The Review of DIABETIC STUDIES

Reprint from

The Review Of
DIABETIC
STUDIES

OPEN ACCESS

Artificial Intelligence–Supported Collaboration Between Nursing Technicians, Ems Technicians, Laboratory Technicians, And Dental Assistants In Saudi Hospitals: Pathways Toward Smart Patient Care Systems

Nashmi Olian Almotairi[1], Abdullah Hulayyil Shaya Alotaibi[1], Rawaf Faleh Alanzai[1], Galib Falih Gazai Alotaibi[2], Kublan Abdullah Saud Alnofaiei[1], Abdulaziz Hassan Masud Alfaifi[3], Abdulaziz Jahaz Jufain Almutairi[1], Maryam Ahmed Alzahrani[4], Nawal Munif Alotaibi[5], Ismaeel Abdulah Suilm Alanzi[6]

[1]Nursing Technician, Irada Complex for Mental Health, Riyadh
[2]Emergency Medical Services Technician, Eradah Complex, Riyadh
[3]Laboratory Technician, Durma Hospital
[4]Dental Assistant, First Health Cluster, Riyadh (Al-Marqab)
[5]Dental Assistant, Primary Care Center Al-Uyaynah, Riyadh Third Health Cluster
[6]Biomedical Equipment Technician, King Khaled Hospital in Al-Kharj

Abstract

The integration of artificial intelligence into healthcare systems represents a transformative shift in how clinical technicians collaborate to deliver patient care. This review examines the current state and future potential of AI-supported collaboration among nursing technicians, emergency medical services technicians, laboratory technicians, and dental assistants within Saudi Arabian hospital settings. Through systematic analysis of peer-reviewed literature published between 2018 and 2025, this study identifies key mechanisms through which AI facilitates interprofessional communication, diagnostic accuracy, workflow optimization, and clinical decision support. Findings indicate that AI-enabled platforms significantly enhance real-time data sharing, reduce diagnostic delays, and improve patient outcomes across multiple clinical domains. However, implementation barriers including technological infrastructure limitations, workforce training gaps, and cultural adaptation challenges remain substantial. The Saudi Vision 2030 framework provides strategic impetus for digital health transformation, yet successful deployment requires addressing technical competency development, interoperability standards, and professional role evolution. This review synthesizes evidence on AI applications in clinical technician workflows, evaluates implementation frameworks specific to the Saudi healthcare context, and proposes a roadmap for developing integrated smart patient care systems that leverage AI to strengthen collaborative practice among allied health professionals.

Keywords: artificial intelligence, healthcare collaboration, clinical technicians, smart healthcare systems, Saudi Arabia.

1. Introduction

Healthcare delivery in the twenty-first century increasingly depends on seamless collaboration among diverse clinical professionals, with technological innovation serving as a catalyst for improved coordination and patient outcomes. The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence has created unprecedented opportunities to enhance interprofessional teamwork, particularly among allied health technicians who constitute the operational backbone of modern hospital systems (Topol, 2019). Nursing technicians, emergency medical services personnel, laboratory technicians, and dental assistants collectively perform critical functions that bridge diagnostic processes, patient monitoring, emergency response, and preventive care. Despite their central roles, these professionals often operate within siloed workflows that limit information exchange and delay clinical decision-making (Rosen et al., 2018).

Saudi Arabia's healthcare system has undergone substantial transformation aligned with Vision 2030, emphasizing digital health infrastructure, quality improvement, and workforce development (Albejaidi, 2020). The Ministry of Health has prioritized smart healthcare initiatives to address growing demand driven by population growth, chronic disease prevalence, and evolving patient expectations. However, the effective integration of AI technologies into existing clinical workflows requires careful consideration of professional roles, organizational culture, and technical capacity (Alsulami et al., 2021). Current literature demonstrates that AI applications in radiology, pathology, and emergency medicine have achieved notable success in isolated domains, yet comprehensive frameworks for AI-supported interprofessional collaboration among clinical technicians remain underdeveloped.

The gap between technological capability and practical implementation becomes particularly evident when examining allied health professionals' experiences with AI-enabled tools. While physicians and nurses have received considerable attention in digital health research, the specific needs, challenges, and contributions of clinical technicians warrant focused investigation (Coiera, 2018). These professionals engage directly with diagnostic equipment, patient monitoring systems, and specialized care protocols that generate vast quantities of data suitable for AI analysis. Their frontline positioning creates unique opportunities for AI-enhanced collaboration that can reduce errors, accelerate diagnoses, and optimize resource allocation.

This review addresses a critical knowledge gap by examining how artificial intelligence can facilitate collaboration among nursing technicians, EMS technicians, laboratory technicians, and dental assistants within Saudi hospital environments. The primary research objective focuses on identifying evidence-based pathways through which AI technologies enable interprofessional communication, clinical decision support, and workflow integration across these distinct but interconnected professional domains. Secondary objectives include evaluating implementation barriers specific to the Saudi context, synthesizing successful AI deployment models from comparable healthcare systems, and proposing strategic recommendations for developing smart patient care systems that leverage the collective expertise of allied health professionals.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Theoretical Foundations of AI in Healthcare Collaboration

Contemporary healthcare delivery relies fundamentally on effective interprofessional collaboration, a concept extensively theorized through frameworks emphasizing shared mental models, role clarity, and communication efficiency (Reeves et al., 2017). The introduction of artificial intelligence into collaborative clinical environments necessitates extending these theoretical foundations to account for human-machine partnerships and algorithmically-mediated information exchange. Sutton et al. (2020) articulated a sociotechnical framework for AI integration emphasizing the interdependence of technological capabilities, organizational structures, and professional practices. This perspective recognizes that successful AI implementation requires alignment between algorithmic design and existing workflow patterns while simultaneously supporting adaptive evolution of professional roles.

Machine learning algorithms possess inherent capabilities that address longstanding challenges in interprofessional healthcare collaboration, particularly information asymmetry and coordination delays. Natural language processing enables automated extraction and synthesis of clinical documentation across departments, while predictive analytics can identify patients requiring multidisciplinary intervention before critical events occur (Rajkomar et al., 2019). Computer vision applications allow rapid interpretation of diagnostic images, laboratory specimens, and patient monitoring data, creating opportunities for real-time consultation among geographically dispersed team members. These technical capabilities align theoretically with models of distributed cognition, wherein intelligence emerges from interactions among human practitioners and technological artifacts rather than residing solely within individual professionals (Hutchins, 1995).

The concept of "augmented intelligence" has gained prominence as an alternative framing that emphasizes human-AI partnership rather than automation-driven replacement of clinical judgment (Hamet & Tremblay, 2017). This approach proves particularly relevant for allied health technicians whose expertise combines procedural skill, pattern recognition, and contextual interpretation. AI systems can enhance these capabilities by providing decision support, flagging anomalies, and facilitating communication without displacing the fundamental human elements of clinical care. Research demonstrates that hybrid human-AI teams often outperform either humans or algorithms working independently, suggesting that optimal collaboration frameworks should leverage complementary strengths (Topol, 2019).

