The Review Of
DIABETIC
STUDIES OPEN ACCESS

Cross-Disciplinary Innovation: The Synergy Of Midwifery, Nursing, Health Informatics, Radiology, Pharmacy, And Health Administration Technicians In Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030 Hospital Ecosystem: A Review

Shuaa Shulaywih Kaid Alshammari[1], Aisha Awidhar Ghathwam Alshammari[2], Bayan Qalet Naje Alshammari[3], Arib Hamoud Ayed Alshammari[4], Farah Hamoud Ayed Alshammari[5], Ayidah Hamoud Ayed Alshammari[6], Hajji Eid Juhayman Alrashedi[7]

[1]Midwifery Technician, Al-Shuaibat Health Center
[2]Nursing Technician, Al-Shuaibat Health Center
[3]Health Informatics Specialist, Al-Shuaibat Health Center
[4]Radiological Technology Technician, Al-Shuaibat Health Center
[5]Health Administration and Community Health Specialist, Al-Shuaibat Health Center
[6]Pharmacy Technician, Al-Shuaibat Health Center
[7]Nursing Technician, Al-Sulaimy General Hospital

Abstract

Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030 healthcare transformation framework necessitates comprehensive examination of cross-disciplinary collaboration among healthcare technicians operating within modern hospital ecosystems. This review examines the synergistic integration of midwifery, nursing, health informatics, radiology, pharmacy, and health administration technicians within Saudi Arabian healthcare delivery systems. Through systematic analysis of peer-reviewed literature published between 2016 and 2024, this study synthesizes evidence regarding interprofessional collaboration frameworks, technology-enabled care coordination, and workforce development initiatives aligned with national health transformation objectives. Findings reveal that effective cross-disciplinary integration requires structured communication protocols, shared digital health platforms, competency-based training programs, and organizational cultures that facilitate knowledge exchange across professional boundaries. The Saudi healthcare system demonstrates progressive adoption of integrated care models, though persistent challenges include standardization of interprofessional education, optimization of health information technology infrastructure, and alignment of regulatory frameworks across diverse technical specialties. This review contributes theoretical understanding of sociotechnical systems theory application within Middle Eastern healthcare contexts and provides evidence-based recommendations for strengthening collaborative practice models. Future research should prioritize longitudinal evaluation of interprofessional interventions and patient outcome measures within Saudi hospital settings.

Keywords: interprofessional collaboration, healthcare technicians, Vision 2030, health informatics, Saudi Arabian healthcare, integrated care delivery.

1. Introduction

Healthcare delivery systems globally have undergone fundamental restructuring toward integrated, patient-centered models that emphasize coordination among diverse professional disciplines. Contemporary hospital ecosystems function as complex adaptive systems wherein multiple technical specialties must collaborate seamlessly to achieve optimal patient outcomes and operational efficiency. The increasing complexity of medical technologies, evolving disease patterns, and rising patient expectations have

rendered isolated professional practice models insufficient for addressing multifaceted healthcare challenges (World Health Organization, 2010). Within this context, healthcare technicians representing midwifery, nursing, radiology, pharmacy, health informatics, and health administration constitute essential workforce components whose coordinated efforts significantly influence care quality, patient safety, and health system performance.

Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030 strategic framework establishes ambitious objectives for healthcare sector transformation, including enhanced service quality, increased accessibility, and improved health outcomes across diverse population segments (Kingdom of Saudi Arabia Vision 2030, 2016). The National Transformation Program identifies healthcare workforce development and technological integration as priority domains requiring systematic attention and investment. Saudi healthcare institutions confront unique contextual factors including rapid population growth, changing epidemiological profiles characterized by increasing chronic disease prevalence, geographic healthcare access disparities, and accelerated digital health adoption trajectories. These contextual elements necessitate innovative organizational models that leverage synergistic collaboration among technical specialties to maximize resource utilization and care effectiveness.

Existing literature addressing interprofessional collaboration predominantly focuses on physician-nurse relationships or Western healthcare contexts, leaving substantial knowledge gaps regarding technician-level interprofessional dynamics within Middle Eastern healthcare systems. Limited empirical evidence examines how midwifery technicians, radiological technologists, pharmacy technicians, health informatics specialists, and health administration personnel collaborate within Saudi hospital settings. Furthermore, theoretical frameworks explaining cross-disciplinary integration mechanisms remain underutilized in analyzing Gulf Cooperation Council healthcare systems. The intersection of cultural factors, regulatory structures, technological infrastructure, and workforce composition creates distinctive conditions requiring context-specific investigation.

The present review addresses these knowledge gaps by systematically synthesizing evidence regarding cross-disciplinary collaboration among healthcare technicians within Saudi Arabia's evolving hospital ecosystem. Specifically, this study examines how Vision 2030 initiatives influence interprofessional practice models, identifies barriers and facilitators affecting collaborative practice implementation, and evaluates the role of health information technology in enabling coordinated care delivery. This investigation contributes both practical insights for healthcare administrators and policymakers, and theoretical advancement through application of sociotechnical systems frameworks to Middle Eastern healthcare contexts.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Theoretical Foundations of Interprofessional Collaboration

Interprofessional collaboration in healthcare settings represents a multidimensional construct encompassing shared decision-making, mutual respect, communication effectiveness, and coordinated action toward common patient-centered goals. D'Amour and colleagues developed a comprehensive conceptual framework identifying four structural dimensions of collaboration: governance mechanisms, formalization of roles, information exchange systems, and shared resources (D'Amour et al., 2005). This framework has proven particularly valuable for analyzing organizational conditions that facilitate or impede collaborative practice. Within this theoretical perspective, effective collaboration emerges not solely from individual professional willingness but requires deliberate organizational design addressing structural, relational, and cultural elements.

Sociotechnical systems theory provides additional theoretical grounding for understanding how technological infrastructure intersects with social processes within healthcare organizations. Originally developed for industrial contexts, sociotechnical theory emphasizes the interdependence of technical

systems and human organizational elements, suggesting that optimal performance requires simultaneous optimization of both domains (Carayon et al., 2014). Application of this framework to healthcare settings reveals how electronic health records, clinical decision support systems, and communication technologies fundamentally reshape interprofessional relationships and work processes. Contemporary Saudi healthcare transformation initiatives exemplify sociotechnical system redesign efforts, as substantial investments in health information technology necessitate corresponding adaptations in professional roles, workflows, and collaborative practices.

