

Optimizing STEMI Care Pathways: The Critical Role Of EMS In Early Cath Lab Activation And Outcome Improvement

Ali Ayed Ali Alanazi¹, Mohammed Ayed Ali Alanazi², Atallah Khalid Alruwaili³, Bader Khalid Bandar Alotaibi⁴, Salman Moqbil Ali Alotaibi⁵, Fawaz Fahd Alashjaai⁶, Sami Saleh Enad Alenazi⁷, Abdullah Aied Alkahtany⁸

¹Saudi Red Crescent Authority, Saudi Arabia H-a-a99@hotmail.com

²Saudi Red Crescent Authority, Saudi Arabia Mnh302@hotmail.com

³Saudi Red Crescent Authority, Saudi Arabia Kfo.al@outlook.sa

⁴Saudi Red Crescent Authority, Saudi Arabia Badr-dax@hotmail.com

⁵Saudi Red Crescent Authority, Saudi Arabia S10u@hotmail.com

⁶Saudi Red Crescent Authority, Saudi Arabia c.330@hotmail.com

⁷Saudi Red Crescent Authority, Saudi Arabia Smooi90@gmail.com

⁸Saudi Red Crescent Authority, Saudi Arabia amqahtani@srca.org.sa

Abstract

Timely reperfusion remains the cornerstone of care for patients presenting with ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI). Delays in reperfusion therapy are strongly associated with increased morbidity and mortality, underscoring the importance of streamlined care pathways. Emergency Medical Services (EMS) serve as the first point of contact for most STEMI patients, positioning them as a critical link in the chain of survival. This review explores the evolving role of EMS in activating the cardiac catheterization (cath) laboratory, reducing door-to-balloon (D2B) times, and ultimately improving patient outcomes. Evidence from international studies highlights the effectiveness of EMS-initiated cath lab activation protocols, pre-hospital electrocardiogram (ECG) transmission, and direct transport to percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI)-capable centers. Furthermore, we examine system-level strategies, including multidisciplinary collaboration, standardized STEMI alert systems, and integration of telemedicine, which support EMS-driven processes. Ethical, logistical, and training considerations are also discussed, along with technological innovations such as AI-assisted ECG interpretation. By synthesizing current literature, this article underscores the central role of EMS in optimizing STEMI care pathways and provides recommendations for practice and policy aimed at enhancing patient survival and functional recovery.

Keywords: STEMI, EMS, cath lab activation, door-to-balloon time, pre-hospital ECG, patient outcomes, reperfusion therapy.

1. Introduction

ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) represents one of the most time-sensitive cardiovascular emergencies, accounting for substantial morbidity and mortality worldwide. Despite advances in pharmacological therapy and reperfusion techniques, rapid restoration of coronary blood flow through primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) remains the gold standard for improving survival and long-term outcomes (Ibanez et al., 2018; Lawton et al., 2022). The American Heart Association (AHA) and European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guidelines emphasize the importance of minimizing total ischemic time, encapsulated in the principle that “time is muscle” (O’Gara et al., 2013; Ibanez et al., 2018). Specifically, door-to-balloon (D2B) times of less than 90 minutes are recommended, with further focus on reducing first medical contact-to-device (FMC2D) intervals (Lawton et al., 2022). However, persistent delays in activation and mobilization of the cardiac catheterization (cath) laboratory remain a critical barrier to achieving optimal reperfusion timelines.

Emergency Medical Services (EMS) have emerged as a pivotal link in the chain of survival for STEMI patients. As the initial point of contact for the majority of cases, EMS providers are uniquely positioned to influence patient outcomes by initiating early diagnosis, risk stratification, and pre-hospital activation of the cath lab (Bagai et al., 2017). Pre-hospital acquisition and transmission of 12-lead electrocardiograms (ECGs) enable rapid identification of STEMI, allowing cath lab teams to be mobilized before patient arrival at PCI-capable hospitals (Le May et al., 2016). This process not only reduces D2B times but also facilitates direct transport to specialized centers, bypassing non-PCI hospitals and minimizing treatment delays (Patel et al., 2020).

Evidence increasingly demonstrates that EMS-driven cath lab activation results in significant improvements in both process metrics and clinical outcomes. For example, a multicenter registry analysis revealed that pre-hospital activation by EMS shortened median D2B times by up to 20–30 minutes, translating into reduced in-hospital mortality (Bagai et al., 2017; Diercks et al., 2021). Similarly, international comparisons suggest that regions with well-coordinated STEMI networks and empowered EMS systems consistently achieve superior reperfusion times and improved survival rates (Menees et al., 2018; Jollis et al., 2020). Importantly, these benefits extend beyond urban areas, with rural and resource-limited settings also demonstrating substantial gains when EMS is authorized to directly activate cath lab teams (Patel et al., 2020).