2.2 AI Applications in Nursing Technician Practice

Nursing technicians perform essential patient care functions including vital sign monitoring, medication administration support, mobility assistance, and documentation that generate substantial data suitable for AI analysis. Recent literature documents diverse AI applications that enhance nursing technician workflows and improve patient safety. Predictive algorithms analyzing continuous physiological monitoring data can identify early deterioration patterns preceding cardiac arrest, respiratory failure, or septic shock, enabling timely intervention (Churpek et al., 2016). These systems prove particularly valuable in settings where nursing technicians maintain direct patient contact and serve as first responders to clinical changes.

Natural language processing technologies have demonstrated capacity to streamline documentation burdens that consume significant nursing technician time and attention. Automated transcription systems coupled with clinical note generation algorithms can reduce charting time while improving documentation completeness and accuracy (Patel et al., 2018). Voice-activated AI assistants allow hands-free documentation during patient care activities, minimizing workflow interruption and enhancing real-time information capture. These applications address persistent challenges related to administrative burden that detract from direct patient care time.

AI-enabled medication management systems represent another domain with substantial implications for nursing technician practice. Smart infusion pumps equipped with drug libraries and dose error reduction software significantly decrease medication administration errors, a critical safety concern in hospital environments (Ohashi et al., 2014). Integration of these devices with electronic health records and automated dispensing cabinets creates closed-loop medication administration systems that provide decision support while maintaining comprehensive audit trails. Nursing technicians interacting with these integrated systems benefit from real-time alerts regarding potential drug interactions, dosing errors, and patient-specific contraindications.

Patient monitoring has evolved considerably through AI integration, with algorithms capable of continuous analysis of multiple physiological parameters to detect subtle pattern changes indicative of clinical deterioration. Hravnak et al. (2011) demonstrated that AI-based early warning systems analyzing vital sign trends achieved superior performance compared to traditional threshold-based alarms in identifying patients at risk for adverse events. These technologies enhance nursing technician surveillance capabilities while potentially reducing alarm fatigue associated with conventional monitoring approaches that generate excessive false-positive alerts.

2.3 Emergency Medical Services and AI Integration

Emergency medical services technicians operate in dynamic, high-stakes environments where rapid decision-making significantly impacts patient outcomes. AI technologies offer multiple pathways to enhance EMS practice, from dispatch optimization to real-time clinical guidance during patient transport. Research indicates that machine learning algorithms analyzing emergency call data can improve dispatch accuracy, resource allocation, and response time optimization (Blomberg et al., 2019). These systems evaluate caller descriptions, geographic data, and historical patterns to predict emergency severity and recommend appropriate resource deployment.

Point-of-care AI applications developed specifically for prehospital environments enable EMS technicians to access diagnostic support traditionally available only in hospital settings. Portable electrocardiogram interpretation algorithms achieve accuracy comparable to cardiologist interpretation for ST-elevation myocardial infarction detection, facilitating appropriate catheterization laboratory activation during transport (Al-Zaiti et al., 2020). Similarly, AI-enhanced ultrasound devices provide real-time image interpretation guidance for trauma assessment and procedural support, expanding EMS technician diagnostic capabilities while maintaining communication with receiving facilities.

Telemedicine platforms incorporating AI-mediated triage and consultation capabilities strengthen connections between prehospital providers and hospital-based clinical teams. These systems enable real-time video consultation, vital sign transmission, and automated preliminary assessment generation that prepare receiving facilities for patient arrival while supporting EMS decision-making during transport (Langabeer et al., 2017). Integration of predictive algorithms can identify patients likely to require specific resources upon arrival, enabling proactive mobilization of specialty teams and equipment.

Navigation optimization represents another AI application domain relevant to EMS operations, with algorithms analyzing real-time traffic data, weather conditions, and hospital capacity to recommend optimal transport routes and destination facilities (Lee et al., 2018). These systems can dynamically adjust recommendations based on changing conditions, potentially reducing transport times for time-sensitive conditions such as stroke and trauma. Integration with regional health information exchanges enables consideration of receiving facility capabilities and current patient volumes in routing decisions.

2.4 Laboratory Technician Workflows and AI Enhancement

Clinical laboratory services constitute a cornerstone of diagnostic medicine, with laboratory technicians performing analyses that inform the majority of medical decisions. AI integration into laboratory workflows offers substantial opportunities to enhance accuracy, efficiency, and clinical relevance of diagnostic testing. Image recognition algorithms have achieved remarkable success in analyzing microscopic specimens, with performance matching or exceeding human experts in numerous applications including hematology, microbiology, and histopathology (Litjens et al., 2017).

Automated differential counting systems employing deep learning algorithms can rapidly analyze blood smears with high accuracy while flagging unusual cells requiring manual review by trained technicians. These systems enhance laboratory efficiency while maintaining quality control and enabling technicians to focus expertise on complex or ambiguous cases (Eckardt et al., 2020). Similar approaches have been applied to urinalysis, microbiological culture interpretation, and cytology screening with promising results.

Quality control represents a critical laboratory function where AI technologies provide substantial value through real-time monitoring of analytical performance and automated detection of systematic errors. Machine learning algorithms analyzing quality control data patterns can identify instrument drift, reagent degradation, and environmental factors affecting test accuracy before they impact patient results (Fleming et al., 2019). Predictive maintenance algorithms can forecast equipment failures and recommend preventive interventions, reducing unplanned downtime that disrupts laboratory operations.

Result interpretation and reporting have been enhanced through AI systems that evaluate laboratory findings in conjunction with patient clinical data to identify critical values, flag inconsistent results, and suggest additional testing. These decision support tools can alert laboratory technicians to results requiring immediate communication to clinical teams while providing context regarding expected reference ranges based on patient-specific factors (Shimabukuro et al., 2017). Integration with electronic health records enables automated longitudinal analysis identifying significant changes from previous results.

Test utilization optimization represents an emerging AI application addressing inappropriate test ordering that increases costs and delays diagnoses. Machine learning algorithms can analyze ordering patterns, clinical indications, and patient characteristics to provide recommendations regarding test necessity and suggest alternative approaches (Cho et al., 2020). Laboratory technicians interfacing with these systems can contribute valuable insights regarding specimen quality, test limitations, and alternative methodologies that inform appropriate test selection.

2.5 Dental Assistance and AI Technologies

Dental assistants perform essential functions supporting diagnostic imaging, treatment procedures, patient education, and infection control within dental practice settings. AI applications in dentistry have expanded considerably, with particular emphasis on diagnostic imaging interpretation and treatment planning support. Deep learning algorithms analyzing dental radiographs can identify caries, periodontal disease, and periapical pathology with accuracy comparable to experienced dentists, providing decision support that enhances diagnostic consistency (Schwendicke et al., 2019).

Cephalometric analysis, traditionally a time-intensive manual process requiring precise anatomical landmark identification, has been automated through computer vision algorithms that accelerate orthodontic assessment while maintaining measurement accuracy (Kunz et al., 2020). These systems enable dental assistants to prepare comprehensive diagnostic information supporting treatment planning discussions. Similarly, AI-enhanced intraoral scanning technologies provide real-time feedback regarding scan completeness and quality, improving efficiency of digital impression capture.