2.2 Cross-Disciplinary Dynamics Among Healthcare Technicians

Healthcare technicians occupy critical positions within care delivery teams, functioning at interfaces between professional clinicians, patients, and technological systems. Nursing technicians provide essential direct patient care, monitoring vital signs, administering treatments under supervision, and serving as communication conduits between patients and clinical teams. Research examining nursing technician roles within Saudi healthcare contexts indicates substantial variation in scope of practice, training standardization, and integration within care teams (Almalki et al., 2011). Effective utilization of nursing technicians requires clear role delineation, appropriate supervision structures, and recognition of their contributions to patient safety and care continuity.

Midwifery technicians represent specialized maternal and neonatal care providers whose expertise significantly influences maternal mortality rates, birth outcomes, and early childhood health trajectories. Saudi Arabia has demonstrated substantial progress in maternal health indicators over recent decades, partially attributable to expanded midwifery workforce capacity and improved perinatal care infrastructure (Alshammari & Duff, 2019). However, integration of midwifery services within broader hospital systems remains inconsistent, with variations in collaborative protocols between midwifery, obstetric, neonatal, and emergency departments affecting care coordination effectiveness.

Radiological technology represents a technologically intensive specialty requiring both technical expertise and interprofessional communication competencies. Radiological technicians serve as essential collaborators in diagnostic processes, requiring effective communication with referring physicians, radiologists, and other clinical team members. The rapid expansion of advanced imaging modalities within Saudi healthcare facilities has increased demands on radiological services while simultaneously creating opportunities for enhanced diagnostic accuracy and treatment planning (Albejaidi, 2010). Optimization of radiological services requires streamlined communication protocols, efficient scheduling systems, and integration of imaging data within comprehensive electronic health records.

Pharmacy technicians contribute specialized medication knowledge, dispensing accuracy, and patient counseling functions that directly impact medication safety and therapeutic effectiveness. Within hospital settings, pharmacy technicians interact with prescribing physicians, nursing staff administering medications, and patients receiving pharmaceutical care. Research examining medication errors within Saudi hospitals identifies communication breakdowns between pharmacy and nursing departments as significant contributing factors, highlighting the importance of structured interprofessional communication protocols (Alsulami et al., 2013). Integration of clinical pharmacy services within inpatient care teams has demonstrated effectiveness in reducing adverse drug events and optimizing therapeutic regimens.

Health informatics specialists occupy increasingly central positions within contemporary healthcare organizations, managing electronic health record systems, clinical decision support tools, and data analytics platforms that underpin evidence-based care delivery. The effectiveness of health information technology depends substantially on user engagement, workflow integration, and continuous system optimization informed by frontline user feedback (Bawazir et al., 2019). Health informatics professionals serve as critical intermediaries between technical system capabilities and clinical user requirements, necessitating both technical expertise and understanding of clinical workflows across diverse specialties.

Health administration technicians coordinate scheduling, resource allocation, quality monitoring, and regulatory compliance functions that enable efficient organizational operations. Effective health administration requires comprehensive understanding of clinical workflows, regulatory requirements, resource constraints, and strategic organizational priorities. Within Saudi healthcare contexts, health administration personnel navigate complex interactions between Ministry of Health regulations, Saudi Health Council accreditation standards, and institutional policies while coordinating activities across clinical departments (Walston et al., 2008).

2.3 Vision 2030 Healthcare Transformation Initiatives

Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030 framework establishes comprehensive objectives for healthcare sector development, emphasizing quality enhancement, accessibility expansion, and financial sustainability. The National Transformation Program healthcare component identifies specific targets including increased life expectancy, reduced maternal and infant mortality, enhanced chronic disease management, and expanded health insurance coverage (Kingdom of Saudi Arabia Vision 2030, 2016). Achievement of these ambitious objectives requires substantial healthcare workforce expansion, technological infrastructure development, and organizational transformation toward integrated care delivery models.

Healthcare workforce development represents a central pillar of Vision 2030 health sector strategy, addressing both quantitative capacity expansion and qualitative competency enhancement. Initiatives include expanded training program capacity, standardization of professional credentials, continuing education requirements, and attraction of international healthcare talent (Almalki et al., 2011). Specific attention to healthcare technician training and deployment reflects recognition of their essential contributions to care delivery quality and system efficiency. However, systematic evaluation of workforce development program effectiveness remains limited, particularly regarding interprofessional competency development.

Digital health transformation constitutes another fundamental component of Vision 2030 healthcare strategy, with substantial investments in electronic health record implementation, telemedicine infrastructure, health information exchange capabilities, and artificial intelligence applications. The Saudi Ministry of Health has established national health information system standards and mandated electronic health record adoption across public healthcare facilities (Hasanain & Cooper, 2014). These technological initiatives create infrastructural foundations for enhanced interprofessional collaboration through shared access to patient information, standardized communication platforms, and decision support tools accessible across professional disciplines.

2.4 Barriers and Facilitators of Interprofessional Collaboration

Empirical research examining interprofessional collaboration within healthcare settings identifies multiple organizational, professional, and individual-level factors influencing collaborative practice effectiveness. Organizational facilitators include leadership support for collaborative initiatives, allocation of dedicated time and space for interprofessional interaction, implementation of shared governance structures, and establishment of clear accountability mechanisms (Schot et al., 2020). Conversely, hierarchical organizational cultures, resource constraints limiting protected collaboration time, and misalignment of performance incentives represent significant barriers to collaborative practice implementation.

Professional factors influencing collaboration include clarity of role definitions, mutual understanding of complementary expertise, and respect for diverse professional contributions. Role ambiguity and perceived threats to professional autonomy frequently undermine collaborative efforts, particularly during organizational transitions requiring role redefinition (Reeves et al., 2010). Educational preparation significantly influences interprofessional competencies, with growing recognition that effective collaboration requires explicit skill development rather than emerging spontaneously from clinical experience. Interprofessional education initiatives incorporating simulation-based learning, structured

communication training, and experiential team projects demonstrate effectiveness in developing collaborative competencies.

Communication represents a fundamental mechanism through which interprofessional collaboration occurs, requiring both structured formal processes and informal spontaneous interactions. Communication failures constitute leading contributors to medical errors and adverse events, highlighting the critical importance of effective information exchange across professional boundaries (Leonard et al., 2004). Standardized communication frameworks such as SBAR (Situation-Background-Assessment-Recommendation) provide structured templates that enhance clarity and completeness of interprofessional communication, particularly during care transitions and urgent clinical situations.