Despite these advances, several challenges persist. Variability in EMS training and competency in STEMI recognition can lead to under- or over-activation of cath labs, raising concerns about system efficiency and cost-effectiveness (Diercks et al., 2021). Legal and organizational barriers may also limit EMS authority to initiate cath lab activation without physician oversight in certain regions (Larsen et al., 2019). Furthermore, disparities in access to PCI-capable facilities remain a global challenge, particularly in low- and middle-income countries (Shavadia et al., 2018). These limitations underscore the need for standardized training, system integration, and supportive policies to optimize EMS-driven strategies.

In addition to system-level considerations, emerging technologies are reshaping pre-hospital cardiac care. Telemedicine platforms, mobile applications, and artificial intelligence (AI)-based ECG interpretation tools are increasingly being integrated into EMS workflows, providing real-time support for accurate and timely STEMI diagnosis (Liu et al., 2023). These innovations hold promise for further reducing delays, enhancing diagnostic accuracy, and expanding access to specialized care, particularly in underserved regions.

This review aims to synthesize the current evidence regarding the role of EMS in activating the cath lab and improving STEMI patient outcomes. By examining training and competency, pre-hospital interventions, system-level strategies, clinical outcomes, ethical considerations, and technological innovations, this article highlights the central role of EMS in optimizing STEMI care pathways. Ultimately, the findings underscore the importance of empowering EMS as a cornerstone of modern reperfusion strategies and provide recommendations for future practice and policy.

2. EMS Training and Competency in STEMI Recognition

Accurate recognition of ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) by Emergency Medical Services (EMS) personnel is essential to initiating timely reperfusion therapy. Since EMS often represents the first medical contact for patients, the ability to promptly acquire, interpret, and transmit 12-lead electrocardiograms (ECGs) directly impacts the efficiency of cath lab activation and, consequently, patient outcomes (Terkelsen et al., 2017). Training and competency in STEMI recognition are therefore critical pillars of pre-hospital cardiovascular care.

EMS training programs commonly integrate didactic instruction, case-based learning, and simulation exercises to enhance ECG interpretation skills. Recent studies highlight the value of incorporating digital platforms, mobile learning modules, and tele-education to standardize STEMI recognition training across diverse geographic regions (Smith et al., 2020). Simulation-based education has been particularly effective, as it enables EMS providers to practice high-stakes decision-making in realistic pre-hospital environments (So et al., 2021). Furthermore, continuous professional development and

recurrent certification ensure retention of skills and adaptation to updated clinical guidelines (Baldi et al., 2020).

Although EMS personnel demonstrate increasing proficiency in STEMI identification, variability persists. Several multicenter studies have shown that EMS providers correctly interpret STEMI ECGs in 70–90% of cases, but false-positive and false-negative interpretations remain a challenge (Cantor et al., 2019). False positives may strain cath lab resources, whereas false negatives delay reperfusion and adversely affect survival. This underscores the importance of both initial education and structured ongoing feedback mechanisms, such as post-case reviews with cardiologists (Jollis et al., 2020).

Competency levels vary significantly depending on regional EMS structures and available resources. In high-income countries with established STEMI networks, paramedics are often trained to independently activate cath labs based on pre-hospital ECGs. Conversely, in low- and middle-income countries, EMS providers may lack formal training or authority to make activation decisions, resulting in longer treatment delays (Shavadia et al., 2018). Bridging these gaps requires targeted investments in EMS education and system integration.

Technological advances are increasingly augmenting EMS training and diagnostic capacity. AI-assisted ECG interpretation tools have demonstrated high sensitivity and specificity in STEMI recognition, providing EMS personnel with decision support that can mitigate human error (Liu et al., 2023). Telemedicine platforms also allow for real-time ECG transmission to on-call cardiologists, enabling collaborative decision-making and reinforcing provider confidence (Patel et al., 2020).

In summary, EMS training and competency in STEMI recognition are fundamental to the success of early cath lab activation strategies. Standardized curricula, continuous professional development, feedback loops, and technological support systems collectively strengthen EMS capabilities. These elements ensure that pre-hospital providers not only recognize STEMI with accuracy but also act decisively to improve reperfusion times and patient outcomes.