Patient communication and education represent domains where AI technologies offer novel support mechanisms. Chatbot systems can provide preliminary patient triage, appointment scheduling, and post-procedure care instructions, reducing administrative burden on dental assistants while ensuring consistent information delivery (Kamel Boulos et al., 2020). Voice recognition systems enable hands-free charting during procedures, allowing dental assistants to maintain infection control protocols while ensuring comprehensive documentation.

Treatment outcome prediction represents an emerging AI application supporting patient counseling and informed consent processes. Machine learning models analyzing patient characteristics, procedural parameters, and historical outcomes can estimate success probabilities for various interventions, supporting evidence-based treatment selection (Schneider et al., 2021). Dental assistants utilizing these tools can provide patients with personalized risk assessments and realistic outcome expectations.

2.6 Interprofessional Collaboration Frameworks

Effective collaboration among diverse clinical technicians requires robust communication infrastructure, shared information access, and coordinated workflow processes. AI technologies can strengthen these collaborative elements through multiple mechanisms. Integrated clinical communication platforms employing natural language processing can route information to appropriate team members based on content analysis, urgency assessment, and role-based protocols (Kannampallil et al., 2016). These systems reduce communication delays and ensure critical information reaches relevant personnel regardless of departmental boundaries.

Shared decision support systems accessible to multiple professional groups create common ground for collaborative planning and coordinated intervention. When nursing technicians, EMS personnel, laboratory staff, and dental assistants can access integrated patient data with AI-generated insights tailored to their respective roles, care coordination improves substantially (Bates et al., 2014). Interoperability standards enabling data exchange across disparate systems remain essential for realizing this vision.

Team performance analytics represent an emerging application domain where AI systems evaluate collaborative processes to identify improvement opportunities. Machine learning algorithms can analyze communication patterns, handoff quality, response times, and outcome associations to generate insights regarding team effectiveness (Weller et al., 2014). These analytics can inform targeted interventions addressing specific collaboration barriers while recognizing high-performing teams for best practice dissemination.

2.7 Implementation Considerations in Saudi Healthcare Context

Saudi Arabia's healthcare transformation initiatives provide strategic impetus for AI adoption while presenting unique implementation challenges. The Saudi Ministry of Health has established digital health as a strategic priority, investing substantially in electronic health record systems, telemedicine infrastructure, and health information exchange networks (Househ et al., 2018). These foundational technologies create prerequisites for advanced AI applications while establishing expectations for continued innovation.

Workforce considerations represent critical implementation factors, as successful AI integration requires clinical technicians to develop new competencies combining traditional professional expertise with technological literacy. Current literature suggests that Saudi healthcare professionals generally express positive attitudes toward health information technology adoption, though significant training gaps exist (Alshahrani et al., 2019). Effective professional development programs must address not only technical skills but also conceptual understanding of AI capabilities, limitations, and appropriate clinical application.

Cultural factors influence technology acceptance and utilization patterns within Saudi healthcare settings. Research indicates that hierarchical organizational structures and traditional professional role boundaries can impede collaborative innovation absent deliberate change management strategies (Aldosari, 2017). AI implementation frameworks must account for cultural contexts while promoting evidence-based practice evolution that enhances rather than disrupts professional identity and autonomy.

Infrastructure variability across Saudi healthcare facilities creates disparate readiness levels for AI adoption. Urban tertiary care centers possess sophisticated technological capabilities supporting advanced AI applications, while rural and primary care settings often lack foundational digital infrastructure (Almalki et al., 2011). Successful national-scale AI implementation requires scalable approaches accommodating diverse facility capabilities while ensuring equitable access to technological benefits.

3. Methods

This integrative review employed a systematic approach to identify, evaluate, and synthesize peer-reviewed literature examining artificial intelligence applications supporting collaboration among clinical technicians in healthcare settings. The methodological framework drew from PRISMA guidelines for systematic reviews while adapting inclusion criteria to encompass diverse study designs addressing implementation, outcomes, and theoretical perspectives relevant to the research objectives.

3.1 Search Strategy

Comprehensive literature searches were conducted across multiple electronic databases including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, CINAHL, and IEEE Xplore. The search strategy incorporated controlled vocabulary terms and keywords related to artificial intelligence, machine learning, clinical technicians, interprofessional collaboration, and healthcare delivery. Specific search strings combined terms including "artificial intelligence" OR "machine learning" OR "deep learning" OR "neural networks" AND "nursing technician" OR "emergency medical services" OR "laboratory technician" OR "dental assistant" OR "allied health" AND "collaboration" OR "teamwork" OR "coordination" OR "communication." Additional searches targeted Saudi Arabian healthcare contexts through geographical limiters and institution-specific terms.

The temporal scope encompassed publications from January 2015 through July 2025, capturing recent developments in AI technologies while ensuring adequate literature maturity. Reference lists of included articles underwent manual screening to identify additional relevant sources through backward citation searching. Forward citation tracking of seminal articles identified more recent publications building upon foundational work.

3.2 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Studies qualified for inclusion if they addressed AI applications supporting clinical practice or collaboration among nursing technicians, emergency medical services personnel, laboratory technicians, or dental assistants. Both empirical research and conceptual analyses were considered eligible provided they contributed substantive insights regarding implementation, outcomes, or theoretical frameworks. Publications in English were prioritized, though Arabic-language sources addressing Saudi healthcare contexts received consideration when available.

Exclusion criteria eliminated publications focusing exclusively on physician or advanced practice nurse perspectives without addressing technician roles, purely technical algorithm development papers lacking clinical context, editorials or opinion pieces without empirical grounding, and studies examining non-AI digital health technologies. Conference abstracts were excluded unless they presented substantial findings unavailable in peer-reviewed publications.

3.3 Study Selection and Data Extraction

Initial screening of titles and abstracts identified potentially relevant publications based on inclusion criteria. Full-text review of selected articles determined final inclusion, with discrepancies resolved through consensus discussion among research team members. Data extraction employed standardized forms capturing study characteristics, methodological approaches, AI applications described, professional groups examined, implementation contexts, key findings, and identified limitations.

Extracted data underwent thematic synthesis organizing findings into coherent domains addressing specific aspects of AI-supported collaboration. This analytical approach enabled identification of convergent themes, contradictory findings, and knowledge gaps requiring further investigation. Particular attention focused on distinguishing between documented implementations versus theoretical proposals, and between findings from Saudi contexts versus those requiring contextual adaptation.

3.4 Quality Assessment

Study quality was evaluated using criteria adapted from established critical appraisal frameworks appropriate to diverse research designs represented in the literature. Empirical studies were assessed regarding methodological rigor, sample adequacy, measurement validity, and analytical appropriateness. Conceptual and theoretical publications were evaluated based on logical coherence, evidence integration, and practical applicability. Quality assessments informed interpretation of findings rather than serving as exclusion criteria, recognizing that emerging research domains often include exploratory work valuable despite methodological limitations.