Cultural considerations assume particular significance within Middle Eastern healthcare contexts, where societal hierarchies, gender dynamics, and communication norms influence professional interactions. Saudi healthcare organizations operate within cultural frameworks emphasizing respect for authority, preference for indirect communication styles, and gender-segregated professional interactions in certain contexts (Aldossary et al., 2008). Understanding how these cultural elements influence interprofessional collaboration patterns remains essential for designing contextually appropriate collaborative practice models.

2.5 Technology-Enabled Cross-Disciplinary Integration

Health information technology fundamentally reshapes interprofessional collaboration mechanisms by providing shared access to comprehensive patient information, facilitating asynchronous communication, and enabling data-driven care coordination. Electronic health records serve as central repositories containing contributions from multiple professional disciplines, creating shared information spaces that enhance situational awareness and reduce information fragmentation (Bawazir et al., 2019). However, effective utilization of electronic health records for interprofessional collaboration requires thoughtful design addressing workflow integration, information architecture supporting multiprofessional access patterns, and training ensuring competent system utilization across diverse user groups.

Clinical decision support systems represent advanced health information technology applications providing evidence-based recommendations, alerts regarding potential safety concerns, and guidance for optimal diagnostic and therapeutic approaches. When appropriately designed, clinical decision support systems enhance interprofessional collaboration by providing shared evidence-based frameworks guiding coordinated care decisions (Wright et al., 2011). Integration of decision support tools within electronic health records creates opportunities for real-time interprofessional consultation and coordinated care planning. However, alert fatigue, workflow disruption, and inadequate customization to local practice contexts represent common implementation challenges requiring ongoing optimization.

Telemedicine platforms expand interprofessional collaboration possibilities by enabling synchronous communication across geographic distances and facilitating specialist consultation access for frontline healthcare providers. Saudi Arabia has demonstrated substantial investment in telemedicine infrastructure, recognizing its potential to address geographic healthcare access disparities and enhance specialist expertise availability (Albarrak et al., 2021). Telemedicine applications supporting interprofessional collaboration include teleconsultation enabling specialist input into community-based care, telemonitoring facilitating coordinated chronic disease management, and tele-education providing continuing professional development opportunities.

Data analytics capabilities emerging from comprehensive health information systems create opportunities for population health management, quality improvement initiatives, and evidence-based resource allocation decisions. Health informatics specialists play critical roles in translating complex data into actionable insights accessible to diverse professional audiences (Altuwaijri, 2008). Effective utilization of health data

analytics requires interprofessional collaboration in defining relevant performance indicators, interpreting analytical findings, and implementing data-driven practice improvements.

3. Methods

This review employed a structured narrative synthesis approach to examine cross-disciplinary collaboration among healthcare technicians within Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030 healthcare transformation context. The methodological framework integrated principles from systematic review methodology while acknowledging the necessity for broader scope encompassing diverse evidence sources including empirical research, policy documents, and theoretical literature.

The literature search strategy encompassed multiple electronic databases including PubMed, CINAHL, Web of Science, Scopus, and Saudi Digital Library collections. Search terms combined three conceptual domains using Boolean operators: (1) professional group terms including "nursing technician," "midwifery," "pharmacy technician," "radiology technician," "health informatics," and "health administration"; (2) collaboration-related terms including "interprofessional," "interdisciplinary," "teamwork," and "integration"; and (3) context-specific terms including "Saudi Arabia," "Gulf Cooperation Council," "Middle East," and "Vision 2030." The search covered publications from January 2000 through December 2024, with particular emphasis on literature published after 2016 corresponding to Vision 2030 announcement and implementation phases.

Inclusion criteria specified peer-reviewed journal articles, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, government reports, and policy documents addressing healthcare workforce collaboration, health system transformation, or technology implementation within Saudi Arabian or comparable Middle Eastern contexts. Studies examining single professional groups in isolation were included if they addressed interprofessional interfaces or collaborative practice components. Publications in English and Arabic were considered, recognizing the multilingual nature of scholarship addressing Middle Eastern healthcare systems. Exclusion criteria eliminated purely descriptive reports lacking analytical depth, conference abstracts without subsequent full publication, and studies focused exclusively on physician-level collaboration without addressing technician roles.

Initial database searches yielded 847 potentially relevant publications. Title and abstract screening reduced this corpus to 312 publications warranting full-text review. Detailed examination applying inclusion and exclusion criteria resulted in final inclusion of 156 publications forming the evidence base for this review. Additional targeted searches of reference lists and citation tracking identified supplementary sources addressing specific themes emerging during synthesis.

Data extraction employed a structured template capturing study characteristics, methodological approaches, key findings, and theoretical frameworks. Information synthesized included: publication year and country; professional groups examined; research design and data collection methods; sample characteristics; theoretical frameworks employed; key findings regarding collaboration patterns, barriers, and facilitators; and implications for practice or policy. Extracted data were organized thematically using qualitative synthesis techniques, identifying convergent findings across studies and noting areas of divergence or contradiction.

Quality assessment considered methodological rigor appropriate to diverse study designs represented in the evidence base, including quantitative survey research, qualitative interview and observation studies, mixed-methods investigations, systematic reviews, and policy analyses. While formal critical appraisal tools were not uniformly applicable across this methodologically diverse literature, careful attention to sampling adequacy, analytical transparency, and validity considerations informed interpretation of findings.

Synthesis proceeded through iterative thematic analysis, identifying recurring patterns across studies and organizing findings into coherent conceptual frameworks. Particular attention focused on context-specific factors influencing collaboration within Saudi healthcare settings, distinguishing findings likely

generalizable across healthcare contexts from those reflecting particular sociocultural, regulatory, or organizational characteristics. Integration of theoretical perspectives from interprofessional collaboration, sociotechnical systems, and organizational change literature provided interpretive frameworks for understanding empirical findings.

4. Results

4.1 Current State of Cross-Disciplinary Collaboration in Saudi Healthcare

Evidence from the reviewed literature reveals substantial heterogeneity in interprofessional collaboration implementation across Saudi healthcare facilities, reflecting variations in organizational size, geographic location, resource availability, and institutional maturity. Large tertiary care centers in major urban areas generally demonstrate more structured collaborative practice models, formal interprofessional committees, and dedicated resources supporting teamwork initiatives compared to smaller community-based facilities (Almalki et al., 2011). This disparity reflects broader patterns of healthcare resource distribution within the Kingdom, wherein major metropolitan hospitals access greater financial resources, advanced technologies, and specialized workforce capacity.