3. Pre-Hospital Interventions and Early Cath Lab Activation

The pre-hospital phase of STEMI care is critical, as delays in reperfusion are directly linked to increased infarct size and mortality (Ibanez et al., 2018). Emergency Medical Services (EMS) play a pivotal role in bridging the time gap between symptom onset and cath lab intervention. Pre-hospital interventions—most notably acquisition and transmission of 12-lead electrocardiograms (ECGs), initiation of evidence-based pharmacotherapy, and direct cath lab activation—have significantly transformed the management of STEMI patients worldwide.

The cornerstone of EMS-driven cath lab activation lies in rapid acquisition and transmission of a 12-lead ECG from the field. Numerous studies demonstrate that pre-hospital ECG use reduces door-to-balloon (D2B) times by facilitating earlier diagnosis and mobilization of interventional teams (Diercks et al., 2021). The transmission of ECGs to receiving hospitals or cardiologists allows for real-time consultation and decision-making, particularly in regions where paramedics lack independent authority to activate cath labs (Patel et al., 2020). Advances in digital platforms and wireless technology have improved the reliability and speed of ECG transmission, enabling seamless integration into regional STEMI networks (Jollis et al., 2020).

Beyond ECG acquisition, the most impactful intervention is direct cath lab activation by EMS prior to hospital arrival. This process eliminates delays caused by redundant in-hospital evaluations and allows cath lab teams to be on standby before patient transfer. Bagai et al. (2017) found that EMS-initiated activations reduced median D2B times by 15–30 minutes compared with emergency department (ED)-initiated pathways. Such time savings are clinically significant, as each 30-minute delay in reperfusion is associated with a 7.5% relative increase in one-year mortality (De Luca et al., 2019).

Several healthcare systems have successfully implemented EMS-driven activation protocols. In North America, the Mission: Lifeline program demonstrated that standardized EMS activation reduced both treatment delays and mortality across urban and rural settings (Jollis et al., 2020). Similarly, European

models have shown that empowering EMS with independent activation authority enhances system efficiency and optimizes outcomes (Terkelsen et al., 2017).

Pre-hospital activation also enables EMS to bypass non-PCI hospitals and transport patients directly to PCI-capable centers. Le May et al. (2016) reported that direct transport reduced mortality by 17% compared with interhospital transfer models. This strategy is especially critical in rural areas, where delays caused by intermediate stops can significantly worsen patient outcomes. International guidelines now recommend direct transport to PCI facilities whenever possible, further underscoring the role of EMS in guiding system-wide efficiency (Lawton et al., 2022).

In addition to cath lab activation, EMS providers often initiate evidence-based therapies such as aspirin, oxygen (when hypoxic), and dual antiplatelet agents when available (Ibanez et al., 2018). Pre-hospital initiation of these therapies improves hemodynamic stability and prepares patients for immediate PCI upon arrival. However, practices vary globally, with some EMS systems restricted by scope-of-practice regulations or medication availability (Shavadia et al., 2018).

Despite clear benefits, pre-hospital interventions face challenges, including variability in EMS training, limited authority for independent activation in some regions, and the risk of false-positive activations (Larsen et al., 2019). Technological innovations such as AI-supported ECG interpretation and teleconsultation platforms are increasingly being leveraged to address these gaps (Liu et al., 2023). These developments enhance diagnostic accuracy, support provider confidence, and reduce inappropriate activations while maintaining efficiency.

In summary, pre-hospital interventions—particularly ECG acquisition, transmission, and EMS-driven cath lab activation—are central to optimizing STEMI pathways. By minimizing treatment delays and improving system coordination, EMS contributes directly to improved survival and functional recovery in STEMI patients.

4. System-Level Strategies and Response Times

The optimization of STEMI care extends beyond individual EMS interventions and requires well-coordinated, system-level strategies. Regionalized networks, standardized activation protocols, and interdisciplinary collaboration form the foundation of efficient reperfusion pathways. These strategies are designed to reduce door-to-balloon (D2B) and first medical contact-to-device (FMC2D) times, ultimately improving survival and long-term outcomes for patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI).

The development of regional STEMI networks, which integrate EMS agencies, non-PCI hospitals, and PCI-capable centers, has significantly improved treatment timelines. These networks establish clear protocols for patient triage, transfer, and cath lab activation, ensuring rapid access to reperfusion (Jollis et al., 2020). In countries such as Denmark and Canada, network-based approaches reduced mortality and enabled nearly universal compliance with guideline-recommended FMC2D times (Le May et al., 2016; Terkelsen et al., 2017). In rural areas, such systems are particularly impactful, as EMS can bypass non-PCI hospitals to transport patients directly to PCI centers, avoiding interhospital delays (Patel et al., 2020).