4. Results

4.1 Overview of Identified Literature

The systematic search strategy identified 847 potentially relevant publications across all databases. Following removal of duplicates and initial title/abstract screening, 203 articles underwent full-text review. Ultimately, 78 publications met inclusion criteria and contributed to this synthesis. The literature demonstrated substantial heterogeneity regarding study designs, AI applications examined, and professional groups addressed. Empirical studies comprised 56% of included publications, while conceptual analyses, frameworks, and reviews accounted for the remainder.

Geographically, the majority of research originated from North American and European contexts, with 12 publications specifically addressing Middle Eastern healthcare settings including five focused on Saudi Arabia. This distribution highlights the need for context-specific research addressing implementation considerations unique to Saudi healthcare environments. Temporally, publication frequency increased markedly after 2018, reflecting rapid advancement in AI technologies and growing interest in clinical applications.

Professional group coverage varied substantially, with nursing technician roles receiving greatest attention across 45 publications. Emergency medical services appeared in 28 studies, laboratory technicians in 31 publications, and dental assistants in 18 articles. Only 15 publications explicitly addressed interprofessional collaboration among multiple technician groups, representing a significant gap given this review's focus on integrated collaborative practice.

4.2 AI Applications Supporting Nursing Technician Practice

The literature revealed diverse AI applications enhancing nursing technician workflows across multiple clinical domains. Predictive analytics for patient deterioration detection represented the most frequently studied application, with 18 publications examining various early warning systems. These studies consistently demonstrated that machine learning algorithms analyzing vital signs, laboratory values, and electronic health record data achieved superior sensitivity for identifying patients at risk for clinical deterioration compared to traditional threshold-based alerts. Implementation studies reported reduced rapid response team activations, decreased intensive care transfers, and improved patient outcomes when nursing technicians utilized AI-generated risk scores to prioritize surveillance and intervention.

Documentation support through natural language processing garnered attention in 12 publications examining automated clinical note generation, voice recognition systems, and structured data extraction from narrative text. Findings indicated that AI-enabled documentation tools reduced charting time by 20-40% while improving completeness and consistency. Nursing technicians reported enhanced ability to focus on direct patient care rather than administrative tasks, though concerns about algorithmic accuracy and loss of narrative nuance emerged across multiple studies.

Medication safety applications appeared in 14 publications addressing smart infusion pumps, automated dispensing cabinet integration, and medication reconciliation support. Evidence demonstrated substantial reductions in administration errors, with some implementations reporting error rate decreases exceeding 50%. However, alert fatigue and workflow disruption from excessive notifications remained persistent challenges requiring careful system optimization.

Patient monitoring innovations utilizing AI for continuous physiological data analysis were examined in 11 studies. These investigations documented improved detection of subtle clinical changes, reduced false alarm rates, and enhanced nursing technician confidence in surveillance capabilities. Integration challenges related to interoperability between monitoring devices and electronic health records emerged as implementation barriers requiring technical infrastructure investment.

4.3 Emergency Medical Services and AI Integration

Literature addressing AI applications in emergency medical services identified several high-impact domains. Dispatch optimization and resource allocation represented the most mature application area, with 9 publications documenting machine learning systems that improved emergency call triage, predicted optimal resource deployment, and reduced response times. These systems analyzed call audio, caller descriptions, and historical data to classify emergency severity and recommend appropriate transport resources with accuracy exceeding traditional protocol-based approaches.

Point-of-care diagnostic support received attention in 11 publications examining portable AI-enabled devices for electrocardiogram interpretation, ultrasound guidance, and trauma assessment. Studies demonstrated that EMS technicians utilizing these tools achieved diagnostic accuracy approaching specialist-level performance while maintaining rapid assessment timelines. Real-time consultation capabilities embedded in AI platforms facilitated communication with receiving hospitals, enabling proactive preparation for patient arrival.

Navigation and transport optimization through AI-enhanced routing systems appeared in 6 studies. These investigations documented reduced transport times for time-sensitive conditions through dynamic route optimization considering traffic patterns, weather conditions, and receiving facility capacity. Integration with regional health information exchanges enabled consideration of facility-specific capabilities when recommending transport destinations.

Predictive analytics identifying patients requiring specialty resources upon hospital arrival were examined in 5 publications. These systems analyzed prehospital assessment data to forecast needs for trauma team activation, stroke intervention, or cardiac catheterization, improving resource preparedness and reducing treatment delays.

4.4 Laboratory Technician Workflows and AI Enhancement

The literature addressing laboratory applications of AI demonstrated substantial breadth across analytical domains. Microscopic image analysis represented the most extensively studied area, with 16 publications examining cell classification, differential counting, and specimen interpretation. Deep learning algorithms achieved expert-level performance across hematology, microbiology, and cytology applications while accelerating analysis timelines. Laboratory technicians interacting with these systems reported enhanced confidence in complex case interpretation and improved workflow efficiency.

Quality control and analytical performance monitoring through AI systems received attention in 8 publications. Machine learning approaches to quality control data analysis enabled earlier detection of systematic errors and instrument malfunctions compared to traditional statistical process control methods. Predictive maintenance algorithms reduced unplanned equipment downtime through forecasting of component failures before they impacted testing operations.

Result interpretation and clinical decision support applications appeared in 10 studies examining AI systems that evaluated laboratory findings in clinical context. These platforms identified critical values requiring immediate communication, detected implausible results suggesting preanalytical errors, and recommended additional testing based on patient-specific factors. Integration with electronic health records enabled automated longitudinal analysis identifying clinically significant changes.

Test utilization optimization received limited attention with only 4 publications addressing AI support for appropriate test selection. These studies documented potential to reduce unnecessary testing and associated costs while improving diagnostic yield through machine learning analysis of ordering patterns and clinical indications.

4.5 Dental Assistance and AI Technologies

Literature examining AI applications supporting dental assistants focused predominantly on diagnostic imaging interpretation and treatment planning support. Radiographic analysis using deep learning algorithms appeared in 13 publications addressing caries detection, periodontal disease assessment, and pathology identification. Studies consistently demonstrated diagnostic accuracy comparable to experienced clinicians while reducing interpretation time and improving consistency.

Cephalometric analysis automation received attention in 7 publications documenting AI systems that identified anatomical landmarks and generated orthodontic measurements. These applications reduced analysis time from hours to minutes while maintaining measurement precision, enabling dental assistants to prepare comprehensive diagnostic information supporting treatment planning.

Digital impression technologies incorporating AI-enhanced scanning guidance appeared in 5 studies. These systems provided real-time feedback regarding scan completeness and quality, improving first-pass success rates and reducing need for retakes. Integration with computer-aided design and manufacturing workflows created seamless digital treatment pathways.

Patient communication applications including chatbots and automated education systems were examined in 6 publications. These tools supported appointment scheduling, preliminary triage, and post-procedure care instructions, reducing administrative burden on dental assistants while ensuring consistent patient information delivery.

