education, leadership engagement, safety culture assessment, and peer support can catalyze widespread improvements in IPC practices. The integration of IPC principles with a commitment to workplace safety culture helps transform isolated protocols into shared values, enabling staff at all levels to engage proactively in infection prevention. This synergy empowers healthcare delivery systems to "lift all boats," simultaneously improving both IPC outcomes and broader patient safety indicators (Braun et al., 2020).

Artificial intelligence (AI) is emerging as a transformative force in IPC by enabling rapid, scalable, and consistent analysis of vast datasets. AI-driven language models, like protein language models, have demonstrated the capacity for decoding complex genomic data and predicting viral properties and evolutionary dynamics. In clinical practice, AI enhances IPC through automated outbreak detection, risk prediction, and behavioral interventions such as hand hygiene monitoring. While the speed, scalability, and objective consistency of AI are significant advantages, barriers remain, including data quality, bias, and cultural acceptance among staff. AI's effectiveness is maximized when integrated with IPC expertise, robust data infrastructures, and a culture willing to embrace and critically evaluate its outputs (Fitzpatrick et al., 2020).

The COVID-19 pandemic revealed both strengths and critical vulnerabilities in global and national preparedness frameworks. Robust public health preparedness now demands coordinated surveillance systems, scalable medical supply chains, rapid workforce mobilization, and risk communication strategies that build public trust. The integration of surveillance data with regional and global efforts is essential for situational awareness and unified response. Global preparedness benefits from a networked approach where national and regional systems share information, resources, and expertise, with organizations like the World Health Organization (WHO) playing a central coordinating role. Nevertheless, the challenges of sustained funding, infrastructure, misinformation management, and jurisdictional data-sharing must be addressed to achieve resilient, evidence-based readiness for future crises (Future & National Academy of Medicine, 2016).

The environmental impact of IPC practices is increasingly recognized as a global concern, particularly in the context of healthcare-generated waste and carbon emissions. Green IPC strategies focus on reducing waste, including through reusable equipment and minimization of single-use plastics, and implementing sustainable procurement policies without compromising safety. Quantifying the environmental footprint of various IPC interventions, such as infection control disposables versus reusables, is a growing area of research. Reusable gowns and sharps containers, for example, have been linked to significant carbon emission savings. As healthcare systems respond to the dual crises of infectious disease and climate change, a balance must be struck where patient safety remains paramount, but environmental stewardship is consciously integrated into IPC program planning and implementation (Lee et al., 2025).

Conclusion

Modern infection prevention and control have evolved into a sophisticated, multidisciplinary field critical to safeguarding patients, healthcare workers, and health systems. The persistent threat of HAIs and multidrug-resistant organisms necessitates a comprehensive, evidence-based approach grounded in core principles such as hand hygiene, proper use of personal protective equipment, and antimicrobial stewardship. The integration of technological innovations ranging from real-time electronic surveillance and AI-powered analytics to advanced disinfection methods has significantly enhanced the capacity to detect, prevent, and respond to infectious threats. However, the success of these strategies is contingent upon addressing systemic challenges, including inadequate staffing, resource constraints, and organizational culture. Leadership commitment, continuous education, and the cultivation of a strong safety climate are paramount to sustaining IPC efforts. As emerging pathogens and global health crises continue to evolve, the future of IPC must prioritize adaptability, interdisciplinary collaboration, and the harmonization of patient safety with environmental sustainability. By embedding IPC into the fabric of healthcare delivery, organizations can achieve lasting improvements in patient outcomes and system resilience.

References

1. Abbas, S. (2024). The challenges of implementing infection prevention and antimicrobial stewardship programs in resource-constrained settings. Antimicrobial Stewardship & Healthcare Epidemiology : ASHE, 4(1), e45. https://doi.org/10.1017/ash.2024.35
2. Aliabadi, A., Sheikhtaheri, A., & Ansari, H. (2020). Electronic health record–based disease surveillance systems: A systematic literature review on challenges and solutions. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association : JAMIA, 27(12), 1977–1986. https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocaa186