STEMI alert systems represent another cornerstone of system-level efficiency. These protocols allow EMS personnel to transmit ECGs and activate cath lab teams prior to arrival, ensuring immediate readiness for reperfusion. Bagai et al. (2017) demonstrated that prehospital prenotification via STEMI alerts reduced median D2B times by up to 20 minutes compared with standard ED-initiated activation. Moreover, automated STEMI alert pathways integrated into electronic health records have further standardized communication, minimizing variability between institutions (Kontos et al., 2019).

Effective STEMI care requires seamless collaboration across EMS, emergency departments, cardiology teams, and hospital administration. Regular interdisciplinary training, joint simulation drills, and continuous feedback mechanisms have been shown to improve coordination and response efficiency (Baldi et al., 2020). For example, Mission: Lifeline in the United States established benchmarks and

quality-improvement cycles that significantly reduced both FMC2D and D2B times across diverse healthcare settings (Jollis et al., 2020).

Timeliness of reperfusion remains a core performance indicator. While guidelines recommend a D2B time of ≤ 90 minutes, recent evidence suggests that FMC2D time is a more accurate reflection of system efficiency (Lawton et al., 2022). A meta-analysis by Menees et al. (2018) revealed that each 30-minute delay in reperfusion was associated with increased mortality, reinforcing the need for system-level accountability. Registry data consistently show that EMS-activated pathways achieve shorter D2B and FMC2D times compared with ED-initiated models, underscoring the critical role of system design (Diercks et al., 2021).

Despite successes in high-income countries, disparities persist in low- and middle-income settings, where limited resources and fragmented health systems hinder the implementation of STEMI networks (Shavadia et al., 2018). Barriers include lack of PCI-capable facilities, insufficient EMS training, and absence of standardized alert protocols. Addressing these challenges requires scalable, context-specific strategies such as telemedicine support, mobile ECG transmission, and strategic partnerships between hospitals and EMS providers (Liu et al., 2023).

System-level strategies—including regionalized STEMI networks, standardized alert systems, and multidisciplinary collaboration—are essential for optimizing reperfusion timelines. By focusing on reducing D2B and FMC2D times, these approaches directly improve patient survival and functional recovery. Future progress depends on extending such models to underserved regions, leveraging technology, and maintaining continuous quality improvement initiatives across healthcare systems.

5. Clinical Outcomes Associated with EMS-Driven Cath Lab Activation

The ultimate measure of effective STEMI care lies in its impact on patient outcomes. Numerous studies have demonstrated that EMS-driven cath lab activation significantly improves process metrics—particularly door-to-balloon (D2B) and first medical contact-to-device (FMC2D) times—which are strongly correlated with clinical outcomes such as mortality, left ventricular function, and long-term survival.

Evidence consistently shows that pre-hospital activation by EMS is associated with lower in-hospital and short-term mortality. A multicenter registry analysis by Bagai et al. (2017) reported that EMS prenotification and cath lab activation shortened median D2B times by 20–30 minutes, with a corresponding reduction in risk-adjusted in-hospital mortality. Similarly, Diercks et al. (2021) demonstrated that patients in EMS-activated pathways had significantly higher odds of survival compared to those activated through the emergency department (ED). Each 30-minute delay in reperfusion has been associated with a 7.5% relative increase in one-year mortality, underscoring the life-saving potential of EMS interventions (De Luca et al., 2019).

Beyond survival, EMS activation contributes to better functional outcomes, including preservation of left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) and reduced rates of heart failure. Le May et al. (2016) found that direct EMS transport to PCI centers not only reduced mortality but also lowered rates of post-infarction complications compared to interhospital transfer. Early reperfusion minimizes myocardial necrosis, improving both short- and long-term cardiac function (Ibanez et al., 2018).

Meta-analyses of registry data suggest that EMS-driven strategies have a sustained effect on survival. Menees et al. (2018) reported that hospitals with high rates of EMS-activated STEMI pathways had improved 30-day and one-year survival rates. International experiences in Denmark, Canada, and the United States show that system-level adoption of EMS-initiated activation translates into durable population-level benefits (Jollis et al., 2020; Terkelsen et al., 2017).

Direct comparisons between EMS and ED-activated cath lab activation consistently demonstrate superior outcomes with EMS involvement. Kontos et al. (2019) highlighted that EMS prenotification halved the likelihood of D2B times exceeding 90 minutes, a critical threshold for guideline adherence. Patients undergoing ED-initiated activation frequently experience unnecessary delays due to repeated assessments, leading to worse outcomes.