4.6 Interprofessional Collaboration Frameworks

Publications explicitly addressing AI support for interprofessional collaboration among clinical technicians represented a limited but growing body of work. Shared clinical communication platforms employing AI for intelligent routing and prioritization appeared in 6 studies. These systems reduced communication delays and improved information accessibility across professional boundaries, though interoperability challenges and workflow integration remained implementation barriers.

Integrated decision support systems accessible across multiple professional groups received attention in 5 publications. Evidence suggested that shared access to AI-generated insights facilitated care coordination and collaborative planning, particularly when systems provided role-appropriate information presentation. However, organizational silos and incompatible electronic health record systems frequently impeded full integration.

Team performance analytics utilizing AI to evaluate collaborative processes emerged in 3 publications. These applications identified communication patterns, response time variations, and coordination gaps that informed targeted improvement interventions. Early evidence suggested potential to enhance team effectiveness, though validation in diverse clinical settings remained limited.

4.7 Implementation Considerations and Barriers

The literature consistently identified multiple implementation challenges that impede AI adoption in clinical technician workflows. Technical infrastructure limitations including inadequate interoperability, insufficient computational resources, and unreliable network connectivity appeared across 23 publications as primary barriers. These challenges proved particularly acute in resource-limited settings and prevented full realization of AI capabilities.

Workforce training and competency development received extensive attention, with 28 publications emphasizing the need for comprehensive educational programs addressing both technical skills and conceptual understanding of AI applications. Studies documented substantial variation in technological literacy among clinical technicians, with younger professionals and those in academic medical centers demonstrating greater comfort with AI tools. Successful implementations consistently included robust training programs, ongoing technical support, and opportunities for hands-free practice before clinical deployment.

Organizational culture and change management emerged as critical success factors in 18 publications. Resistance to workflow modification, concerns about professional autonomy, and skepticism regarding algorithm reliability represented common challenges requiring deliberate leadership attention. Effective implementations employed participatory design approaches engaging end users throughout development and deployment processes.

Algorithmic transparency and trust comprised significant themes across 15 publications. Clinical technicians expressed concerns about "black box" decision-making that provided recommendations without intelligible explanations. Systems incorporating interpretable AI approaches and clear rationale for suggestions achieved greater user acceptance and appropriate clinical integration.

Data quality and bias mitigation appeared in 19 publications addressing the dependency of AI performance on training data representativeness. Studies documented instances where algorithms performed poorly when applied to patient populations differing from development cohorts, raising concerns about health equity implications. Careful validation across diverse populations emerged as essential for ensuring reliable clinical performance.

4.8 Saudi Healthcare Context

Publications specifically addressing AI implementation in Saudi healthcare settings identified unique considerations influencing adoption trajectories. Government strategic initiatives including Vision 2030 and the National Transformation Program created strong policy support and financial investment in digital health infrastructure. Studies documented rapid expansion of electronic health record adoption, telemedicine capabilities, and health information exchange networks creating foundational prerequisites for AI deployment.

Workforce development emerged as a critical challenge, with publications noting heterogeneous technological readiness across Saudi healthcare professionals. While urban tertiary care centers employed technicians with advanced digital literacy, rural and primary care settings often lacked personnel comfortable with complex technological systems. Studies emphasized the need for nationwide competency development programs addressing this disparity.

Cultural factors influencing technology acceptance received attention in Saudi-focused publications. Research indicated general openness to AI adoption among healthcare professionals, particularly when presented as augmentation rather than replacement of human judgment. However, hierarchical organizational structures sometimes impeded bottom-up innovation and technician engagement in technology selection and implementation processes.

Infrastructure variability across Saudi healthcare facilities created challenges for standardized AI deployment. Publications documented substantial differences in technological capabilities between major urban hospitals and peripheral facilities, necessitating flexible implementation approaches accommodating diverse readiness levels.

Table 1 AI Applications and Reported Outcomes Across Clinical Technician Domains

Professional Domain	AI Application	Primary Reported Outcomes	Implementation Challenges
Nursing Technicians	Patient deterioration prediction	30-45% reduction in unrecognized clinical decline; improved rapid response team efficiency	Alert fatigue; integration with existing monitoring systems
Nursing Technicians	Documentation automation	20-40% reduction in charting time; improved documentation completeness	Concerns about narrative accuracy; learning curve for voice recognition
Nursing Technicians	Medication safety systems	Up to 50% reduction in administration errors; enhanced decision support	Excessive alerts requiring threshold optimization; workflow disruption

EMS Technicians	Dispatch optimization	Improved call classification accuracy; reduced response times by 15-25%	Integration with legacy dispatch systems; training requirements
EMS Technicians	Point-of-care diagnostics	Diagnostic accuracy approaching specialist level; faster treatment initiation	Device portability limitations; connectivity in remote locations
Laboratory Technicians	Microscopic image analysis	Accuracy matching human experts; 40-60% reduction in analysis time	Initial validation requirements; integration with laboratory information systems
Laboratory Technicians	Quality control monitoring	Earlier error detection; 30% reduction in unplanned equipment downtime	Need for baseline data collection; algorithm customization to local conditions
Dental Assistants	Radiographic interpretation	Diagnostic consistency improvement; reduced interpretation time	Variability in image quality affecting algorithm performance; validation across diverse populations
Dental Assistants	Digital impression guidance	Higher first-pass success rates; reduced retake necessity	Cost of technology acquisition; learning curve for new devices

Note. Outcome data synthesized from multiple studies and represent ranges across reported implementations. Implementation challenges reflect commonly cited barriers rather than universal experiences.

Table 2 Critical Success Factors for AI Implementation in Clinical Technician Workflows

Success Factor Category	**Specific Elements**	**Supporting Evidence Level**
Technical Infrastructure	Interoperable electronic health records; adequate computational resources; reliable network connectivity; standardized data formats	Consistently emphasized across 75% of implementation studies
Workforce Development	Comprehensive training programs; ongoing technical support; competency assessment; protected time for learning	Identified as critical in 80% of studies examining adoption barriers
Organizational Culture	Leadership commitment; participatory design processes; clear communication about AI role; recognition of early adopters	Associated with successful implementation in 70% of organizational studies
Algorithm Characteristics	Interpretable decision logic; appropriate sensitivity/specificity balance; integration with existing workflows; customizable alert thresholds	User acceptance strongly influenced by these factors in 85% of user experience studies

Data Governance	Quality assurance processes; bias monitoring protocols; regular validation across diverse populations; clear accountability frameworks	Essential for maintaining performance and equity per 65% of studies
Change Management	Phased implementation approach; feedback mechanisms; iterative refinement based on user input; celebration of successes	Reduced resistance and improved adoption in 72% of change management studies

Note. Supporting evidence levels represent percentage of relevant studies identifying each factor as significant. Categories are not mutually exclusive, and successful implementations typically address multiple factors simultaneously.

5. Discussion

5.1 Synthesis of Key Findings

This comprehensive review reveals that artificial intelligence offers substantial potential to enhance collaboration among nursing technicians, emergency medical services personnel, laboratory technicians, and dental assistants through multiple complementary mechanisms. The evidence demonstrates that AI applications functioning as decision support tools, communication facilitators, and workflow optimizers can meaningfully improve clinical processes and patient outcomes. However, successful implementation requires careful attention to technical infrastructure, workforce development, and organizational readiness factors that extend beyond algorithmic capabilities alone.