Nursing technicians emerge as particularly central figures in interprofessional collaboration networks, functioning as information conduits between patients, families, physicians, and various technical specialties. Their continuous presence in inpatient settings and direct patient care responsibilities position nursing technicians as essential coordinators of multidisciplinary care activities (Aboshaiqah, 2016). However, hierarchical organizational cultures prevalent in many Saudi healthcare institutions may limit nursing technician participation in formal decision-making processes, potentially underutilizing their patient care insights and frontline implementation perspectives.

Midwifery services demonstrate variable integration patterns across Saudi healthcare facilities, ranging from fully integrated maternity departments with collaborative relationships across obstetrics, neonatology, and emergency services to more isolated midwifery units with limited interprofessional coordination (Alshammari & Duff, 2019). Facilities with dedicated maternal-child health leadership and explicit interprofessional protocols exhibit superior collaborative functioning and birth outcome indicators. Cultural considerations regarding gender roles and patient preferences for female healthcare providers influence midwifery service organization and interprofessional interaction patterns in Saudi contexts.

Radiological technology demonstrates substantial interprofessional coordination requirements given its diagnostic support function across virtually all clinical specialties. Effective radiology department functioning necessitates streamlined communication with requesting physicians, timely examination scheduling, appropriate patient preparation coordination with nursing staff, and efficient results communication (Albejaidi, 2010). Advanced imaging modalities including computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, and interventional radiology require particularly intensive interprofessional collaboration to ensure patient safety, examination quality, and appropriate clinical utilization.

Pharmacy services within Saudi hospitals reveal increasing recognition of clinical pharmacy roles beyond traditional dispensing functions, with growing implementation of medication reconciliation processes, adverse event monitoring systems, and pharmacist participation in clinical rounds (Alsulami et al., 2013). Pharmacy technician roles in these expanded service models remain less clearly defined, with opportunities for enhanced integration in medication history documentation, patient education, and adherence monitoring underutilized in many settings.

Health informatics specialists occupy increasingly prominent positions as Saudi healthcare organizations implement and optimize electronic health record systems. However, their integration within clinical teams varies substantially, with some institutions treating informatics as purely technical support function while others recognize informatics professionals as essential clinical workflow redesign partners (Hasanain & Cooper, 2014). Optimal informatics collaboration requires sustained engagement with frontline users across

professional disciplines, translating technical capabilities into clinically meaningful functionality and providing responsive support addressing implementation challenges.

Health administration personnel demonstrate critical coordinating functions, though their contributions to direct patient care collaboration may receive less recognition compared to clinically-focused specialties. Effective health administration requires ongoing communication with clinical departments to align operational processes with patient care priorities, resource allocation decisions with clinical needs, and quality improvement initiatives with frontline implementation realities (Walston et al., 2008).

4.2 Vision 2030 Influence on Collaborative Practice Development

Vision 2030 healthcare transformation initiatives have catalyzed increased attention to interprofessional collaboration as a mechanism for achieving quality and efficiency objectives. Policy documents and strategic plans explicitly reference interprofessional teamwork as essential for patient-centered care delivery, though translation from policy aspiration to operational implementation remains incomplete across many Saudi healthcare organizations (Kingdom of Saudi Arabia Vision 2030, 2016). National quality standards promulgated by the Saudi Central Board for Accreditation of Healthcare Institutions include specific criteria addressing teamwork, communication, and interprofessional collaboration, creating regulatory incentives for collaborative practice development.

Workforce development initiatives aligned with Vision 2030 demonstrate growing emphasis on interprofessional education and collaborative competency development. Several Saudi nursing and allied health programs have incorporated interprofessional education components into curricula, though systematic evaluation of these educational interventions' impact on subsequent workplace collaborative practice remains limited (Aldriwesh et al., 2020). Continuing professional development programs increasingly address teamwork skills, communication competencies, and interprofessional understanding, reflecting recognition that effective collaboration requires explicit skill development rather than emerging spontaneously from clinical experience.

Health information technology investments mandated through Vision 2030 implementation create infrastructural foundations supporting enhanced interprofessional collaboration through shared information access, standardized communication platforms, and integrated care planning tools. The Saudi Ministry of Health's national health information system strategy establishes interoperability standards facilitating information exchange across organizational boundaries and professional disciplines (Hasanain & Cooper, 2014). However, technological infrastructure alone proves insufficient for transforming collaborative practices without corresponding attention to workflow redesign, user training, and organizational culture development.

Quality improvement initiatives aligned with Vision 2030 objectives frequently employ interprofessional team-based approaches, creating structured opportunities for cross-disciplinary collaboration around specific performance improvement targets. Examples include interprofessional medication safety committees, fall prevention teams, infection control task forces, and patient experience improvement working groups. These focused collaborative initiatives demonstrate feasibility of structured teamwork approaches while highlighting persistent challenges including protected time allocation, role clarity definition, and sustainability beyond initial implementation phases.

4.3 Barriers to Effective Cross-Disciplinary Integration

Despite policy emphasis and growing recognition of collaboration's importance, substantial barriers impede effective cross-disciplinary integration within Saudi healthcare settings. Hierarchical organizational cultures rooted in both healthcare professional traditions and broader societal structures create power differentials that may inhibit open communication and shared decision-making (Aldossary et al., 2008). Healthcare technicians, particularly those in supportive roles, may experience limited voice in

interprofessional discussions despite possessing valuable patient care insights and implementation perspectives.

Role ambiguity and scope of practice uncertainties create tensions and inefficiencies within collaborative efforts. Regulatory frameworks governing various healthcare technical specialties in Saudi Arabia demonstrate inconsistent specificity regarding collaborative responsibilities, interprofessional communication expectations, and shared decision-making authorities. This regulatory ambiguity contributes to interprofessional boundary tensions and missed opportunities for optimal professional skill utilization (Almalki et al., 2011).

Communication barriers manifest across multiple dimensions including language differences between Arabic-speaking Saudi professionals and expatriate healthcare workers from diverse linguistic backgrounds, professional jargon limiting mutual comprehension across specialties, and cultural communication style differences affecting directness and explicitness of information exchange. Standardized communication protocols remain incompletely implemented across many Saudi healthcare facilities, contributing to information fragmentation and coordination failures (Alsulami et al., 2013).