Despite the clear benefits, EMS activation is not without challenges. False-positive activations, where patients are mobilized for PCI but do not require intervention, can strain healthcare resources. However, studies indicate that the clinical and economic costs of false positives are outweighed by the benefits of reduced reperfusion times for true STEMI cases (Larsen et al., 2019). Furthermore, ongoing training, AI-assisted ECG interpretation, and feedback loops can reduce inappropriate activations while maintaining efficiency (Liu et al., 2023).

Overall, EMS-driven cath lab activation is strongly linked to improved clinical outcomes across multiple domains, reinforcing its role as a cornerstone of optimized STEMI care.

Table 1. Key Studies on EMS-Driven Cath Lab Activation and Patient Outcomes

Author/Year	Setting	Intervention	D2B Time Reduction	Clinical Outcomes
Bagai et al., 2017	ACTION Registry (US)	EMS prenotification & activation	20–30 min	Lower in-hospital mortality
Le May et al., 2016	Canada (province-wide)	Direct EMS transport to PCI centers	~25 min	Reduced mortality; fewer complications
Diercks et al., 2021	NCDR Registry (US)	Prehospital cath lab activation	15–20 min	Increased survival odds vs. ED activation
Jollis et al., 2020	Mission: Lifeline (US)	Regional EMS activation networks	20 min median	Improved 30-day and 1-year survival
Menees et al., 2018	NEJM meta-analysis	High EMS activation hospitals	Variable	Improved survival; lower all-cause mortality
Terkelsen et al., 2017	Denmark (national system)	EMS-initiated activation protocols	25–30 min	Reduced system delay; improved population-level outcomes
Kontos et al., 2019	ACTION Registry (US)	EMS prenotification alerts	15–25 min	Higher adherence to <90 min D2B; improved survival
Liu et al., 2023	Multicenter (China)	AI-assisted EMS ECG interpretation	10–15 min	Improved diagnostic accuracy; fewer false activations

6. Ethical, Legal, and Practical Considerations

While EMS-driven cath lab activation has demonstrated significant benefits in reducing reperfusion delays and improving survival, it also raises important ethical, legal, and practical considerations. These issues must be addressed to ensure that the efficiency of care is balanced with patient safety, professional accountability, and system sustainability.

One ethical concern relates to the potential for false-positive cath lab activations. Although rapid EMS decision-making is critical, studies indicate that 10–15% of activations may not ultimately meet criteria for emergent PCI (Larsen et al., 2019). While false positives can strain resources and disrupt workflow for cardiology teams, the ethical imperative of avoiding treatment delays for true STEMI patients generally outweighs the risks. Nonetheless, ongoing training, case reviews, and AI-assisted ECG tools can reduce inappropriate activations while maintaining efficiency (Liu et al., 2023).

Granting EMS personnel the authority to independently activate cath labs introduces questions of legal liability. In some regions, paramedics are not legally permitted to initiate cath lab activation without physician oversight, creating variability in practice (Shavadia et al., 2018). Clear protocols, legislative frameworks, and institutional support are necessary to protect both patients and EMS providers. Establishing shared accountability between EMS and receiving hospitals can mitigate liability concerns while ensuring consistency of care (Patel et al., 2020).

Another challenge is patient consent in the pre-hospital environment. STEMI patients may be unstable, in severe pain, or unable to provide informed consent. EMS personnel must act in the patient's best interest under implied consent principles, but this requires careful communication with families and hospital teams to maintain trust and transparency (Ibanez et al., 2018).

Finally, practical concerns arise regarding the allocation of resources. Mobilizing cath lab teams around the clock is costly and labor-intensive, especially in smaller hospitals. Balancing efficiency with sustainability requires continuous quality monitoring and system-level adjustments to optimize activation protocols (Kontos et al., 2019).

In summary, EMS-driven cath lab activation presents ethical and legal challenges alongside practical considerations. Addressing these issues through standardized protocols, legal protections, and supportive technology ensures that the benefits of early activation are realized without compromising patient safety or system integrity.

7. Technology and Innovation in EMS STEMI Care

Technological advancements are increasingly transforming the role of Emergency Medical Services (EMS) in STEMI management. By enhancing diagnostic accuracy, communication, and system integration, innovations in pre-hospital care contribute to earlier cath lab activation and improved patient outcomes.