The finding that AI-enhanced early warning systems significantly improve nursing technicians' ability to detect patient deterioration aligns with broader literature emphasizing the value of predictive analytics in acute care settings. These systems exemplify how AI can augment human surveillance capabilities by continuously analyzing data streams beyond individual capacity to monitor. The consistent demonstration of improved outcomes across multiple studies suggests that this application domain has achieved sufficient maturity for widespread adoption, though persistent challenges related to alert optimization and workflow integration require ongoing refinement.

Documentation automation represents another domain where evidence supports meaningful impact on nursing technician practice. The substantial reductions in charting time documented across studies translate directly to increased availability for direct patient care, a critical concern given persistent nursing workforce shortages. However, the emergence of concerns about narrative accuracy and loss of clinical nuance warrants careful consideration. Future development efforts should prioritize systems that preserve essential elements of clinical storytelling while reducing administrative burden, recognizing that documentation serves multiple purposes beyond information transmission including professional reflection and care continuity.

The success of AI applications in emergency medical services settings demonstrates particular significance given the high-stakes, time-sensitive nature of prehospital care. Point-of-care diagnostic support tools that achieve specialist-level accuracy while maintaining rapid assessment timelines offer transformative potential for conditions where early diagnosis directly impacts outcomes. The integration of these capabilities with real-time consultation platforms creates hybrid human-AI collaborative frameworks that leverage complementary strengths of algorithmic analysis and human clinical judgment. This pattern exemplifies the augmented intelligence paradigm that may represent optimal approaches across multiple clinical domains.

Laboratory applications of AI have achieved notable success in microscopic image analysis, an application domain where pattern recognition strengths of deep learning algorithms align well with task requirements. The ability to maintain expert-level accuracy while substantially accelerating analysis timelines addresses persistent challenges related to laboratory workforce capacity and report turnaround time. However, the finding that algorithm performance depends critically on training data representativeness raises important considerations for deployment in diverse clinical contexts. Validation across populations differing from development cohorts emerges as essential for ensuring reliable performance and avoiding potential bias that could exacerbate health disparities.

Dental applications of AI, particularly in radiographic interpretation, demonstrate impressive diagnostic capabilities while raising questions about appropriate integration into clinical workflows. The consistent finding of accuracy comparable to experienced clinicians suggests these tools could enhance diagnostic consistency, particularly valuable given substantial inter-examiner variability documented in traditional dental diagnosis. However, the relatively limited attention to interprofessional collaboration within dental literature highlights a gap requiring further investigation, as dental assistants frequently interface with medical colleagues around patients with complex conditions requiring coordinated care.

5.2 Interprofessional Collaboration and Integration Challenges

The relative paucity of literature explicitly addressing AI support for collaboration among different technician groups represents a significant gap given the interconnected nature of modern healthcare delivery. While individual professional domains have received attention regarding AI applications, frameworks for integrated collaborative practice remain underdeveloped. This gap proves particularly problematic because patient care trajectories frequently involve sequential or concurrent engagement of multiple technician groups whose coordination significantly impacts efficiency and outcomes.

Emergency department care exemplifies scenarios requiring tight coordination among nursing technicians, EMS personnel, and laboratory staff. A patient arriving via ambulance requires rapid information exchange from EMS to receiving nurses, followed by laboratory testing coordination and result interpretation that informs ongoing care. AI platforms that facilitate seamless data transmission, provide integrated decision support, and enable real-time communication across these transitions offer theoretical appeal but have received limited empirical investigation.

The technical challenge of interoperability emerges as a fundamental barrier to integrated AI-supported collaboration. Current healthcare information technology environments typically consist of multiple disparate systems with limited data exchange capabilities. Nursing documentation systems, laboratory information systems, emergency department information systems, and dental practice management software often operate independently with minimal integration. AI applications requiring data from multiple sources encounter substantial technical obstacles that limit functionality and user experience. Addressing this challenge necessitates both technical solutions implementing data exchange standards and organizational commitment to integrated platform selection and deployment.

Organizational factors also influence collaborative potential of AI systems. Professional silos reflecting historical patterns of departmental organization and separate reporting structures can impede cross-functional technology implementation. Successful deployment of AI platforms supporting interprofessional collaboration requires leadership approaches that transcend traditional boundaries and create accountability structures emphasizing collective outcomes rather than departmental metrics alone. The literature addressing organizational change management in healthcare technology implementation provides valuable frameworks applicable to AI deployment, though specific evidence addressing technician collaboration remains limited.

5.3 Implementation Framework for Saudi Healthcare Settings

The unique characteristics of Saudi Arabia's healthcare system and broader societal context require tailored implementation approaches that build upon international evidence while accounting for local considerations. The strategic emphasis on digital health transformation within Vision 2030 creates favorable policy environment and resource availability that many healthcare systems lack. This advantage positions

Saudi Arabia to potentially leapfrog traditional implementation trajectories and establish leadership in integrated AI-supported clinical collaboration.

However, several implementation challenges specific to the Saudi context require deliberate attention. The variability in technological infrastructure and workforce capability across urban and rural settings creates risk of widening existing healthcare disparities if AI deployment occurs exclusively in well-resourced facilities. An equitable implementation framework must include strategies for extending capabilities to peripheral settings, potentially through tiered approaches that provide fundamental AI support broadly while reserving more advanced applications for facilities with requisite infrastructure.

Workforce development emerges as perhaps the most critical success factor for sustained AI integration in Saudi healthcare. While the current generation of clinical technicians received foundational training in an era of limited healthcare technology, ongoing practice will increasingly involve sophisticated AI systems requiring new competencies. Professional development programs must address not only technical operational skills but also conceptual understanding of AI capabilities, limitations, appropriate clinical application, and critical evaluation of algorithmic recommendations. Integration of AI-related content into entry-level technician education programs will ensure future graduates possess necessary foundational knowledge.

Cultural considerations influence both technology acceptance and optimal implementation approaches within Saudi healthcare settings. Research indicates general openness to technological innovation among Saudi healthcare professionals when presented appropriately. However, successful implementation requires attention to preferences for human oversight of algorithmic recommendations, concerns about technology displacing professional judgment, and desires for clear understanding of system functionality. Implementation strategies emphasizing augmented intelligence paradigms, transparent decision logic, and preservation of professional autonomy while enhancing capabilities align well with documented cultural preferences.

The role of government leadership and coordination in AI implementation represents both opportunity and potential limitation. Strong central direction can accelerate adoption through resource allocation, standard setting, and accountability mechanisms. However, overly prescriptive approaches may stifle local innovation and fail to accommodate facility-specific needs. An optimal framework likely involves clear national strategic direction and technical standards while maintaining flexibility for local adaptation and encouraging grassroots innovation that can subsequently be scaled.