3. Alrebish, S. A., Yusufoglu, H. S., Alotibi, R. F., Abdulkhalik, N. S., Ahmed, N. J., & Khan, A. H. (2022). Epidemiology of Healthcare-Associated Infections and Adherence to the HAI Prevention Strategies. Healthcare (Basel, Switzerland), 11(1), 63. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11010063
4. Aryan, N., Grigorian, A., Jeng, J., Kuza, C., Kong, A., Swentek, L., Burruss, S., & Nahmias, J. (2024). Incidence, Risk Factors, and Outcomes of Central Line-Associated Bloodstream Infections in Trauma Patients. Surgical Infections, 25(5), 370–375. https://doi.org/10.1089/sur.2024.040
5. Batran, R., Ayed, A., Batran, A., Ejheisheh, M. A., Alassoud, B., Hayek, M. F., & Batran, A. (2025). Determinants of Nurses' Compliance with Infection Prevention and Control Practices in Critical Care Units. SAGE Open Nursing, 11, 23779608251339193. https://doi.org/10.1177/23779608251339193
6. Braun, B. I., Chitavi, S. O., Suzuki, H., Soyemi, C. A., & Puig-Asensio, M. (2020). Culture of Safety: Impact on Improvement in Infection Prevention Process and Outcomes. Current Infectious Disease Reports, 22(12), 34. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11908-020-00741-y
7. Cimen, C., Berends, M. S., Bathoorn, E., Lokate, M., Voss, A., Friedrich, A. W., Glasner, C., & Hamprecht, A. (2023). Vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) in hospital settings across European borders: A scoping review comparing the epidemiology in the Netherlands and Germany. Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control, 12, 78. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-023-01278-0
8. Core Components of IPC Programmes. (2019). In WHO guidelines on tuberculosis infection prevention and control: 2019 update. World Health Organization. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK539293/
9. Costa, A. L., Privitera, G. P., Tulli, G., & Toccafondi, G. (2020). Infection Prevention and Control. In Textbook of Patient Safety and Clinical Risk Management [Internet]. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-59403-9_9
10. Edmond, M. B., & Wenzel, R. P. (2015). Infection Prevention in the Health Care Setting. Mandell, Douglas, and Bennett's Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases, 3286-3293.e1. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-4557-4801-3.00300-3
11. Fioriti, S., Simoni, S., Caucci, S., Morroni, G., Ponzio, E., Coccitto, S. N., Brescini, L., Cirioni, O., Menzo, S., Biavasco, F., Giovanetti, E., Brenciani, A., & Vignaroli, C. (2020). Trend of clinical vancomycin-resistant enterococci isolated in a regional Italian hospital from 2001 to 2018. Brazilian Journal of Microbiology, 51(4), 1607–1613. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42770-020-00360-8
12. Fitzpatrick, F., Doherty, A., & Lacey, G. (2020). Using Artificial Intelligence in Infection Prevention. Current Treatment Options in Infectious Diseases, 12(2), 135–144. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40506-020-00216-7
13. Future, C. on a G. H. R. F. for the, & National Academy of Medicine, S. (2016). Strengthening the Global and Regional System for Outbreak Preparedness, Alert, and Response. In The Neglected Dimension of Global Security: A Framework to Counter Infectious Disease Crises. National Academies Press (US). https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK368395/
14. Garcia, R., Barnes, S., Boukidjian, R., Goss, L. K., Spencer, M., Septimus, E. J., Wright, M.-O., Munro, S., Reese, S. M., Fakih, M. G., Edmiston, C. E., & Levesque, M. (2022). Recommendations for change in infection prevention programs and practice. American Journal of Infection Control, 50(12), 1281–1295. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2022.04.007
15. Geng, S., Tang, Q., & Shi, N. (2025). Antibiotic-sparing strategies for multidrug-resistant organism (MDRO) infections. Frontiers in Pharmacology, 16. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2025.1653424
16. IHME Pathogen Core Group. (2024). Global burden associated with 85 pathogens in 2019: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. The Lancet. Infectious Diseases, 24(8), 868–895. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(24)00158-0
17. Knobloch, M. J., McKinley, L., Keating, J., & Safdar, N. (2021). Integrating antibiotic stewardship and infection prevention and control programs using a team science approach. American Journal of Infection Control, 49(8), 1072–1074. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2021.01.020
18. Lee, P. S., Frantzis, I., & Abeles, S. R. (2025). Greening Infection Prevention and Control: Multifaceted Approaches to a Sustainable Future. Open Forum Infectious Diseases, 12(2), ofae371. https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofae371