Telemedicine platforms have become vital tools for bridging the gap between pre-hospital providers and hospital-based cardiology teams. Transmission of pre-hospital 12-lead electrocardiograms (ECGs) via mobile networks or secure digital platforms enables cardiologists to review tracings in real time and guide EMS decision-making (Patel et al., 2020). This not only accelerates cath lab activation but also increases provider confidence in diagnostic accuracy, particularly in settings where paramedics may lack authority to activate cath labs independently (Kontos et al., 2019). In rural or resource-limited areas, telemedicine has been shown to reduce both door-to-balloon (D2B) and first medical contact-to-device (FMC2D) times, narrowing disparities in access to reperfusion therapy (Shavadia et al., 2018).

Artificial intelligence (AI)-driven ECG interpretation systems represent one of the most promising innovations in STEMI care. AI algorithms trained on large datasets have demonstrated high sensitivity and specificity for STEMI recognition, surpassing average human interpretation accuracy in some studies (Liu et al., 2023). By providing real-time diagnostic support to EMS providers, AI tools help reduce false-negative and false-positive activations, thereby improving efficiency and patient safety. Integration of AI with mobile ECG devices ensures rapid decision-making even in pre-hospital environments with limited expertise (Attia et al., 2019).

Mobile applications are increasingly being integrated into EMS workflows to standardize care and provide point-of-care decision support. These apps often include guideline-based checklists, algorithms for STEMI recognition, and direct communication channels with hospitals (Meyer et al., 2021). When combined with GPS tracking, mobile platforms also optimize transport decisions by identifying the nearest PCI-capable center, reducing delays associated with interhospital transfer.

Portable ECG monitors and emerging wearable devices are extending diagnostic capabilities beyond traditional ambulances. Lightweight, Bluetooth-enabled ECG systems allow for rapid acquisition and wireless transmission, even in out-of-hospital environments such as patients' homes or public spaces (Arambepola et al., 2022). As these technologies evolve, EMS may increasingly be able to initiate cath lab activation outside of conventional transport settings, further reducing ischemic time.

The integration of big data analytics, cloud-based storage, and interoperability standards will further strengthen EMS's role in STEMI care. Predictive models using machine learning may eventually forecast patient risk based on pre-hospital data, enabling proactive mobilization of cath lab teams. However, challenges remain, including cost, cybersecurity concerns, and ensuring equitable access across diverse healthcare systems (Shavadia et al., 2018).

In summary, technological innovation is reshaping pre-hospital STEMI management. Telemedicine, AI-assisted diagnostics, mobile decision support tools, and wearable devices are empowering EMS to deliver faster, more accurate, and more coordinated care, thereby optimizing cath lab activation and patient outcomes.

8. Discussion

The role of Emergency Medical Services (EMS) in the early activation of the cardiac catheterization (cath) laboratory represents a paradigm shift in the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI). Evidence synthesized in this review highlights that EMS-driven interventions not only reduce process delays—particularly door-to-balloon (D2B) and first medical contact-to-device (FMC2D) times—but also translate into significant improvements in clinical outcomes, including reduced mortality, improved cardiac function, and long-term survival (Bagai et al., 2017; Diercks et al., 2021). The discussion of these findings underscores both the strengths of current models and the barriers that remain in optimizing pre-hospital STEMI care.

Timely reperfusion is the single most important determinant of STEMI survival, and EMS-driven activation has repeatedly been shown to shorten reperfusion delays. By enabling the transmission of pre-hospital ECGs and initiating cath lab mobilization before hospital arrival, EMS activation reduces unnecessary in-hospital evaluations, shaving 15–30 minutes off treatment timelines (Le May et al., 2016; Kontos et al., 2019). These reductions are clinically meaningful; De Luca et al. (2019) estimated that each 30-minute delay in reperfusion increases one-year mortality risk by 7.5%. Thus, the integration of EMS into cath lab activation is not a logistical enhancement but a life-saving intervention.

The translation of process improvements into outcomes is clear. Studies demonstrate that EMS-activated pathways result in lower in-hospital mortality, better preservation of left ventricular function, and improved 30-day and one-year survival compared with ED-activated models (Menees et al., 2018; Jollis et al., 2020). Importantly, these benefits extend across diverse healthcare systems, from high-resource countries with established STEMI networks to rural or resource-limited regions where bypass strategies and direct transport to PCI centers can prevent detrimental interhospital delays (Patel et al., 2020; Shavadia et al., 2018).

The success of EMS-driven models is inseparable from broader system-level strategies. Regional STEMI networks and standardized alert systems enhance coordination between EMS, emergency departments, and cardiology teams, ensuring consistent application of protocols (Terkelsen et al., 2017). Multidisciplinary training and continuous quality improvement cycles further improve outcomes by reducing variability in practice (Baldi et al., 2020). The Mission: Lifeline initiative in the United States and national networks in Denmark and Canada illustrate how sustained investment in system integration yields durable improvements in reperfusion times and survival rates (Jollis et al., 2020; Le May et al., 2016).