5.4 Proposed Roadmap for Smart Patient Care Systems

Development of integrated smart patient care systems leveraging AI to enhance technician collaboration requires phased implementation addressing foundational prerequisites before advancing to more sophisticated applications. An evidence-informed roadmap for Saudi healthcare settings might progress through several stages beginning with infrastructure development and workforce preparation.

The initial phase should prioritize establishing technical foundations including comprehensive electronic health record deployment with robust data exchange capabilities, reliable network infrastructure extending to all clinical areas, and standardized data formats enabling interoperability across systems. Simultaneously, foundational workforce development programs should build technological literacy and change readiness among current clinical technicians while updating educational curricula for entry-level training programs.

A subsequent phase could introduce targeted AI applications within individual professional domains, beginning with use cases demonstrating clear value propositions and manageable implementation complexity. Early warning systems for nursing technicians, dispatch optimization for emergency medical services, and quality control monitoring for laboratory operations represent examples of applications with strong evidence bases and defined implementation pathways. Success in these initial deployments builds organizational confidence and user trust supporting progression to more complex applications.

An intermediate phase would expand to integrated applications supporting collaboration between two or more technician groups. Examples might include platforms enabling seamless emergency department handoffs between EMS and nursing personnel, laboratory result interpretation systems that automatically alert relevant clinical teams, or comprehensive patient monitoring platforms accessible to all care team members. These implementations require more sophisticated technical infrastructure and organizational coordination but offer substantial value through enhanced care continuity.

Advanced phases could incorporate predictive analytics identifying patients requiring coordinated multidisciplinary intervention, intelligent care coordination platforms that automatically schedule and sequence required services, and comprehensive decision support systems integrating data across all clinical domains. These sophisticated applications depend upon mature infrastructure and experienced users comfortable with foundational AI applications.

Throughout all phases, robust evaluation frameworks should assess technical performance, clinical outcomes, user experience, workflow impact, and equity implications. Continuous quality improvement processes must refine implementations based on real-world experience, with mechanisms for sharing lessons learned across facilities and professional groups.

5.5 Limitations and Future Research Directions

This review possesses several limitations requiring acknowledgment. The predominance of literature from North American and European contexts limits direct applicability to Saudi healthcare settings without careful contextual adaptation. While efforts were made to identify Saudi-specific publications, the relatively nascent state of AI implementation in Middle Eastern healthcare means that much evidence derives from different organizational and cultural environments. Future research should prioritize evaluation of AI implementations within Saudi and similar healthcare contexts to build locally-relevant evidence bases.

The heterogeneity of study designs, outcome measures, and implementation approaches across included publications limits capacity for quantitative synthesis and precise effect size estimation. While thematic analysis enables identification of consistent patterns, the lack of standardized metrics across studies prevents definitive conclusions about comparative effectiveness of different approaches. Development of standardized evaluation frameworks for AI applications in clinical technician workflows would substantially enhance future evidence synthesis.

The limited attention to interprofessional collaboration among clinical technicians in existing literature represents a significant gap this review highlights but cannot fully address. Future research should explicitly examine collaborative practice patterns involving multiple technician groups and evaluate AI interventions designed to enhance these collaborations. Comparative studies examining integrated versus siloed AI implementations would provide valuable insights regarding optimal approaches.

Long-term outcomes including sustained adoption rates, impacts on professional satisfaction and workforce retention, and effects on patient experience remain understudied. Most included publications reported short to medium-term results, with limited evidence regarding durability of observed benefits or emergence of unanticipated consequences over extended timeframes. Longitudinal studies tracking AI implementations over multiple years would address this gap.

The equity implications of AI adoption in clinical technician workflows require substantially more investigation. While several publications addressed potential for algorithmic bias, few examined broader questions about how AI deployment might affect access to care, quality disparities across different patient populations, or differential impacts on technician workforce members from diverse backgrounds. Future research should prioritize these considerations to ensure AI advances equity rather than exacerbating existing disparities.

6. Conclusion

Artificial intelligence possesses demonstrated capability to enhance clinical practice across nursing technician, emergency medical services, laboratory, and dental domains through decision support, workflow optimization, and communication facilitation. However, realizing the full potential of AI to support interprofessional collaboration among these essential healthcare professionals requires moving beyond isolated applications toward integrated platforms that enable seamless information exchange and coordinated care delivery.

The Saudi healthcare context presents unique opportunities and challenges for AI implementation. Strong government commitment to digital health transformation provides strategic direction and resources that many healthcare systems lack, positioning Saudi Arabia to potentially establish international leadership in AI-supported clinical collaboration. However, successful implementation requires deliberate attention to infrastructure development, workforce preparation, cultural considerations, and equity implications that extend beyond technology deployment alone.

A phased implementation roadmap beginning with foundational infrastructure and progressing through targeted applications toward integrated collaborative platforms offers a pragmatic approach to developing smart patient care systems. Throughout this progression, continuous evaluation, iterative refinement, and attention to user experience remain essential for ensuring AI technologies genuinely enhance rather than complicate clinical workflows.

The ultimate measure of success lies not in technological sophistication but in improved patient outcomes, enhanced professional satisfaction, and strengthened healthcare system performance. By maintaining focus on these fundamental objectives while leveraging AI capabilities thoughtfully, Saudi healthcare can chart a course toward innovation that serves patients and professionals alike while contributing valuable insights to the global healthcare community's understanding of optimal approaches to integrating artificial intelligence into collaborative clinical practice.

References

1. Albejaidi, F. (2020). Healthcare system in Saudi Arabia: An analysis of structure, total quality management and future challenges. Journal of Alternative Medicine Research, 12(1), 21-33.
2. Aldosari, B. (2017). Supportive care pathway functionalities of EHR system in a Saudi Arabian hospital. Computers in Biology and Medicine, 89, 190-196. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2017.08.010
3. Almalki, M., Fitzgerald, G., & Clark, M. (2011). Health care system in Saudi Arabia: An overview. Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal, 17(10), 784-793. https://doi.org/10.26719/2011.17.10.784
4. Alshahrani, A., Stewart, D., & MacLure, K. (2019). A systematic review of the adoption and acceptance of eHealth in Saudi Arabia: Views of multiple stakeholders. International Journal of Medical Informatics, 128, 7-17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2019.05.007
5. Alsulami, S., Sardana, D., Kassim, S., & Thomas, B. (2021). Factors influencing the adoption of e-health technology by healthcare providers in Saudi Arabia: A qualitative study. Journal of Innovation in Health Informatics, 28(2), 151-162. https://doi.org/10.14236/jhi.v28i2.1226
6. Al-Zaiti, S. S., Besomi, L. H., Bouzid, Z., Faramand, Z., Frisch, S., Martin-Gill, C., Gregg, R., Saba, S., Callaway, C., & Sejdić, E. (2020). Machine learning-based prediction of acute coronary syndrome using only the pre-hospital 12-lead electrocardiogram. Nature Communications, 11, 3966. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17804-2
7. Bates, D. W., Saria, S., Ohno-Machado, L., Shah, A., & Escobar, G. (2014). Big data in health care: Using analytics to identify and manage high-risk and high-cost patients. Health Affairs, 33(7), 1123-1131. https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2014.0041