Resource constraints including insufficient staffing levels, high workload demands, and limited protected time for interprofessional activities create practical obstacles to collaborative practice implementation. Healthcare technicians operating under significant productivity pressures may prioritize immediate task completion over time-intensive collaborative processes, even when recognizing collaboration's potential long-term benefits. Physical workspace design in some Saudi healthcare facilities limits opportunities for spontaneous interprofessional interaction, with professional groups segregated in separate areas reducing incidental communication opportunities.

Educational preparation gaps contribute to collaboration challenges, as many healthcare technician training programs provide limited interprofessional education opportunities. Graduates enter practice with deep understanding of their own professional domain but limited knowledge of complementary specialties' roles, capabilities, and constraints. This limited interprofessional understanding may contribute to unrealistic expectations, missed collaboration opportunities, and inefficient care coordination (Aldriwesh et al., 2020).

Technology implementation challenges paradoxically create barriers to the very interprofessional collaboration that health information systems aim to facilitate. Usability problems, inadequate training, workflow disruptions, and information overload associated with electronic health record implementations may actually impede interprofessional communication when systems fail to align with clinical work patterns (Bawazir et al., 2019). Disparate information systems across departments or organizations create information silos undermining integrated care coordination.

4.4 Facilitators of Successful Collaborative Practice

Successful collaborative practice examples within Saudi healthcare settings reveal several common facilitating conditions. Strong organizational leadership explicitly prioritizing interprofessional collaboration through resource allocation, performance expectations, and symbolic emphasis creates cultural foundations supporting teamwork (Walston et al., 2008). Healthcare administrators who recognize technician-level collaboration's importance and provide structural supports including protected meeting time, appropriate workspace, and recognition systems achieve more robust collaborative practice implementation.

Structured communication protocols including standardized handoff procedures, interprofessional rounding practices, and formal case conferencing mechanisms provide frameworks organizing interprofessional information exchange. Several Saudi hospitals have implemented SBAR communication frameworks or similar structured approaches, demonstrating feasibility and effectiveness in enhancing communication completeness and reducing misunderstandings (Almalki et al., 2011).

Interprofessional education initiatives incorporating simulation-based team training, interprofessional case discussions, and experiential collaborative learning opportunities develop collaborative competencies more effectively than didactic instruction alone. Some Saudi healthcare institutions have established simulation centers providing interprofessional team training scenarios, though accessibility remains limited beyond major academic medical centers (Aldriwesh et al., 2020).

Health information technology implementations that engage frontline users in design and optimization processes achieve superior workflow integration and user adoption compared to top-down technology impositions. Participatory design approaches recognizing diverse professional perspectives and incorporating cross-disciplinary input into system customization enhance technology's collaborative practice support functions (Hasanain & Cooper, 2014).

Clear role definition processes clarifying each professional group's unique contributions, overlapping responsibilities, and collaborative interfaces reduce interprofessional tensions and enhance coordination efficiency. Healthcare organizations that invest in explicit role clarification through interprofessional job descriptions, competency frameworks, and collaborative protocol development experience fewer role conflicts and more effective skill utilization.

Quality improvement methodologies employing interprofessional teams create structured contexts for developing collaborative relationships while addressing concrete performance improvement objectives. The dual benefits of enhanced quality metrics and strengthened interprofessional relationships make quality improvement initiatives particularly attractive collaborative practice development strategies.

4.5 Technology's Role in Enabling Cross-Disciplinary Coordination

Health information technology emerges as a critical enabler of cross-disciplinary coordination, though realizing this potential requires thoughtful implementation addressing sociotechnical complexities. Electronic health records provide shared information access creating common situational awareness across professional disciplines, reducing duplicate data collection, and facilitating coordinated care planning (Bawazir et al., 2019). However, information architecture design significantly influences collaborative utility, with systems organized around professional silos proving less supportive of integrated care compared to patient-centered designs facilitating cross-disciplinary information synthesis.

Clinical communication tools including secure messaging systems, care team notification features, and task assignment functionalities facilitate asynchronous interprofessional communication, particularly valuable given the temporal and spatial distribution of contemporary healthcare work. Several Saudi healthcare organizations have implemented clinical communication platforms enabling direct messaging between professional groups, reducing reliance on unreliable paging systems and supporting documentation of interprofessional consultations (Albarrak et al., 2021).

Picture archiving and communication systems revolutionize radiological service integration, providing immediate image access to requesting clinicians and enabling remote consultation with radiologists. Integration of imaging data within comprehensive electronic health records enhances diagnostic information availability across care settings and professional groups (Albejaidi, 2010). However, interoperability challenges between imaging systems and broader health information technology infrastructure remain common, limiting seamless information flow.

Computerized physician order entry systems with clinical decision support capabilities create interprofessional touch points as orders initiated by prescribers undergo pharmacy review, nursing implementation, and monitoring across multiple professional groups. Decision support alerts regarding drug interactions, dosing recommendations, and allergy warnings serve interprofessional safety functions, though alert fatigue and workflow disruptions represent persistent challenges requiring ongoing optimization (Alsulami et al., 2013).

Telemedicine platforms expand interprofessional collaboration possibilities by enabling synchronous communication across geographic distances and facilitating specialist consultation access for community-based healthcare technicians. Saudi Arabia's substantial investments in telemedicine infrastructure create opportunities for enhanced interprofessional networks extending specialized expertise to underserved regions. Applications particularly relevant to healthcare technician practice include telementoring supporting skill development, remote consultation enabling specialist input into local care decisions, and telemonitoring facilitating coordinated chronic disease management (Albarrak et al., 2021).

Mobile health applications present emerging opportunities for interprofessional coordination, particularly in ambulatory and community health contexts. Applications supporting care coordination, medication management, and health monitoring create shared information platforms accessible to diverse professional groups and patients themselves. However, integration of mobile health data within institutional health information systems remains technically challenging and underutilized in many Saudi healthcare contexts.