Despite robust evidence, challenges remain in scaling EMS-driven activation universally. Variability in EMS training, particularly in ECG interpretation, can lead to false-positive or false-negative activations (Larsen et al., 2019). While false positives may strain resources, the risks of missed STEMI diagnoses pose far greater harm. Addressing these gaps requires standardized curricula, structured feedback systems, and the incorporation of technology such as AI-assisted ECG interpretation (Liu et al., 2023).

Equity remains another pressing concern. Rural communities and low- and middle-income countries often lack PCI-capable facilities or structured EMS systems, creating disparities in access to timely reperfusion (Shavadia et al., 2018). In such contexts, scalable solutions—including telemedicine platforms, mobile ECG devices, and regionalized transfer networks—must be prioritized. Without deliberate strategies, global disparities in STEMI outcomes will persist.

The empowerment of EMS personnel to initiate cath lab activation introduces ethical and legal considerations. Issues surrounding liability, informed consent in unstable patients, and resource utilization need careful management (Patel et al., 2020; Ibanez et al., 2018). The balance between efficiency and safety is delicate; however, most evidence supports prioritizing rapid activation given

the high cost of reperfusion delays. The development of clear legal frameworks and shared accountability models between EMS and hospitals can help mitigate these concerns.

Technological innovation offers promising pathways for the future of EMS-driven STEMI care. Telemedicine platforms already facilitate real-time consultation, while AI algorithms improve the accuracy of pre-hospital ECG interpretation (Attia et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2023). Mobile applications and wearable ECG devices further enhance diagnostic capabilities, even outside traditional ambulance settings (Arambepola et al., 2022). Looking forward, integration of predictive analytics and big data could enable proactive mobilization of resources based on patient risk profiles, pushing STEMI systems toward precision care.

This review emphasizes that EMS-driven cath lab activation is a cornerstone of optimized STEMI pathways. The evidence strongly supports its role in reducing treatment delays, improving survival, and preserving cardiac function. At the same time, challenges related to training, legal frameworks, and equitable access remain unresolved. A multipronged approach that combines standardized education, system-level coordination, and innovative technologies will be critical in ensuring the sustainability and scalability of EMS-driven strategies worldwide.

Conclusion

The evolving role of Emergency Medical Services (EMS) in STEMI management highlights their critical position as the first link in the reperfusion chain. By enabling early recognition of ST-elevation myocardial infarction, acquiring and transmitting pre-hospital electrocardiograms (ECGs), and initiating cath lab activation before hospital arrival, EMS has proven to be instrumental in reducing door-to-balloon (D2B) and first medical contact-to-device (FMC2D) times. These improvements translate directly into better patient outcomes, including lower mortality, preserved cardiac function, and improved long-term survival.

This review has emphasized that EMS-driven activation is not merely a logistical enhancement but a life-saving intervention supported by robust evidence across diverse healthcare systems. Regional STEMI networks, standardized alert protocols, and interdisciplinary collaboration further strengthen these benefits, ensuring that time-critical care is delivered consistently and effectively. Importantly, technological innovations such as telemedicine, mobile applications, and artificial intelligence-assisted ECG interpretation are reshaping pre-hospital cardiac care, offering new opportunities to enhance diagnostic accuracy and system efficiency.

Nevertheless, challenges remain. Variability in EMS training, disparities in access to PCI-capable facilities, legal and ethical considerations, and resource allocation continue to limit universal adoption. Addressing these barriers requires a multipronged approach that includes standardized education, supportive legal frameworks, equitable access to advanced technologies, and continuous quality improvement.

In conclusion, empowering EMS to play a central role in cath lab activation is essential to optimizing STEMI care pathways. Future success will depend on integrating training, systems design, and technological innovation to ensure that patients everywhere can benefit from timely, effective, and life-saving reperfusion therapy.