19. Li, W., Cai, J., Ding, L., Chen, Y., Wang, X., & Xu, H. (2024). Incidence and risk factors of ventilator-associated pneumonia in the intensive care unit: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 16(9), 5518–5528. https://doi.org/10.21037/jtd-24-150
20. Limenyande, M. J.-M., Kobusingye, J. O., Tindyebwa, T., Akongo, D., Isunju, J. B., & Musoke, D. (2023). Factors associated with compliance with Infection Prevention and Control measures during the COVID-19 pandemic among healthcare workers in Kampala City, Uganda. PLOS ONE, 18(11), e0293732. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0293732
21. Mohamadi Nasrabadi, A., Eckstein, D., Mettke, P., Ghanem, N., Kallies, R., Schmidt, M., Mothes, F., Schaefer, T., Graefe, R., Bandara, C. D., Maier, M., Liebert, U. G., Richnow, H., & Herrmann, H. (2025). A Virus Aerosol Chamber Study: The Impact of UVA, UVC, and H_2 O_2 on Airborne Viral Transmission. Environment & Health, 3(6), 648–658. https://doi.org/10.1021/envhealth.4c00215
22. Mutsonziwa, G. A., Mojab, M., Katuwal, M., & Glew, P. (2024). Influences of healthcare workers' behaviours towards infection prevention and control practices in the clinical setting: A systematic review. Nursing Open, 11(3), e2132. https://doi.org/10.1002/nop2.2132
23. Nasiri, N., Mangolian Shahrbabaki, P., Sharifi, A., Ghasemzadeh, I., Khalili, M., Karamoozian, A., Khalooei, A., Haghdoost, A., & Sharifi, H. (2023). Barriers and Problems in Implementing Health-Associated Infections Surveillance Systems in Iran: A Qualitative Study. Medical Journal of the Islamic Republic of Iran, 37, 57. https://doi.org/10.47176/mjiri.37.57
24. National Academies of Sciences, E., Division, H. and M., Health, B. on G., Threats, F. on M., Nicholson, A., Windmiller, T., & Guo, E. A. (2025). Exploring the Current State of Pathogen Genomics and Metagenomics in the U.S. Public Health Enterprise. In Accelerating the Use of Pathogen Genomics and Metagenomics in Public Health: Proceedings of a Workshop. National Academies Press (US). https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK615631/
25. Paul, S., Salunkhe, S., Sravanthi, K., & Mane, S. V. (2024). Pioneering Hand Hygiene: Ignaz Semmelweis and the Fight Against Puerperal Fever. Cureus, 16(10), e71689. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.71689
26. Pham, T. M., Büchler, A. C., Voor In 't Holt, A. F., Severin, J. A., Bootsma, M. C. J., Gommers, D., Kretzschmar, M. E., & Vos, M. C. (2022). Routes of transmission of VIM-positive Pseudomonas aeruginosa in the adult intensive care unit-analysis of 9 years of surveillance at a university hospital using a mathematical model. Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control, 11(1), 55. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-022-01095-x
27. Pires, D., Gayet-Ageron, A., Guitart, C., Robert, Y.-A., Fankhauser, C., Tartari, E., Peters, A., Tymurkaynak, F., Fourquier, S., Soule, H., Beuchat, R., Bellissimo-Rodrigues, F., Martin, Y., Zingg, W., & Pittet, D. (2021). Effect of Wearing a Novel Electronic Wearable Device on Hand Hygiene Compliance Among Health Care Workers. JAMA Network Open, 4(2), e2035331. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.35331
28. Raoofi, S., Kan, F. P., Rafiei, S., Hosseinipalangi, Z., Mejareh, Z. N., Khani, S., Abdollahi, B., Talab, F. S., Sanaei, M., Zarabi, F., Dolati, Y., Ahmadi, N., Raoofi, N., Sarhadi, Y., Masoumi, M., Hosseini, B. sadat, Vali, N., Gholamali, N., Asadi, S., … Ghashghaee, A. (2023). Global prevalence of nosocomial infection: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLOS ONE, 18(1), e0274248. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0274248
29. Scott, R. D., Culler, S. D., & Rask, K. J. (2019). Understanding the Economic Impact of Health Care-Associated Infections: A Cost Perspective Analysis. Journal of Infusion Nursing : The Official Publication of the Infusion Nurses Society, 42(2), 61–69. https://doi.org/10.1097/NAN.0000000000000313
30. Seidelman, J. L., Mantyh, C. R., & Anderson, D. J. (2023). Surgical Site Infection Prevention: A Review. JAMA, 329(3), 244–252. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2022.24075
31. Song, J. H., & Kim, Y. S. (2019). Recurrent Clostridium difficile Infection: Risk Factors, Treatment, and Prevention. Gut and Liver, 13(1), 16–24. https://doi.org/10.5009/gnl18071
32. Sonpar, A., Hundal, C. O., Totté, J. E. E., Wang, J., Klein, S. D., Twyman, A., Allegranzi, B., & Zingg, W. (2025). Multimodal strategies for the implementation of infection prevention and control

interventions—Update of a systematic review for the WHO guidelines on core components of infection prevention and control programmes at the facility level. Clinical Microbiology and Infection, 31(6), 948–957. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2025.01.011

33. Storr, J., Twyman, A., Zingg, W., Damani, N., Kilpatrick, C., Reilly, J., Price, L., Egger, M., Grayson, M. L., Kelley, E., Allegranzi, B., & WHO Guidelines Development Group. (2017). Core components for effective infection prevention and control programmes: New WHO evidence-based recommendations. Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control, 6, 6. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-016-0149-9
34. Strauch, K. A., Renz, S. M., DeMutis, K. O., & Sochalski, J. (2024). Use of Simulation to Integrate Behavioral Health Into Primary Care Nurse Practitioner Programs. The Journal of Nursing Education, 63(2), 128–133. https://doi.org/10.3928/01484834-20230815-03
35. Tesini, B. L., & Dumyati, G. (2023). Health Care-Associated Infections in Older Adults: Epidemiology and Prevention. Infectious Disease Clinics of North America, 37(1), 65–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idc.2022.11.004
36. Werneburg, G. T. (2022). Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infections: Current Challenges and Future Prospects. Research and Reports in Urology, 14, 109–133. https://doi.org/10.2147/RRU.S273663