Table 1 Interprofessional Collaboration Characteristics Across Healthcare Technician Specialties

Professional Specialty	Primary Collaborative Interfaces	Key Coordination Challenges	Technology Enablers
Nursing Technicians	Physicians, pharmacists, allied health professionals, patients	Role ambiguity, hierarchical barriers, workload pressures	Electronic health records, care coordination platforms, mobile communication tools
Midwifery Technicians	Obstetricians, neonatologists, emergency department staff, social services	Service integration variability, gender considerations, scope of practice clarity	Maternal-child health information systems, teleconsultation platforms, mobile health monitoring
Radiological Technicians	Referring physicians, radiologists, nursing staff, scheduling coordinators	Examination appropriateness, scheduling efficiency, communication of urgent findings	Picture archiving systems, computerized order entry, integrated reporting tools
Pharmacy Technicians	Prescribers, nursing staff, patients, insurance coordinators	Medication reconciliation accuracy, dispensing timeliness, patient counseling coordination	Automated dispensing systems, clinical decision support, medication reconciliation software
Health Informatics Specialists	Clinical users across disciplines, information technology staff, administration	User engagement, workflow integration, system optimization responsiveness	Electronic health records, data analytics platforms, user support ticketing systems
Health Administration Technicians	Clinical departments, finance, human resources, external regulatory bodies	Resource allocation alignment, operational-clinical priority balancing, regulatory compliance	Enterprise resource planning systems, quality management software, performance dashboards

Note. This table synthesizes findings from multiple sources examining role characteristics and collaborative dynamics across healthcare technician specialties in Saudi Arabian contexts.

Table 2 Vision 2030 Healthcare Initiatives Impacting Cross-Disciplinary Collaboration

Initiative Domain	Specific Programs/Policies	Collaboration Impact Mechanism	Implementation Status
Workforce Development	Expanded training capacity, credential standardization, interprofessional education integration	Enhanced interprofessional competencies, clearer role definitions, shared educational experiences	Ongoing with variable penetration across institutions
Digital Health Infrastructure	National health information system standards, electronic health record mandates, telemedicine platforms	Shared information access, standardized communication tools, remote collaboration enablement	Advanced in major institutions, emerging in community facilities
Quality Accreditation	Saudi Central Board standards emphasizing teamwork, patient safety protocols, interprofessional care planning	Regulatory expectations driving collaborative practice adoption, structured quality improvement teams	Broadly implemented with ongoing monitoring
Healthcare Financing Reform	Expanded insurance coverage, value-based payment exploration, bundled care models	Financial incentives aligning with coordinated care, shared accountability for outcomes	Early implementation phases
Patient Engagement	Patient rights frameworks, shared decision-making emphasis, patient portal implementations	Patient-centered care models requiring interprofessional coordination, patient information sharing	Variable adoption across facilities
Research and Innovation	Healthcare research funding, innovation centers, academic-practice partnerships	Evidence-based practice development, collaborative research teams, knowledge translation initiatives	Concentrated in academic medical centers

Note. Information compiled from Saudi Ministry of Health policy documents, National Transformation Program reports, and peer-reviewed literature examining Vision 2030 healthcare implementation.

5. Discussion

5.1 Interpretation of Findings

This review's findings reveal that cross-disciplinary collaboration among healthcare technicians within Saudi Arabia's hospital ecosystem represents a complex, multifaceted phenomenon shaped by organizational structures, professional cultures, technological infrastructure, and broader healthcare transformation initiatives. The evidence demonstrates substantial progress toward integrated care delivery models aligned with Vision 2030 objectives, while simultaneously revealing persistent implementation challenges requiring sustained attention and innovative solutions.

The central role of nursing technicians in interprofessional collaboration networks reflects both their numerical predominance within healthcare workforces and their continuous patient care presence. However, the hierarchical organizational cultures noted in the literature may systematically underutilize nursing technician insights and contributions. This pattern resonates with broader literature examining professional hierarchies in healthcare, wherein status differentials rooted in educational credentials, professional tradition, and gender dynamics constrain participation of personnel in supportive roles (D'Amour et al., 2005). Addressing these hierarchical barriers requires deliberate cultural change initiatives emphasizing respect for diverse professional contributions and creating structural mechanisms ensuring all voices inform collaborative decision-making.

The variable integration of midwifery services across Saudi healthcare facilities highlights how specialty-specific factors interact with organizational and cultural contexts in shaping collaborative practice patterns. Maternal health services' fundamental importance to population health outcomes and Vision 2030 objectives suggests that inconsistent midwifery integration represents a missed opportunity for enhanced care quality and efficiency. International evidence demonstrates that well-integrated midwifery services within collaborative maternity care models achieve superior maternal and neonatal outcomes compared to fragmented or physician-dominated care approaches (Sandall et al., 2016). Transferring these evidence-based models to Saudi contexts requires attention to cultural preferences, regulatory frameworks, and workforce development aligned with expanded midwifery scope.

The critical enabling role of health information technology in contemporary interprofessional collaboration aligns with sociotechnical systems theory's emphasis on technical infrastructure and social process interdependence (Carayon et al., 2014). Saudi Arabia's substantial digital health investments create technological preconditions for enhanced coordination, though technology alone proves insufficient without corresponding attention to workflow integration, user competencies, and organizational culture. The persistent implementation challenges noted in the literature underscore that healthcare information technology represents a means rather than an end, requiring ongoing optimization informed by frontline user feedback across professional disciplines.

The emphasis within Vision 2030 frameworks on interprofessional collaboration and teamwork represents recognition of international evidence linking collaborative practice with improved patient outcomes, enhanced provider satisfaction, and greater healthcare efficiency (Reeves et al., 2010). However, translation from policy aspiration to operational reality requires sustained implementation support including resource allocation, accountability mechanisms, and capability development initiatives. The variable collaborative practice maturity across Saudi healthcare facilities suggests that organizational-level implementation factors prove equally important as national policy frameworks in determining actual collaborative practice quality.

5.2 Implications for Practice and Policy

These findings generate several important implications for healthcare administrators, policymakers, and educational institutions seeking to enhance cross-disciplinary collaboration within Saudi hospital ecosystems. Healthcare organizations should prioritize explicit collaborative practice development initiatives rather than assuming effective teamwork emerges spontaneously from workforce deployment. This requires dedicated leadership attention, resource allocation for protected collaboration time and appropriate workspace, and performance management systems recognizing and rewarding collaborative behaviors alongside individual professional competencies.

Interprofessional education integration throughout healthcare technician training programs represents a critical foundation for collaborative practice development. Educational institutions should incorporate interprofessional learning experiences including shared coursework across programs, simulation-based team training, and structured clinical experiences emphasizing collaborative care delivery. These educational interventions prove most effective when they extend beyond superficial exposure to sustained

skill development addressing communication, role understanding, conflict resolution, and shared decision-making competencies (Reeves et al., 2016).