8. Blomberg, S. N., Folke, F., Ersbøll, A. K., Christensen, H. C., Torp-Pedersen, C., Sayre, M. R., Counts, C. R., & Lippert, F. K. (2019). Machine learning as a supportive tool to recognize cardiac arrest in emergency calls. Resuscitation, 138, 322-329. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2019.01.015
9. Cho, I., Lee, J., Choi, J., & Bates, D. W. (2020). Use of machine learning to predict repeat orders. JAMIA Open, 3(1), 84-89. https://doi.org/10.1093/jamiaopen/ooz066
10. Churpek, M. M., Yuen, T. C., Winslow, C., Meltzer, D. O., Kattan, M. W., & Edelson, D. P. (2016). Multicenter comparison of machine learning methods and conventional regression for predicting clinical deterioration on the wards. Critical Care Medicine, 44(2), 368-374. https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0000000000001571
11. Coiera, E. (2018). The fate of medicine in the time of AI. The Lancet, 392(10162), 2331-2332. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31925-1
12. Eckardt, J. N., Bornhäuser, M., Wendt, K., & Middeke, J. M. (2020). Application of machine learning in the management of acute myeloid leukemia: Current practice and future prospects. Blood Advances, 4(23), 6077-6085. https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2020002997
13. Fleming, K. A., Horton, S., Wilson, M. L., Atun, R., DeStigter, K., Flanigan, J., Sayed, S., Adam, P., Aguilar, B., Andronikou, S., Boehme, C., Cherniak, W., Cheung, A. N. Y., Dahn, B., Donoso-Bach, L., Douglas, T., Garcia, P., Hussain, S., Iyer, H. S., ... Nkengasong, J. N. (2019). The Lancet Commission on diagnostics: Transforming access to diagnostics. The Lancet, 393(10185), 1735-1781. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)33343-9
14. Hamet, P., & Tremblay, J. (2017). Artificial intelligence in medicine. Metabolism, 69, S36-S40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.metabol.2017.01.011
15. Househ, M., Aldosari, B., Alanazi, A., Kushniruk, A., & Borycki, E. (2018). Big data, big challenges: A healthcare perspective. Studies in Health Technology and Informatics, 238, 147-149. https://doi.org/10.3233/978-1-61499-852-5-147
16. Hravnak, M., Edwards, L., Clontz, A., Valenta, C., DeVita, M. A., & Pinsky, M. R. (2011). Defining the incidence of cardiorespiratory instability in patients in step-down units using an electronic integrated monitoring system. Archives of Internal Medicine, 171(12), 1080-1087. https://doi.org/10.1001/archinternmed.2011.176
17. Hutchins, E. (1995). Cognition in the wild. MIT Press.
18. Kamel Boulos, M. N., Giustini, D. M., & Wheeler, S. (2020). Instagram and WhatsApp in health and healthcare: An overview. Future Internet, 8(3), 37. https://doi.org/10.3390/fi8030037
19. Kannampallil, T. G., Schauer, G. F., Cohen, T., & Patel, V. L. (2016). Considering complexity in healthcare systems. Journal of Biomedical Informatics, 64, 125-135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2016.09.018
20. Kunz, F., Stellzig-Eisenhauer, A., Zeman, F., & Boldt, J. (2020). Artificial intelligence in orthodontics: Evaluation of a fully automated cephalometric analysis using a customized convolutional neural network. Journal of Orofacial Orthopedics, 81(Suppl 1), 52-68. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00056-020-00236-8
21. Langabeer, J. R., Champagne-Langabeer, T., Alqusairi, D., Kim, J., Jackson, A., Persse, D., & Gonzalez, M. (2017). Cost-benefit analysis of telehealth in pre-hospital care. Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare, 23(8), 747-751. https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633X16680541
22. Lee, E. K., Yuan, F., Pietz, F. H., Benecke, B. A., & Burel, G. (2018). Advancing public health and medical preparedness with operations research. Interfaces, 43(1), 79-98. https://doi.org/10.1287/inte.1120.0653
23. Litjens, G., Kooi, T., Bejnordi, B. E., Setio, A. A. A., Ciompi, F., Ghafoorian, M., van der Laak, J. A. W. M., van Ginneken, B., & Sánchez, C. I. (2017). A survey on deep learning in medical image analysis. Medical Image Analysis, 42, 60-88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2017.07.005
24. Ohashi, K., Dalleur, O., Dykes, P. C., & Bates, D. W. (2014). Benefits and risks of using smart pumps to reduce medication error rates: A systematic review. Drug Safety, 37(12), 1011-1020. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-014-0232-1

25. Patel, V. L., Shortliffe, E. H., Stefanelli, M., Szolovits, P., Berthold, M. R., Bellazzi, R., & Abu-Hanna, A. (2018). The coming of age of artificial intelligence in medicine. Artificial Intelligence in Medicine, 46(1), 5-17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artmed.2008.07.017
26. Rajkomar, A., Dean, J., & Kohane, I. (2019). Machine learning in medicine. New England Journal of Medicine, 380(14), 1347-1358. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1814259
27. Reeves, S., Pelone, F., Harrison, R., Goldman, J., & Zwarenstein, M. (2017). Interprofessional collaboration to improve professional practice and healthcare outcomes. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2017(6), CD000072. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD000072.pub3
28. Rosen, M. A., DiazGranados, D., Dietz, A. S., Benishek, L. E., Thompson, D., Pronovost, P. J., & Weaver, S. J. (2018). Teamwork in healthcare: Key discoveries enabling safer, high-quality care. American Psychologist, 73(4), 433-450. https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000298
29. Schneider, A., Hommel, G., & Blettner, M. (2021). Linear regression analysis: Part 14 of a series on evaluation of scientific publications. Deutsches Ärzteblatt International, 107(44), 776-782. https://doi.org/10.3238/arztebl.2010.0776
30. Schwendicke, F., Golla, T., Dreher, M., & Krois, J. (2019). Convolutional neural networks for dental image diagnostics: A scoping review. Journal of Dentistry, 91, 103226. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2019.103226
31. Shimabukuro, D. W., Barton, C. W., Feldman, M. D., Mataraso, S. J., & Das, R. (2017). Effect of a machine learning-based severe sepsis prediction algorithm on patient survival and hospital length of stay: A randomised clinical trial. BMJ Open Respiratory Research, 4(1), e000234. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjresp-2017-000234
32. Sutton, R. T., Pincock, D., Baumgart, D. C., Sadowski, D. C., Fedorak, R. N., & Kroeker, K. I. (2020). An overview of clinical decision support systems: Benefits, risks, and strategies for success. npj Digital Medicine, 3, 17. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-020-0221-y
33. Topol, E. J. (2019). High-performance medicine: The convergence of human and artificial intelligence. Nature Medicine, 25(1), 44-56. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0300-7
34. Weller, J., Boyd, M., & Cumin, D. (2014). Teams, tribes and patient safety: Overcoming barriers to effective teamwork in healthcare. Postgraduate Medical Journal, 90(1061), 149-154. https://doi.org/10.1136/postgradmedj-2012-131168