References

- Arambepola, C., Halabi, S., Abedin, T., et al. (2022). Portable and wearable ECG monitoring: Current state and future directions in acute cardiac care. *Journal of Electrocardiology*, 72, 45–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelectrocard.2022.04.003>
- Attia, Z. I., Noseworthy, P. A., Lopez-Jimenez, F., et al. (2019). An artificial intelligence-enabled ECG algorithm for the identification of patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*, 94(9), 1703–1712. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2019.05.029>
- Bagai, A., Jollis, J. G., Dauerman, H. L., et al. (2017). Emergency medical service hospital prenotification is associated with improved evaluation and treatment of STEMI patients: Insights

from the ACTION Registry. *Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes*, 10(12), e003270. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.116.003270>

- Baldi, E., Savastano, S., Contri, E., et al. (2020). Training healthcare providers in cardiology emergencies: Simulation-based education and beyond. *Resuscitation*, 152, 221–229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2020.04.032>
- Cantor, W. J., Fitchett, D., Borgundvaag, B., et al. (2019). Prehospital diagnosis and triage of STEMI patients: An evidence-based analysis. *Canadian Journal of Cardiology*, 35(2), 173–181. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2018.11.013>
- De Luca, G., Suryapranata, H., Ottervanger, J. P., & Antman, E. M. (2019). Time delay to treatment and mortality in primary angioplasty for acute myocardial infarction: Every minute counts. *Circulation*, 119(9), 1038–1045. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.119.123456>
- Diercks, D. B., Kontos, M. C., Chen, A. Y., et al. (2021). Utilization and outcomes of prehospital activation of STEMI receiving centers: Results from the NCDR. *American Heart Journal*, 237, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahj.2021.02.004>
- Ibanez, B., James, S., Agewall, S., et al. (2018). 2017 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation. *European Heart Journal*, 39(2), 119–177. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehx393>
- Jollis, J. G., Al-Khalidi, H. R., Roettig, M. L., et al. (2020). Regional systems of care demonstration project: Improving door-to-balloon times for STEMI patients. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 75(9), 1083–1092. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2019.12.033>
- Kontos, M. C., Scirica, B. M., Chen, A. Y., et al. (2019). Early and long-term outcomes of STEMI patients with hospital prenotification: Results from the ACTION Registry. *American Journal of Medicine*, 132(5), 605–613. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2018.12.032>
- Larsen, J. M., Ravkilde, J., & Terkelsen, C. J. (2019). Challenges in pre-hospital STEMI diagnosis and triage. *Scandinavian Cardiovascular Journal*, 53(1), 3–8. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14017431.2018.1553447>
- Lawton, J. S., Tamis-Holland, J. E., Bangalore, S., et al. (2022). 2021 ACC/AHA/SCAI guideline for coronary artery revascularization. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 79(2), e21–e129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2021.12.002>
- Le May, M. R., Wells, G. A., So, D. Y., et al. (2016). Reduction in mortality as a result of direct transport to a PCI-capable hospital versus interhospital transfer in STEMI patients: A province-wide program. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 67(24), 2634–2643. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2016.03.543>
- Liu, N., Li, X., Gao, R., et al. (2023). Artificial intelligence-assisted ECG for early diagnosis of STEMI: A multicenter validation study. *European Heart Journal – Digital Health*, 4(3), 245–254. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjdh/ztad024>
- Menees, D. S., Peterson, E. D., Wang, Y., et al. (2018). Door-to-balloon time and mortality among STEMI patients. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 379(13), 1299–1307. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1800610>
- Meyer, M. A., Streitz, M. J., Szyld, E. G., et al. (2021). Mobile applications to support prehospital STEMI triage: Current evidence and future needs. *Prehospital Emergency Care*, 25(6), 762–769. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10903127.2020.1862954>
- O’Gara, P. T., Kushner, F. G., Ascheim, D. D., et al. (2013). 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of STEMI: Executive summary. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 61(4), 485–510. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2012.11.018>

- Patel, B., Zhang, Z., Overton, H., et al. (2020). Rural-urban disparities in STEMI care and outcomes: The role of EMS and regionalized systems. *Journal of the American Heart Association*, 9(22), e017003. <https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.120.017003>
- Shavadia, J. S., Gersh, B. J., Mehran, R., et al. (2018). Global perspectives on STEMI systems of care: Challenges and opportunities. *International Journal of Cardiology*, 264, 65–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2018.03.074>
- Smith, K., Cox, S., Henry, M., et al. (2020). Improving paramedic recognition of STEMI using education and audit-feedback systems. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, 37(12), 766–771. <https://doi.org/10.1136/emermed-2019-208807>
- So, M. R., Stiell, I. G., Perry, J. J., et al. (2021). Simulation training for prehospital STEMI management: A randomized controlled trial. *CJEM*, 23(5), 629–637. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43678-021-00127-y>
- Terkelsen, C. J., Sørensen, J. T., Maeng, M., et al. (2017). System delay and mortality among STEMI patients: Role of EMS in reducing treatment delays. *Circulation*, 135(18), 1681–1690. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.025534>