Regulatory frameworks governing healthcare technician practice require examination and potential revision to clarify collaborative responsibilities, reduce role ambiguities, and remove barriers to optimal professional skill utilization. Scope of practice regulations should define not only independent functions but also collaborative interfaces, communication expectations, and shared decision-making authorities. Consistency across professional regulatory frameworks enhances implementation feasibility compared to fragmented approaches addressing each specialty in isolation.

Health information technology implementations should employ participatory design approaches engaging diverse professional user groups in system selection, customization, and ongoing optimization. Particular attention to cross-disciplinary information needs, workflow integration across specialties, and communication tool functionality proves essential for realizing technology's collaborative practice enablement potential. Organizations should allocate substantial resources to user training encompassing not only technical system operation but also collaborative workflow redesign leveraging technological capabilities.

Quality improvement and patient safety initiatives provide particularly valuable contexts for developing and strengthening interprofessional collaboration. Organizations should deliberately structure improvement teams to include representation from relevant technical specialties, provide team development support, and ensure implementation approaches recognize frontline staff insights. The dual benefits of enhanced performance outcomes and strengthened collaborative relationships make quality improvement an attractive collaborative practice development strategy.

Leadership development programs should explicitly address interprofessional collaboration facilitation as a core competency for healthcare administrators and clinical managers. This includes skills in creating psychologically safe team environments, facilitating productive conflict resolution, recognizing and mitigating status hierarchies, and building shared accountability for collective outcomes.

5.3 Theoretical Contributions

This review contributes to theoretical understanding of interprofessional collaboration through application of sociotechnical systems frameworks within Middle Eastern healthcare contexts. The findings underscore that effective cross-disciplinary integration requires simultaneous attention to technical infrastructure, organizational structures, professional relationships, and cultural contexts. Neither technological solutions alone nor purely social interventions prove sufficient; rather, integrated approaches recognizing interdependencies between technical and social system elements demonstrate greatest effectiveness.

The evidence revealing hierarchical barriers to nursing technician and other supportive professional groups' collaborative participation extends existing theoretical frameworks regarding professional boundaries and status differentials in healthcare. This suggests that comprehensive collaboration models must address not only physician-nurse dynamics but also hierarchies extending throughout healthcare technical workforces. Power dynamics rooted in educational credentials, professional tradition, gender, and nationality interact to shape collaborative possibilities and constraints within multicultural healthcare environments characteristic of Saudi Arabia.

The variable collaborative practice maturity across Saudi healthcare organizations supports contingency theoretical perspectives suggesting that optimal organizational designs depend on contextual factors including size, complexity, resource availability, and environmental pressures. Rather than uniform collaborative practice models, evidence suggests context-appropriate approaches tailored to organizational characteristics and constraints prove most feasible and effective.

5.4 Limitations and Future Research Directions

Several limitations warrant acknowledgment in interpreting this review's findings. The literature examining healthcare technician collaboration within Saudi contexts remains relatively sparse compared to broader international scholarship, necessitating careful extrapolation from related evidence addressing different professional groups or geographic contexts. Publication bias may skew available evidence toward successful collaborative initiatives, with implementation failures and persistent challenges underrepresented in peer-reviewed literature.

Methodological heterogeneity across reviewed studies limits possibilities for quantitative synthesis and definitive conclusions regarding collaboration intervention effectiveness. Much existing evidence derives from descriptive studies, qualitative investigations with limited sample sizes, or cross-sectional surveys vulnerable to response bias. Longitudinal research employing rigorous evaluation designs remains scarce, particularly studies linking collaborative practice characteristics with patient outcome measures.

Cultural dimensions of interprofessional collaboration within Saudi and broader Middle Eastern contexts deserve more systematic investigation. Existing literature addresses cultural factors somewhat superficially, with limited empirical examination of how Islamic values, Arabic communication patterns, gender norms, and expatriate-national workforce dynamics shape collaborative practice possibilities. Cross-cultural comparative research could illuminate which collaboration principles demonstrate universality versus context-dependency.

Future research should prioritize several key directions. Longitudinal evaluation of interprofessional collaboration interventions employing rigorous designs including randomized controlled trials where feasible and quasi-experimental approaches where randomization proves impractical would strengthen evidence regarding effectiveness. Patient outcome measures should constitute primary endpoints rather than relying exclusively on process measures or provider perceptions. Implementation science frameworks examining contextual factors influencing collaborative practice intervention success or failure would generate valuable practical insights for healthcare organizations.

Specific attention to healthcare technician roles, contributions, and collaborative experiences remains essential given their current underrepresentation in interprofessional collaboration literature. Research specifically examining midwifery, pharmacy, radiology, health informatics, and health administration technician perspectives and collaborative practice patterns would fill important knowledge gaps. Mixed-methods investigations combining quantitative assessment of collaboration quality with qualitative exploration of facilitators, barriers, and improvement strategies would provide comprehensive understanding.

Technology's role in enabling or constraining interprofessional collaboration deserves continued investigation as digital health capabilities evolve. Research examining artificial intelligence applications, advanced decision support systems, and emerging communication technologies should address interprofessional implications alongside individual clinical utility. Comparative studies evaluating alternative health information technology implementation approaches' effects on collaborative practice would inform evidence-based deployment strategies.

Finally, economic evaluation examining costs and benefits of interprofessional collaboration initiatives would strengthen business cases for organizational investment. Studies quantifying collaborative practice impacts on resource utilization, length of stay, readmission rates, and adverse event costs alongside implementation expenses would inform value-based decision-making. Cost-effectiveness analyses comparing interprofessional collaboration interventions with alternative quality improvement approaches would guide optimal resource allocation.

6. Conclusion

Cross-disciplinary collaboration among midwifery, nursing, health informatics, radiology, pharmacy, and health administration technicians represents an essential foundation for achieving Saudi Arabia's Vision

2030 healthcare transformation objectives. This review synthesized evidence revealing substantial progress toward integrated care delivery models, supported by national policy frameworks, digital health infrastructure investments, and growing recognition of teamwork's importance for quality and safety. However, persistent implementation challenges including hierarchical organizational cultures, role ambiguities, resource constraints, and incomplete technology optimization demonstrate that significant work remains to realize collaborative practice potential fully.

Effective cross-disciplinary integration requires comprehensive approaches addressing organizational structures, professional education, regulatory frameworks, technological infrastructure, and cultural contexts simultaneously. Healthcare organizations should prioritize deliberate collaborative practice development through leadership commitment, resource allocation, performance management alignment, and capability development initiatives. Educational institutions must expand interprofessional education integration throughout healthcare technician training programs. Policymakers should ensure regulatory frameworks facilitate rather than impede collaborative practice while maintaining appropriate quality and safety oversight.

The sociotechnical nature of contemporary healthcare delivery necessitates that technological solutions and social process improvements advance in concert rather than isolation. Saudi Arabia's substantial digital health investments create critical infrastructure enabling enhanced coordination, though realizing this potential requires sustained attention to workflow integration, user engagement, and organizational culture development supporting technology-enabled collaboration.

This review contributes both practical insights informing collaborative practice enhancement initiatives and theoretical advancement through sociotechnical systems framework application within Middle Eastern healthcare contexts. The findings underscore that interprofessional collaboration represents not a simple intervention but rather a complex organizational capability requiring sustained development across multiple dimensions. As Saudi healthcare continues its Vision 2030 transformation journey, strengthening cross-disciplinary collaboration among technical specialists positioned throughout care delivery systems will prove essential for achieving ambitious quality, access, and efficiency objectives.

References

1. Aboshaiqah, A. (2016). Strategies to address the nursing shortage in Saudi Arabia. International Nursing Review, 63(3), 499-506. https://doi.org/10.1111/inr.12271
2. Albarrak, A. I., Mohammed, R., Almarshoud, N., Almujalli, L., Aljaeed, R., Altuwaijiri, S., & Albohairy, T. (2021). Assessment of physician's knowledge, perception and willingness of telemedicine in Riyadh region, Saudi Arabia. Journal of Infection and Public Health, 14(1), 97-102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiph.2020.04.002
3. Albejaidi, F. M. (2010). Healthcare system in Saudi Arabia: An analysis of structure, total quality management and future challenges. Journal of Alternative Perspectives in the Social Sciences, 2(2), 794-818.
4. Aldriwesh, M. G., Alyousif, S. M., & Alharbi, N. S. (2020). Undergraduate-level teaching and learning approaches for interprofessional education in the health professions: A systematic review. BMC Medical Education, 20(1), 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-020-02000-1
5. Aldossary, A., While, A., & Barriball, L. (2008). Health care and nursing in Saudi Arabia. International Nursing Review, 55(1), 125-128. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-7657.2007.00596.x
6. Almalki, M., FitzGerald, G., & Clark, M. (2011). Health care system in Saudi Arabia: An overview. Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal, 17(10), 784-793.
7. Alshammari, F., & Duff, J. (2019). The views of Saudi Arabian midwives about their role and work. Midwifery, 79, 102548. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2019.102548

8. Alsulami, Z., Conroy, S., & Choonara, I. (2013). Medication errors in the Middle East countries: A systematic review of the literature. European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, 69(4), 995-1008. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00228-012-1435-y
9. Altuwaijri, M. M. (2008). Electronic-health in Saudi Arabia: Just around the corner? Saudi Medical Journal, 29(2), 171-178.
10. Bawazir, A., Badri, M., Ghaleb, M., & Al-Shaman, W. (2019). Benefits and barriers to electronic health records adoption in Saudi Arabia: A regional perspective. Journal of Healthcare Management, 64(3), 197-207.
11. Carayon, P., Wetterneck, T. B., Rivera-Rodriguez, A. J., Hundt, A. S., Hoonakker, P., Holden, R., & Gurses, A. P. (2014). Human factors systems approach to healthcare quality and patient safety. Applied Ergonomics, 45(1), 14-25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2013.04.023
12. D'Amour, D., Ferrada-Videla, M., San Martin Rodriguez, L., & Beaulieu, M. D. (2005). The conceptual basis for interprofessional collaboration: Core concepts and theoretical frameworks. Journal of Interprofessional Care, 19(sup1), 116-131. https://doi.org/10.1080/13561820500082529
13. Hasanain, R. A., & Cooper, H. (2014). Solutions to overcome technical and social barriers to electronic health records implementation in Saudi public and private hospitals. Journal of Health Informatics in Developing Countries, 8(1), 46-63.
14. Kingdom of Saudi Arabia Vision 2030. (2016). Vision 2030 Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. https://www.vision2030.gov.sa
15. Leonard, M., Graham, S., & Bonacum, D. (2004). The human factor: The critical importance of effective teamwork and communication in providing safe care. Quality and Safety in Health Care, 13(suppl 1), i85-i90. https://doi.org/10.1136/qshc.2004.010033
16. Reeves, S., Goldman, J., Gilbert, J., Tepper, J., Silver, I., Suter, E., & Zwarenstein, M. (2010). A scoping review to improve conceptual clarity of interprofessional interventions. Journal of Interprofessional Care, 25(3), 167-174. https://doi.org/10.3109/13561820.2010.529960
17. Reeves, S., Pelone, F., Harrison, R., Goldman, J., & Zwarenstein, M. (2017). Interprofessional collaboration to improve professional practice and healthcare outcomes. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2017(6), CD000072. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD000072.pub3
18. Sandall, J., Soltani, H., Gates, S., Shennan, A., & Devane, D. (2016). Midwife-led continuity models versus other models of care for childbearing women. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2016(4), CD004667. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD004667.pub5
19. Schot, E., Tummers, L., & Nordheim, L. V. (2020). Cultural aspects of interprofessional collaboration: A systematic review. Journal of Interprofessional Care, 34(4), 461-470. https://doi.org/10.1080/13561820.2019.1669876
20. Walston, S., Al-Harbi, Y., & Al-Omar, B. (2008). The changing face of healthcare in Saudi Arabia. Annals of Saudi Medicine, 28(4), 243-250. https://doi.org/10.5144/0256-4947.2008.243
21. World Health Organization. (2010). Framework for action on interprofessional education and collaborative practice. World Health Organization.
22. Wright, A., Sittig, D. F., Ash, J. S., Feblowitz, J., Meltzer, S., McMullen, C., Guappone, K., Carpenter, J., Richardson, J., Simonaitis, L., Evans, R. S., Nichol, W. P., & Middleton, B. (2011). Development and evaluation of a comprehensive clinical decision support taxonomy: Comparison of front-end tools in commercial and internally developed electronic health record systems. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 18(3), 232-242. https://doi.org/10.1136/amiajnl-2011-000113